Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS

Plaintiff (Responding Party)

- and -

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA and HIS MAJESTY THE KING IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO

Defendants (Responding Parties)

- and -

MISSISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT FIRST NATION

Moving Party

TRANSCRIPT BRIEF OF THE PLAINTIFF (RESPONDING PARTY), SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS

MOTION RETURNABLE MAY 12, 2023

April 20, 2023

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

199 Bay Street Suite 4000, Commerce Court West Toronto ON M5L 1A9

Iris Antonios LSO #56694R Tel: 416-863-3349 iris.antonios@blakes.com

Max Shapiro LSO #60602U Tel: 416-863-3305 max.shapiro@blakes.com

Rebecca Torrance LSO #75734A Tel: 416-863-2930 rebecca.torrance@blakes.com

Gregory Sheppard LSO #802680 Tel: 416-863-2616 gregory.sheppard@blakes.com

JFK LAW LLP 816-1175 Douglas Street Victoria, BC V8W 2E1

Robert Janes LSO #33646P Tel: 250-405-3466

RJanes@jfklaw.ca

Lawyers for the Plaintiff (Responding Party)

TO: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Ontario Regional Office 120 Adelaide Street West Suite 400 Toronto ON M5H 1T1

Tania Mitchell

Tel: 613-294-2604 Tania.Mitchell@justice.gc.ca

Maria Vujnovic LSO #467581 Tel: 647-256-7455 Maria.Vujnovic@justice.gc.ca

Edward Harrison LSO #64416Q

Tel: 416-973-7126 Edward.Harrison@justice.gc.ca

Tanya Muthusamipillai LSO #74706W Tel: 647-256-0865

Tanya.Muthusamipillai@justice.gc.ca

Katrina Longo LSO #78052H Tel: 647-256-7504 Katrina.Longo@justice.gc.ca

Hasan Junaid LSO #61890L Tel: 647-256-7395 Hasan.Junaid@justice.gc.ca

Sarah Kanko LSO #81502J

Tel: 647-526-4757 Fax: 416-973-0809 Sarah.Kanko@justice.gc.ca

Myra Sivaloganathan LSO #85296N

Fax: 416-973-0809 Myra.Sivaloganathan@justice.gc.ca

Lawyers for the Defendant (Responding Party), The Attorney General of Canada AND TO: THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO Crown Law - Civil 720 Bay Street, 8th Floor Toronto ON M7A 2S9

> Manizeh Fancy LSO #45649J Tel: 416-578-7637 Manizeh.Fancy@ontario.ca

> David Feliciant LSO #33249U Tel: 416-605-2538 David.Feliciant@ontario.ca

Jennifer Lepan LSO #732460 Tel: 416-326-4120 Jennifer.Lepan@ontario.ca

Christine Perruzza LSO #52648K Tel: 416-326-4093 Christine.Perruzza@ontario.ca

Catherine Ma LSO #79638P Tel: 416-779-8705 Catherine.Ma@ontario.ca

Julia McRandall LSO #72964V Tel: 416-571-0742 Julia.McRandall@ontario.ca

David Tortell LSO #55401A

Tel: 416-571-8235 Fax: 416-326-4181 David.Tortell@ontario.ca

Lawyers for the Defendant (Responding Party), His Majesty the King in Right of Ontario

AND TO: PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2 Fax: 416-916-3726

Nuri Frame LSO #60974J

Tel: 416-916-1593 nframe@pstlaw.ca

Alexander DeParde LSO #77616N

Tel: 416-238-7013 adeparde@pstlaw.ca

Lawyers for the Moving Party, Mississaugas Of The Credit First Nation

Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS

Plaintiff (Responding Party)

- and -

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA and HIS MAJESTY THE KING IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO

Defendants (Responding Parties)

- and -

MISSISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT FIRST NATION

Moving Party

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Tab No.</u>	Document	Page No.		
Α.	Transcript of the Cross Examination of Chief Mark Hill on March 16, 2023			
В.	B. Transcript of the Cross Examination of Chief R. Stacey Laforme on March 20, 2023			
1.	Exhibit 1: Notice of Examination of Chief R. Stacey Laforme dated March 2, 2023	147		
2.	Exhibit 2: Letter from N. Frame to M. Shapiro dated March 14, 2023	152		
3.	Exhibit 3: Memorandum of Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation (For December 12, 2022 Case Management Conference) dated December 5, 2022	154		
4.	Exhibit 4: Letter from M. Shapiro to N. Frame and A. DeParde dated March 3, 2023	162		

Tab No.	Document	Page No.
5.	Exhibit 5: Letter from N. Frame to M. Shapiro dated March 17, 2023	164
6.	Undertakings and Refusals on the Cross Examination of Chief R. Stacey Laforme	180

	1
1	File No. CV-18-594281-0000
2	ONTARIO
3	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
4	
5	BETWEEN:
6	SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS
7	Plaintiff
8	- and -
9	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA and HIS MAJESTY
10	THE KING IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO
11	Defendants
12	
13	
14	This is the Cross-Examination of MARK HILL,
15	on his affidavit affirmed on February 6, 2023
16	taken via Neesons, a Veritext Company's virtual
17	platform, on the 16th day of March, 2023.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Job No. ON5798237

	2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	Robert Janes, Esq., for the Plaintiff
3	Gregory Sheppard, Esq.,
4	Max Shapiro, Esq.
5	
6	Tania Mitchell, Esq., for the Defendant
7	Katrina Longo, Esq.,
8	Owen Young, Esq.,
9	
10	Alexander DeParde, Esq. Mississaugas of the
11	Nuri Frame, Esq., Credit First Nation
12	
13	David Tortell, Esq., for the Attorney
14	David J. Feliciant, General of Ontario
15	
16	
17	Also present:
18	Lonny Bomberry,
19	& Tayler Hill,
20	Six Nations
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Leila Heckert, CVR, RCP-M

	3
1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	WITNESS: MARK HILL
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY: Mr. DeParde6
5	
6	
7	The following list of undertakings, advisements
8	and refusals is meant as a guide only for the
9	assistance of counsel and no other purpose.
10	
11	INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS
12	The questions/requests undertaken are noted by
13	U/T and appear on the following page/line:
14	None.
15	
16	INDEX OF ADVISEMENTS
17	The questions/requests taken under advisement
18	are noted by a U/A and appear on the following
19	page/line: None.
20	
21	INDEX OF REFUSALS
22	The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F
23	and appear on the following page/line: 20/2.
24	
25	

		4
1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2	NO./DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	None.	
4		
5		
б		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	5
1	Upon commencing at 11:17 A.M.
2	REPORTER'S NOTE: Whereupon the
3	following was read to all participants:
4	THE REPORTER: As you all know,
5	because we are using Zoom, we all need to take
6	extra care not to speak over one another.
7	If more than one person is talking, it
8	will cut out the audio for me. You may still be
9	able to hear each other, but as I will have both
10	incoming competing audio channels, one will
11	likely be completely cut out.
12	I will do my best to interrupt only
13	when appropriate, but often people won't
14	remember exactly what they've just said, and it
15	also breaks up your train of thought, so it's
16	best to try to slow down and wait until the
17	other person has finished speaking.
18	Would the witness please identify
19	himself and spell your first and last name?
20	THE WITNESS: Mark Hill, M-A-R-K,
21	H-I-L-L.
22	THE REPORTER: Our witness today is
23	MARK HILL. I will now affirm the witness.
24	MARK HILL: AFFIRMED.
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DEPARDE:

Veritext 416-413-7755

		8
1	1	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hill. My name
2		Alex DeParde. I am counsel for Mississaugas of
3		the Credit First Nation. I know you've had
4		quite a few of these examinations already and we
5		don't have too many questions for you, so I'll
6		try to it as brief as possible. I do appreciate
7		you taking this time this morning.
8		A. Thank you.
9	2	Q. Before we start, as I'm sure you
10		are aware by now, there's a court reporter here
11		and they will be taking a written transcript of
12		our examination today, and one of the results of
13		that is that because the only record of this is
14		going to be written, I just ask that if I ask
15		you a question, you give me a verbal response
16		because a nod just won't show up on the record.
17		A. I understand.
18	3	Q. And again, if you need to take a
19		break, just let me know. I just ask that if
20		I've asked you a question and you provide a
21		response, then we can go to the break.
22		A. Thank you.
23	4	Q. So before we get started, I just
24		want to note for the record that there are a
25		number of individuals here who appear to be

Veritext 416-413-7755

6

observing from Six Nations. I think it's Owen 1 2 Young, Tayler Hill, and Lonny Bomberry. Is that 3 correct? 4 MR. JANES: So Tayler Hill and Lonny Bomberry, that's correct. Owen Young is not 5 6 with our team. Owen Young is, I believe, with 7 the federal team. MR. DEPARDE: Understood. 8 Thank you. 9 Just for the record, I'd like to note that the 10 matter of observers was not raised with us prior to this examination, and we didn't consent to 11 12 it. That being said, we are not going to object 13 to their attendance today so long as it's understood that they will stay on mute the 14 15 entire time, their videos will stay off the 16 entire time, and they won't participate in the 17 chat or participate in the examination to any 18 extent. Is that agreed? 19 That is the understanding MR. JANES: 20 and that's agreed. 21 MR. DEPARDE: Thank you. 22 BY MR. DEPARDE: 23 So Chief Hill, can I ask you who 5 0. 24 Mr. Lonny Bomberry is? Yes. He's our director of lands 25 Α.

Veritext 416-413-7755

7

8 1 and resources. 2 6 Ο. How long has he been director of 3 lands and resources? 4 Α. Oh, my gosh. Twenty plus years. 5 7 A long time? Ο. 6 Α. A long time. 7 8 And what is his role in this 0. action for Six Nations? 8 9 Α. So he's been facilitating back 10 and forth with our communication to council, our elected council, as well as our legal team. 11 12 9 So it's fair to say that he's Ο. 13 involved in the management of this action on a 14 fairly day-to-day basis? 15 Α. Yes. 16 10 I'm going to ask the same 0. questions about Mr. Hill. 17 MR. JANES: Miss. 18 19 MR. DEPARDE: Ms. Hill. My apologies. 20 My apologies. 21 BY MR. DEPARDE: 22 11 Q. So who is Ms. Hill? 23 So she's, again, part of Α. 24 succession planning, so basically learning and observing Lonny's role. 25

9 1 12 Ο. Understood. So the intention is 2 that one day Ms. Hill will take over Mr. Bomberry's role --3 Α. 4 Yes. 5 13 -- when he's retired? Okay. 0. And 6 is Ms. Hill involved in the day-to-day 7 management of this action? Yes. Again, just more, I would 8 Α. 9 assume, more, or rather say, more an observation 10 level. Thank you for the clarification. 11 14 Q. 12 So Chief Hill, do you have your 13 affidavit with you today? 14 Α. I do. 15 15 Ο. That's great. And did you review 16 the affidavit before we began today? 17 Α. Yes. Did you find any errors that 18 16 0. 19 you'd like to correct? 20 Α. No. 21 17 So it's fair to say that your Ο. 22 affidavit is true and correct to the best of 23 your knowledge? 24 Α. Yes. 25 18 Q. And because we are virtual, I see

10 that you and your counsel with you. 1 2 Is there anybody else in the room with 3 you that we can't see? 4 MR. JANES: Mr. Sheppard who is also part of the legal team is here with us as well. 5 6 MR. DEPARDE: Understood. Thank you. 7 BY MR. DEPARDE: 8 19 Do you have any notes with you, Q. 9 Chief Hill? 10 Α. No. 20 11 I'd like to take you to your Q. 12 affidavit. 13 Α. Sure. 14 21 0. And I'm on page 2 at paragraph 2. 15 Are you there? 16 Α. I am, yes. 17 22 Q. You state you are the elected chief of the Six Nations elected Council of the 18 19 Six Nations of the Grand River Band of Indians, 20 correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 23 You say that you have been chief Q. 23 of the elected Council since November 2019, 24 correct? That's correct. 25 Α.

11 24 1 You state that from 2010 to 2019, 0. 2 you were a district elected councillor in the elected Council, correct? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 25 So it's fair to say, Chief Hill, Ο. 6 that you've been a member of elected leadership 7 for Six Nations for about 13 years now? Α. That's correct. 8 9 26 In your 13 years as an elected Ο. 10 leader of Six Nations, you have never met with 11 MCFN leadership regarding this lawsuit, correct? 12 Α. That's correct. In your time as an elected leader 13 27 0. 14 for Six Nations, would it be fair to say that 15 you were not aware of any other member of leadership from Six Nations having met with MCFN 16 17 leadership regarding this lawsuit? That's correct. 18 Α. 19 28 In your 13 years as leadership, Ο. 20 you have never written to MCFN leadership 21 regarding this lawsuit. Is that correct? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 29 And would it also be fair to say 0. 24 that you're not aware of any other member of Six 25 Nations leadership writing to MCFN leadership

Veritext 416-413-7755

		12
1		regarding this lawsuit?
2		A. That's correct.
3	30	Q. In your 13 years of an elected
4		leader for Six Nations, you've never called a
5		member of MCFN leadership regarding this
6		lawsuit? Is that correct?
7		A. No, that's not correct. I've had
8		multiple phone conversations with the elected
9		Chief Stacey Laforme.
10	31	Q. So I'd like to ask you a few
11		questions about those conversations.
12		A. I might add that I should be
13		specific that it's never really been
14		conversations about this litigation or a court
15		case, it's always been on other matters.
16	32	Q. So you've never called and had a
17		phone conversation Mr. Laforme, my apologies,
18		Chief Laforme regarding this action in
19		particular?
20		A. No.
21	33	Q. But you often will have phone
22		calls with Chief Laforme on other matters?
23		A. That's correct.
24	34	Q. What other matters would you have
25		phone calls with Chief Laforme about?

		13
1		A. Community safety, there's been
2		instances where most recent there's been, you
3		know, community concern around criminal
4		activity, policing issues, boundary issues,
5		Internet connectivity, those types of matters,
6		so other community matters.
7	35	Q. So, Chief Hill, is it fair to say
8		that Six Nations has never provided formal
9		notice of this lawsuit to MCFN leadership?
10		A. To my knowledge, no.
11	36	Q. I'd like to take you back to your
12		affidavit, Chief Hill.
13		A. Sure.
14	37	Q. Let's go to paragraph 18.
15		A. Okay.
16	38	Q. The second sentence you state:
17		"MCFN's Motion Record contains
18		three expert reports delivered by the
19		Band in support of the Band's claims."
20		Correct.
21		A. Yes.
22	39	Q. And by "the Band" you are
23		referring to Six Nations, correct?
24		A. Yes.
25	40	Q. I'm taking you now to

				14
1		paragraph	19, s	second sentence you state:
2				"Ontario admitted the sharing of
3			these	e expert reports with MCFN's
4			lawye	ers without raising this first in
5			a cas	se conference. This required our
6			lawye	ers to ask for a court direction
7			that	this not happen again."
8			Corre	ect?
9			Α.	That's correct.
10	41		Q.	Is it fair to say that it's your
11		view that	it's	important that these reports not
12		be shared	with	the public?
13			A.	Sorry. Can you repeat that?
14	42		Q.	So is it fair to say that it's
15		your view	or op	oinion or belief that it's
16		important	that	the expert reports prepared by
17		Six Nation	ns tha	at were shared by Ontario with
18		MCFN's law	yers	not be shared with the public?
19			Α.	That's not entirely my view, I
20		wouldn't s	say.	
21	43		Q.	So what is your view?
22			Α.	I mean, I think this I want to
23		go to the	bigge	er picture of this litigation
24		which a lo	ot of	our documents have been shared
25		publicly.	So t	hat's why there's some confusion
	1			

		15
1		in a sense because we have put as much as we can
2		out in the public regarding this entire
3		litigation.
4	44	Q. Right. And I think that it's
5		fair to say there are some documents that have
6		been filed with the Court. But the documents
7		that I am speaking about in particular are the
8		three expert reports that you referred to at
9		paragraph 18 of your
10		A. Right.
11	45	Q affidavit. So my question
12		really only pertains to those reports. So is it
13		your view that it's important that those reports
14		not be shared with the public
15		A. Yes.
16	46	Q at this stage? I'm back at
17		paragraph 19, Chief Hill. On the third to last
18		line you state:
19		"This event was also reported in
20		our community."
21		Correct?
22		A. That's correct.
23	47	Q. And by "this event" you are
24		referring to Ontario sharing three of Six
25		Nations' expert reports with MCFN's lawyers,

Veritext 416-413-7755

		16
1		correct?
2		A. Yes.
3	48	Q. And in the last sentence of
4		paragraph 19, you referred to an article by
5		Turtle Island News as demonstrating this event
6		was reported in your community?
7		A. That's correct.
8	49	Q. I'd like to take you to that
9		article.
10		A. Sure.
11	50	Q. It's at Exhibit A, and I believe
12		the page number is page 9 to your affidavit?
13		A. Yep.
14	51	Q. Are you familiar with this
15		article?
16		A. Yes.
17	52	Q. You've read it?
18		A. Yes.
19	53	Q. I'm going to take you to the
20		second paragraph of this article. Are you
21		there?
22		A. Yes.
23	54	Q. You would agree with me that
24		Mr. Lonny Bomberry is interviewed for this
25		article?

		17
1		A. Yes.
2	55	Q. And that's the same Lonny
3		Bomberry who is here and observing this
4		cross-examination?
5		A. To be honest, I want to clarify.
6		I don't know for sure that he was specifically
7		interviewed, rather or if that was within a
8		specific meeting in where reporters generally
9		come. So I'm not sure. I can't speak
10		specifically to if he was interviewed. The
11		articles often are also looked at and developed
12		from conversations, not necessarily having to be
13		directly interviewed.
14	56	Q. It's fair to say, though, that
15		this article contains quotes from Mr. Bomberry?
16		A. Yes.
17	57	Q. And that's the same Mr. Bomberry
18		who's here today observing a cross-examination?
19		A. Yes.
20	58	Q. I'd like to go back to your
21		comment about meetings with reporters. Can you
22		provide a little bit more context about what
23		meetings reporters would be at?
24		A. So they would be at any of our
25		public meetings, so whether that would be at

Veritext 416-413-7755

18 general council, political liaison or general 1 finance. 2 And do you typically have public 59 3 Ο. 4 meetings about this litigation? We have recently, yes, again to 5 Α. 6 start to inform our community as well as the 7 implementation of a specific website to this litigation. So basically, yes. 8 9 60 And do you recall the particular Ο. 10 public meeting that Mr. Bomberry would have provided these quotes at? 11 12 I don't recall. Α. But it would have been in 13 61 Q. 14 November 2022 or late October 2022? 15 Α. That's what I'm assuming based 16 upon the article date. 17 62 Q. So forgive me, but Mr. Bomberry is the lands and resources director for Six 18 19 Nations? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 63 Let's go to paragraph 6 of the Ο. 22 article, it's also on page 9. 23 Α. Okay. 24 64 Ο. The article quotes Mr. Bomberry 25 as saying:

		19
1		"Ontario released some of our
2		expert reports to them, without our
3		consent. We served the reports on
4		Canada and Ontario, but have not filed
5		in court, so they have them and
6		Ontario gave them to (Mississaugas)
7		First Credit First Nation before our
8		court case comes up."
9		Is that correct?
10		A. That's what it reads, yes.
11	65	Q. Do you know how Mr. Bomberry
12		became aware of that fact?
13		A. No, I am not.
14	66	Q. Would it have been in connection
15		with his day-to-day management of this action?
16		MR. JANES: (Inaudible)
17		MR. DEPARDE: Sorry. I'm having
18		trouble hearing you.
19		MR. JANES: I think we're moving into
20		solicitor-client privilege issues here.
21		Mr. Bomberry is within the Nation and you've
22		heard the earlier testimony that he's involved
23		in the day-to-day management of the litigation
24		(inaudible) with the legal
25		THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, Mr. Janes,

Veritext 416-413-7755

20 you need to come closer to the mic. 1 2 R/F MR. JANES: Sorry. I'm saying that we 3 are going to object on the basis that we're now moving into solicitor and client communications, 4 at this point in time, as you discussed earlier 5 6 that Mr. Bomberry is an employee of the Six 7 Nations who is part of the team that is involved in managing the litigation with legal counsel. 8 9 So we are now, I would suggest, moving into an area we are asking about communications that are 10 likely dealing with solicitor-client 11 communications. 12 13 MR. DEPARDE: I'll move on. 14 BY MR. DEPARDE: 15 67 Chief Hill, is it fair to say Ο. 16 that when Mr. Bomberry gave quotes for this 17 article, he was speaking in an official capacity for Six Nations? 18 19 I'm then going back to, yes, he's Α. 20 our lands and resources director. 21 68 0. So it would be fair to say that 22 his views that are expressed in this article are 23 the views of Six Nations? 24 Α. Yes. 25 69 Q. Thank you, Chief Hill. Those are

Veritext 416-413-7755

Г

	21
1	my questions.
2	A. Thank you.
3	MR. JANES: No re-examination.
4	(Whereupon this examination concludes
5	at 11:31 A.M.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	22		
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2			
3			
4	I, LEILA HECKERT, CVR, Certified		
5	Verbatim Reporter, certify;		
6	That the foregoing proceedings were		
7	taken before me at the time and place therein		
8	set forth at which time the witness was put		
9	under oath by me;		
10	That the testimony of the witness and		
11	all objections made at the time of the		
12	examination were recorded digitally by me and		
13	were thereafter transcribed;		
14	That the foregoing is a true and		
15	accurate transcript of my shorthand notes so		
16	taken. Dated this 20th day of March 2023.		
17			
18	Perla Reckent		
19	Click heeren		
20	PER: LEILA HECKERT		
21	CERTIFIED VERBATIM REPORTER		
22			
23			
24			
25			

[& - area]

&	21 10:14	49 16:8	a
& 2:19	22 10:17	5	a.m. 5:1 21:5
1	23 10:22	5 7:23	able 5:9
	24 11:1	50 16:11	accurate 22:15
1 6:1	25 11:5	51 16:14	action 8:8,13
10 8:16	26 11:9	52 16:17	9:7 12:18
11 8:22	27 11:13	53 16:19	19:15
11:17 5:1	28 11:19	54 16:23	activity 13:4
11:31 21:5	29 11:23	55 17:2	add 12:12
12 9:1	29102 22:19	56 17:14	admitted 14:2
13 9:5 11:7,9	3	57 17:17	advisement
11:19 12:3	3 6:18	58 17:20	3:17
14 9:11	30 12:3	59 18:3	advisements
15 9:15	31 12:10	6	3:7,16
16 9:18	32 12:16		affidavit 1:15
16th 1:17	33 12:21	6 1:15 3:4 8:2	9:13,16,22
17 9:21	34 12:24	18:21	10:12 13:12
18 9:25 13:14	35 13:7	60 18:9	15:11 16:12
15:9	36 13:11	61 18:13	affirm 5:23
18-594281-0	37 13:14	62 18:17	affirmed 1:15
1:1	38 13:16	63 18:21	5:24
19 10:8 14:1	39 13:22	64 18:24	agree 16:23
15:17 16:4		65 19:11	agreed 7:18,20
2	4	66 19:14	alex 6:2
2 6:9 10:14,14	4 6:23	67 20:15	alexander 2:10
20 10:11	40 13:25	68 20:21	anybody 10:2
20/2 3:23	41 14:10	69 20:25	apologies 8:19
2010 11:1	42 14:14	7	8:20 12:17
2019 10:23	43 14:21	7 8:5	appear 3:13,18
11:1	44 15:4	8	3:23 6:25
2022 18:14,14	45 15:11		appreciate 6:6
2023 1:15,17	46 15:16	8 8:7	appropriate 0.0
22:16	47 15:23	9	- 5:13
20th 22:16	48 16:3	9 8:12 16:12	area 20:10
		18:22	aita 20.10

Veritext 416-413-7755 23

[article - cross]

	1	1	1
article 16:4,9	bigger 14:23	claims 13:19	consent 7:11
16:15,20,25	bit 17:22	clarification	19:3
17:15 18:16,22	bomberry 2:18	9:11	contains 13:17
18:24 20:17,22	7:2,5,24 16:24	clarify 17:5	17:15
articles 17:11	17:3,15,17	client 19:20	context 17:22
asked 6:20	18:10,17,24	20:4,11	conversation
asking 20:10	19:11,21 20:6	closer 20:1	12:17
assistance 3:9	20:16	come 17:9 20:1	conversations
assume 9:9	bomberry's 9:3	comes 19:8	12:8,11,14
assuming 18:15	boundary 13:4	commencing	17:12
attendance	break 6:19,21	5:1	correct 7:3,5
7:13	breaks 5:15	comment 17:21	9:19,22 10:20
attorney 1:9	brief 6:6	communication	10:24,25 11:3
2:13	С	8:10	11:8,11,12,18
audio 5:8,10	c 2:1	communicati	11:21,22 12:2
aware 6:10		20:4,10,12	12:6,7,23
11:15,24 19:12	called 12:4,16	community	13:20,23 14:8
b	calls 12:22,25	13:1,3,6 15:20	14:9 15:21,22
b 1:5	canada 1:9 19:4	16:6 18:6	16:1,7 18:20
		company's	19:9
back 8:9 13:11	capacity 20:17	1:16	council 8:10,11
15:16 17:20	care 5:6	competing 5:10	10:18,23 11:3
20:19	case 12:15 14:5	completely	18:1
band 1:6 10:19	19:8	5:11	councillor 11:2
13:19,22 handla 12:10	certificate 22:1	concern 13:3	counsel 3:9 6:2
band's 13:19	certified 22:4	concludes 21:4	10:1 20:8
based 18:15	22:21	conference	court 1:3 6:10
basically 8:24	certify 22:5	14:5	12:14 14:6
18:8	channels 5:10	confusion	15:6 19:5,8
basis 8:14 20:3	chat 7:17	14:25	credit 2:11 6:3
began 9:16	chief 7:23 9:12	connection	19:7
belief 14:15	10:9,18,22	19:14	criminal 13:3
believe 7:6	11:5 12:9,18	connectivity	cross 1:14 3:4
16:11 hart 5:12.16	12:22,25 13:7 13:12 15:17	13:5	5:25 17:4,18
best 5:12,16	イ・ フ ち・ /		
9:22	20:15,25		

Veritext 416-413-7755

[cut - honest]

		-	
cut 5:8,11	district 11:2	expressed	g
cv 1:1	documents	20:22	general 1:9
cvr 2:25 22:4	14:24 15:5,6	extent 7:18	2:14 18:1,1
d	e	extra 5:6	generally 17:8
d 3:1	e 1:5,5,5 2:1,1	f	give 6:15
date 18:16	3:1	f 3:22 20:2	go 6:21 13:14
dated 22:16	earlier 19:22	facilitating 8:9	14:23 17:20
david 2:13,14	20:5	fact 19:12	18:21
day 1:17 8:14	elected 8:11	fair 8:12 9:21	going 6:14 7:12
8:14 9:2,6,6	10:17,18,23	11:5,14,23	8:16 16:19
19:15,15,23,23	11:2,3,6,9,13	13:7 14:10,14	20:3,19
22:16	12:3,8	15:5 17:14	good 6:1
dealing 20:11	employee 20:6	20:15,21	gosh 8:4
defendant 2:6	entire 7:15,16	fairly 8:14	grand 1:6
defendants	15:2	familiar 16:14	10:19
1:11	entirely 14:19	february 1:15	great 9:15
delivered 13:18	errors 9:18	federal 7:7	gregory 2:3
demonstrating	esq 2:2,3,4,6,7	feliciant 2:14	guide 3:8
16:5	2:8,10,11,13	file 1:1	h
deparde 2:10	event 15:19,23	filed 15:6 19:4	h 5:21
3:4 5:25 6:2	16:5	finance 18:2	happen 14:7
7:8,21,22 8:19	exactly 5:14	find 9:18	hear 5:9
8:21 10:6,7	examination	finished 5:17	heard 19:22
19:17 20:13,14	1:14 3:4 5:25	first 2:11 5:19	hearing 19:18
description 4:2	6:12 7:11,17	6:3 14:4 19:7,7	heckert 2:25
developed	17:4,18 21:3,4	following 3:7	22:4,20
17:11	22:12	3:13,18,23 5:3	hill 1:14 2:19
digitally 22:12	examinations	foregoing 22:6	3:3 5:20,23,24
direction 14:6	6:4	22:14	6:1 7:2,4,23
directly 17:13	exhibit 16:11	forgive 18:17	8:17,19,22 9:2
director 7:25	exhibits 4:1	formal 13:8	9:6,12 10:9
8:2 18:18	expert 13:18	forth 8:10 22:8	11:5 13:7,12
20:20	14:3,16 15:8	frame 2:11	15:17 20:15,25
discussed 20:5	15:25 19:2		honest 17:5

Veritext 416-413-7755

[identify - nation]

	1	1	1
i	job 1:25	level 9:10	matters 12:15
identify 5:18	justice 1:3	liaison 18:1	12:22,24 13:5
implementati	k	likely 5:11	13:6
18:7	k 5:20	20:11	max 2:4
important	katrina 2:7	line 3:13,19,23	mcfn 11:11,16
14:11,16 15:13	king 1:10	15:18	11:20,25 12:5
inaudible 19:16	know 5:4 6:3	list 3:7	13:9
		litigation 12:14	mcfn's 13:17
19:24	6:19 13:3 17:6	14:23 15:3	14:3,18 15:25
incoming 5:10	19:11	18:4,8 19:23	mean 14:22
index 3:11,16	knowledge	20:8	meant 3:8
3:21 4:1	9:23 13:10	little 17:22	meeting 17:8
indians 1:6	<u>l</u>	long 7:13 8:2,5	18:10
10:19	I 5:21,21	8:6	meetings 17:21
individuals	laforme 12:9	longo 2:7	17:23,25 18:4
6:25	12:17,18,22,25	lonny 2:18 7:2	member 11:6
inform 18:6	lands 7:25 8:3	7:4,24 16:24	11:15,24 12:5
instances 13:2	18:18 20:20	17:2	met 11:10,16
intention 9:1	late 18:14	lonny's 8:25	mic 20:1
internet 13:5	lawsuit 11:11	looked 17:11	
interrupt 5:12	11:17,21 12:1		mississaugas 2:10 6:2 19:6
interviewed	12:6 13:9	lot 14:24	4
16:24 17:7,10	lawyers 14:4,6	m	mitchell 2:6
17:13	14:18 15:25	m 2:25 5:20	morning 6:1,7
involved 8:13	leader 11:10,13	made 22:11	motion 13:17
9:6 19:22 20:7	12:4	majesty 1:9	move 20:13
island 16:5		management	moving 19:19
issues 13:4,4	leadership 11:6	8:13 9:7 19:15	20:4,9
19:20	11:11,16,17,19	19:23	multiple 12:8
j	11:20,25,25	managing 20:8	mute 7:14
	12:5 13:9	march 1:17	n
j 2:14	learning 8:24	22:16	n 1:5 2:1 3:1
janes 2:2 7:4,19	legal 8:11 10:5	mark 1:14 3:3	name 5:19 6:1
8:18 10:4	19:24 20:8	5:20,23,24	nation 2:11 6:3
19:16,19,25	leila 2:25 22:4	matter 7:10	19:7,21
20:2 21:3	22:20		12.1,21

Veritext 416-413-7755

[nations - refusals]

	1	[
nations 1:6	objections	particular	put 15:1 22:8
2:20 7:1 8:8	22:11	12:19 15:7	q
10:18,19 11:7	observation 9:9	18:9	question 6:15
11:10,14,16,25	observers 7:10	people 5:13	6:20 15:11
12:4 13:8,23	observing 7:1	person 5:7,17	questions 3:12
14:17 15:25	8:25 17:3,18	pertains 15:12	3:17,22 6:5
18:19 20:7,18	october 18:14	phone 12:8,17	8:17 12:11
20:23	official 20:17	12:21,25	21:1
necessarily	oh 8:4	picture 14:23	
17:12	okay 9:5 13:15	place 22:7	quite 6:4
need 5:5 6:18	18:23	plaintiff 1:7 2:2	quotes 17:15
20:1	on5798237	planning 8:24	18:11,24 20:16
neesons 1:16	1:25	platform 1:17	r
never 11:10,20	ontario 1:2,10	please 5:18	r 2:1 3:22 5:20
12:4,13,16	2:14 14:2,17	plus 8:4	20:2
13:8	15:24 19:1,4,6	point 20:5	raised 7:10
news 16:5	opinion 14:15	policing 13:4	raising 14:4
nod 6:16	owen 2:8 7:1,5	political 18:1	rather 9:9 17:7
note 5:2 6:24	7:6	possible 6:6	rcp 2:25
7:9		prepared 14:16	read 5:3 16:17
noted 3:12,18	p	present 2:17	reads 19:10
3:22	p 2:1,1	prior 7:10	really 12:13
notes 10:8	page 3:2,13,19	privilege 19:20	15:12
22:15	3:23 4:2 10:14	proceedings	recall 18:9,12
notice 13:9	16:12,12 18:22	22:6	recent 13:2
november	paragraph	provide 6:20	recently 18:5
10:23 18:14	10:14 13:14	17:22	record 6:13,16
number 6:25	14:1 15:9,17	provided 13:8	6:24 7:9 13:17
16:12	16:4,20 18:21	18:11	recorded 22:12
nuri 2:11	part 8:23 10:5	public 14:12,18	referred 15:8
	20:7	15:2,14 17:25	16:4
0	participants	,	referring 13:23
oath 22:9	5:3	18:3,10	15:24
object 7:12	participate	publicly 14:25	refusals 3:8,21
20:3	7:16,17	purpose 3:9	

Veritext 416-413-7755

[refused - try]

refused 3:22	role 8:7,25 9:3	slow 5:16	talking 5:7
regarding	room 10:2	solicitor 19:20	tania 2:6
11:11,17,21	S	20:4,11	tayler 2:19 7:2
12:1,5,18 15:2	s 2:1	sorry 14:13	7:4
released 19:1	s 2.1 safety 13:1	19:17,25 20:2	team 7:6,7 8:11
remember 5:14		speak 5:6 17:9	10:5 20:7
repeat 14:13	10	speaking 5:17	testimony
reported 2:25	20:2	15:7 20:17	19:22 22:10
15:19 16:6	second 13:16	specific 12:13	thank 6:8,22
reporter 5:4,22	14:1 16:20	17:8 18:7	7:8,21 9:11
6:10 19:25	see 9:25 10:3	specifically	10:6 20:25
22:5,21	sense 15:1	17:6,10	21:2
reporter's 5:2	sentence 13:16	spell 5:19	think 7:1 14:22
22:1	14:1 16:3	stacey 12:9	15:4 19:19
reporters 17:8	served 19:3	stage 15:16	third 15:17
17:21,23	set 22:8	start 6:9 18:6	thought 5:15
reports 13:18	shapiro 2:4	started 6:23	three 13:18
14:3,11,16	shared 14:12	state 10:17	15:8,24
15:8,12,13,25	14:17,18,24	11:1 13:16	time 6:7 7:15
19:2,3	15:14	14:1 15:18	7:16 8:5,6
requests 3:12	sharing 14:2	stay 7:14,15	11:13 20:5
3:17,22	15:24	succession 8:24	22:7,8,11
,	sheppard 2:3		
required 14:5	10:4	suggest 20:9	today 5:22 6:12
resources 8:1,3	shorthand	superior 1:3	7:13 9:13,16
18:18 20:20	22:15	support 13:19	17:18
response 6:15	show 6:16	sure 6:9 10:13	tortell 2:13
6:21	signature 22:19	13:13 16:10	train 5:15
results 6:12	six 1:6 2:20 7:1	17:6,9	transcribed
retired 9:5	8:8 10:18,19	t	22:13
review 9:15	11:7,10,14,16	t 1:5 3:13	transcript 6:11
right 1:10 15:4	11:24 12:4	take 5:5 6:18	22:15
15:10	13:8,23 14:17	9:2 10:11	trouble 19:18
river 1:6 10:19	15:24 18:18	13:11 16:8,19	true 9:22 22:14
robert 2:2	20:6,18,23	taken 1:16 3:17	try 5:16 6:6
	20.0,10,20	22:7,16	
		22.7,10	

Veritext

416-413-7755

[turtle - zoom]

turtle 16:5 twenty 8:4 types 13:5 typically 18:3 u u 3:13,18 under 3:17 22:9 understand 6:17 understanding 7:19 understood 7:8 7:14 9:1 10:6 undertaken 3:12 undertakings 3:7,11	<pre>want 6:24 14:22 17:5 website 18:7 witness 3:3 5:18,20,22,23 22:8,10 writing 11:25 written 6:11,14 11:20 x x 3:1 y years 8:4 11:7 11:9,19 12:3 yep 16:13 young 2:8 7:2,5 7:6</pre>	
using 5:5	z zoom 5:5	
V	200111 5.5	
verbal 6:15 verbatim 22:5 22:21 veritext 1:16 videos 7:15 view 14:11,15 14:19,21 15:13 views 20:22,23 virtual 1:16 9:25 w w 1:5 wait 5:16		

Veritext 416-413-7755

	Page 1
1	Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000
2	ONTARIO
3	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
4	
5	BETWEEN:
б	SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS
7	Plaintiff
8	- and -
9	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA and
10	HIS MAJESTY THE KING IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO
11	Defendants
12	- and -
13	MISSISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT FIRST NATION
14	Moving Party
15	
16	
17	
18	This is the Cross-Examination of CHIEF R.
19	STACEY LAFORME, on his affidavit affirmed December
20	2, 2022, taken via Neesons, a Veritext Company's
21	virtual Zoom platform, with all participants
22	attending remotely, on the 20th day of March, 2023.
23	
24	
25	

Г

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	MAX SHAPIRO, Esq., for the Plaintiff
3	GREGORY SHEPPARD, Esq.,
4	BRITTANY TOWN, Esq.,
5	&
6	ROBERT JANES, Esq.,
7	
8	TANIA MITCHELL, Esq., for the Defendant,
9	OWEN YOUNG, Esq., Attorney General of
10	KATRINA LONGO, Esq., Canada
11	
12	DAVID TORTELL, Esq., for the Defendant,
13	DAVID FELICIANT, Esq., His Majesty the King
14	In Right of Ontario
15	
16	NURI FRAME, Esq., for the Moving Party,
17	ALEXANDER DePARDE, Esq., Mississaugas of The
18	Credit First Nation
19	
20	Also Present: Tayler Hill
21	Lonny Bomberry
22	
23	REPORTED BY: Deana Santedicola, RPR, CRR, CSR
24	
25	

Page 3 1 INDEX 2 WITNESS: Chief R. Stacey Laforme 3 PAGES CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHAPIRO.....5 - 90 4 5 б **The following list of undertakings, advisements 7 and refusals is meant as a guide only for the assistance of counsel and no other purpose** 8 9 10 INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS 11 The questions/requests undertaken are noted by U/T 12 and appear on the following pages: 45:15, 77:3, 13 77:11, 77:23, 79:21, 80:9, 81:23, 84:11, 87:3 14 15 INDEX OF ADVISEMENTS 16 The questions/requests taken under advisement are 17 noted by U/A and appear on the following pages: 18 [None] 19 20 INDEX OF REFUSALS 21 The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F and 22 appear on the following pages: 51:10, 58:19, 58:25, 59:8, 59:18, 59:22, 60:2, 60:12, 61:1, 23 61:23, 62:10, 62:19, 62:24, 64:16, 65:1, 65:6, 24 25 71:20, 79:10, 85:21, 86:4, 86:8, 86:15

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			Page 4
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NO. DESC	CRIPTION	PAGE/LINE NO.
4	Ex. 1	Notice of Examination for Chief	
5		Laforme, dated March 2, 2023	. 7/24
6			
7	Ex. 2	Letter dated March 14, 2023,	
8		from Mr. Frame to Mr. Shapiro	. 8/16
9			
10	Ex. 3	Document entitled "Memorandum of	E
11		Mississaugas of the Credit First	t
12		Nation (For December 12, 2022,	
13		Case Management Conference)",	
14		dated December 5, 2022	. 55/14
15			
16	Ex. 4	Letter from Mr. Shapiro to Mr.	
17		Frame and Mr. DeParde, dated	
18		March 3, 2023	. 65/14
19			
20	Ex. 5	Letter from Mr. Frame to Mr.	
21		Shapiro, dated March 17, 2023	. 65/17
22			
23			
24			
25	Job No. 0N57820	063	

		Page 5
1		Upon commencing at 10:00 a.m.
2		
3		CHIEF R. STACEY LAFORME; EAGLE FEATHER
4		AFFIRMATION.
5		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHAPIRO:
б	1	Q. Good morning, Chief Laforme. My
7		name is Max Shapiro, and I am one of the lawyers
8		for the Six Nations Band. I'll be asking questions
9		this morning. Nice to meet you.
10		A. Good morning, Max. Nice to meet
11		you as well.
12	2	Q. I take it you are located in your
13		lawyer's conference room?
14		A. I believe this is his conference
15		room. He doesn't invite me down much, so I can't
16		swear to it, but yeah.
17	3	Q. We'll take his word for it that it
18		is his conference room. There is no one else in
19		the room with you; correct?
20		A. Correct.
21	4	Q. And the only screen you have in
22		front of you is the one you are looking at right
23		now with the video on?
24		A. Correct.
25	5	Q. You don't have any mobile phones

Page 6 1 on? 2 Α. No. 6 3 Ο. And I see you have a number of documents in front of you. Can you let me know 4 5 what is on the desk? The first one is the Motion 6 Α. Sure. 7 Record of the Mississaugas of the Credit First 8 Nation for leave to intervene. The next one is the Ontario Further Amended Statement of Claim, the 9 Plaintiff Documents, Chief Laforme 10 11 Cross-examination, and the affidavit of R. Stacey 12 Laforme, which is me. 13 So those are the documents that I have 14 that are -- and of course, I have the Eagle Feather 15 Affirmation. 7 Thank you. And the affidavit you 16 Q. 17 mentioned is the one that you affirmed on December 18 2nd, 2022; correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 8 I take it you have no corrections Ο. to that affidavit? 21 22 Α. Not at this time, no. 9 23 Q. And you have no notes written down in front of you; correct? 24 25 Α. No, I haven't done that since high

Page 7 1 school. 2 10 Q. Great. Your lawyers are Mr. Frame and Mr. DeParde of the Pape Salter firm, right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 11 Q. I take it they shared a Notice of б Examination with you dated March 2nd; have you seen 7 that? I reviewed a number of 8 Α. 9 correspondences. Is that the correspondence that I reviewed when I was reviewing the Statement of 10 11 Claim? 12 12 That may be more a question for Ο. 13 your lawyer, but there is a Notice of Examination 14 document, and we can pull it up, if that is 15 helpful, Counsel. MR. FRAME: It was provided to the 16 17 Chief, and I will just give him a copy. It is in 18 the collection of materials that we provided him at 19 your request. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. Yes, I 21 do recognize this document, thank you. 22 MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you. I would like to please mark that document as Exhibit 1 today. 23 Ex. 1: Notice of Examination 24 25 for Chief Laforme, dated March 2, 2023.

Г

		Page 8
1		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
2	13	Q. Mr. Frame sent a March 14th letter
3		responding to that notice which may be in that
4		correspondence package. I am wondering if you have
5		seen that either?
б		A. Yes.
7	14	Q. And let's give Mr. Frame a moment
8		to show you, just in case. You are looking at a
9		March 14th letter?
10		A. I am.
11		MR. SHAPIRO: I would like to please
12		mark that as Exhibit 2.
13		And, Madam Reporter, we can provide you
14		with electronic copies of all of the materials on
15		the break or afterwards.
16		Ex. 2: Letter dated March 14,
17		2023, from Mr. Frame to Mr. Shapiro.
18		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
19	15	Q. Chief Laforme, I understand you
20		are a member of the Mississaugas of the Credit
21		First Nation?
22		A. Correct.
23	16	Q. That is an Indigenous community of
24		Anishinaabeg people?

		Page 9
1	17	Q. It is also a Band under the Indian
2		Act?
3		A. It is.
4	18	Q. You'll understand that when I
5		refer today to "MCFN" or the "Mississaugas", that I
б		am referring to the Mississaugas of the Credit
7		First Nation, okay?
8		A. Yes, that is clear.
9	19	Q. Okay. The MCFN I take it is
10		represented by an Elected Council?
11		A. Yes.
12	20	Q. You are the Elected Chief of that
13		Council?
14		A. I am.
15	21	Q. You have held that role since you
16		were elected as Chief in 2015?
17		A. This is going on my eighth year,
18		yes.
19	22	Q. You were an Elected Member of
20		Council before 2015; correct?
21		A. Correct.
22	23	Q. Between 1999 and 2015, I gather?
23		A. With one break in the middle.
24	24	Q. And when was that break?
25		A. I would be guessing, but I believe

		Page 10
1		it was in 2008 to 2010. I couldn't swear to it,
2		but I believe that is what it was.
3	25	Q. So about a two-year break?
4		A. Yes.
5	26	Q. Okay. In your role as Chief and
6		before that as Councillor, you know about the Six
7		Nations of the Grand River Band of Indians?
8		A. Yes.
9	27	Q. The Six Nations is a community of
10		Haudenosaunee people?
11		A. Correct.
12	28	Q. And the Six Nations have a Reserve
13		near Brantford; correct?
14		A. Yes.
15	29	Q. MCFN has a Reserve called Reserve
16		40B; correct?
17		A. I remember the 40. I am not sure
18		if it was 40B, but probably.
19	30	Q. The MCFN Reserve is on lands that
20		the Mississaugas occupy under an agreement with the
21		Six Nations of the Grand River; correct?
22		A. Well, that is a complicated story.
23		The lands of the Mississauga occupied currently as
24		the Reserve portion was land surrendered or at
25		least agreed to share with the Crown so that the

Page 11

1		Six Nations could settle along the Grand after
2		their troubles in the American Revolution, and then
3		the Six Nations came to us when they heard we were
4		being misplaced and offered us to settle there. So
5		we came and settled there, and I can't recall the
6		years. And that is sort of the history of how we
7		got there.
8	31	Q. Thank you, and we'll get to some
9		of the older history. I was referring to some of
10		the more recent history. I take it there is an
11		agreement in about 1900 regarding the Mississaugas'
12		occupation of what is now called Reserve 40B; does
13		that sound right?
14		A. I can't recall specifically. I
15		know there is history around the Mississauga
16		actually paying a sum of money to the Six Nations
17		on the land as well during that time period, so
18	32	Q. And that was in the early 1900s,
19		right?
20		A. I don't recall the exact dates,
21		sorry.
22	33	Q. The Six Nations Band is governed
23		by an Elected Council; correct?
24		A. That is also an interesting
25		question. The elected system is what I interact

Г

		Page 12
1		with most often, yes.
2	34	Q. The Six Nations Band has an
3		Elected Chief and Council just like the MCFN,
4		right?
5		A. Correct.
б	35	Q. The current Six Nations Elected
7		Chief is Chief Mark Hill?
8		A. Yes.
9	36	Q. I gather you know Chief Hill?
10		A. Yes.
11	37	Q. And you sometimes discuss
12		community issues with Chief Hill, things like
13		safety and crime and internet access?
14		A. Yes, there has been a number of
15		occasions when we have discussed pressing issues
16		from both sides of our Reserve and their Reserve.
17	38	Q. Let's talk about high level
18		MCFN/Six Nations history, which is discussed in
19		your affidavit. I take it from paragraph 10 of
20		your affidavit and you may want to pull that
21		document up at this point. I'll just give you a
22		moment.
23		A. Okay, I have it in front of me.
24	39	Q. And if at any time you wish to
25		review anything that I am referring to you, by all

		Page 13
1		means, just let me know. But what I am interested
2		in here is I take it from paragraph 10 that the
3		Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabeg peoples have had a
4		complicated history; correct?
5		A. Yes.
6	40	Q. That complicated history goes back
7		to the Beaver Wars in the 1600s; correct?
8		A. Yes.
9	41	Q. And I take it that conflict ended
10		with the Dish with One Spoon Agreement in the year
11		1700; correct?
12		A. The conflict itself ended previous
13		to that, but the agreement called the Dish with One
14		Spoon was the 1700s, yes.
15	42	Q. Thank you for clarifying. There
16		was also an agreement in 1701 related to this
17		called the Great Peace of Montreal; correct?
18		A. Correct.
19	43	Q. And I take it the Beaver Wars
20		concerned lands that included lands that were later
21		subject to the 1784 Haldimand Proclamation;
22		correct?
23		A. Could you repeat that again,
24		please?
25	44	Q. Sure. I take it that the Beaver

		Page 14
1		Wars concerned lands that were later the subject of
2		the 1784 Haldimand Proclamation; correct?
3		A. Yes, the Beaver Wars covered a
4		large area that would have covered that as well.
5	45	Q. Including in what is now called
6		Ontario, modern day Ontario; correct?
7		A. Yes.
8	46	Q. And I understand from the history
9		that both the Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabeg
10		peoples claimed these lands?
11		A. Prior to the Beaver Wars, there
12		were settlements of other Iroquois in Southern
13		Ontario, the Huron, the Neutral, the Tobacco, and
14		Anishinaabeg people that would come in to the lands
15		prior to the wars, yes, so there was settlement
16		here by other Indigenous people who we got along
17		well with.
18	47	Q. And sometimes people got along,
19		but also sometimes people did not get along, and
20		that is where we see the reference to the Beaver
21		Wars; correct?
22		A. The Beaver Wars is basically what
23		drove the conflict.
24	48	Q. Right, so I understand there was
25		an extended period of conflict in the 1600s, just

Page 15 1 in terms of a time frame; is that right? 2 Α. Yes. 49 Okay. And the Dish with One Spoon 3 Ο. 4 Agreement provided a means of reaching peace to resolve that conflict; fair? 5 б The Dish with One Spoon was a Α. 7 peace agreement. 50 Right. And from the Mississaugas' 8 Ο. 9 perspective, you would say that agreement did not recognize the lands in modern day Ontario as 10 Haudenosaunee lands; is that right? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 51 Right. But it did, I think you Ο. 14 would agree, permit the Haudenosaunee to travel 15 over those lands? Under conditions, yes. 16 Α. 17 52 Q. The conditions being that the Mississaugas would give permission, right? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 53 The same for permitting the Ο. 21 Haudenosaunee to harvest over these lands. They 22 could do that but with the Mississaugas' permission? 23 24 And for a set purpose, yes. Α. 25 54 The purpose being harvesting or Q.

Page 16 farming? 1 2 Α. That portion I am not fully aware My understanding was that it was more to allow 3 of. crossing and transporting back and forth across the 4 5 lands as opposed to actually taking up and harvesting and -- if you are talking about 6 7 harvesting in the context of crops and that type of 8 thing. 55 9 Q. And when you mean travel across 10 the land, do you mean for the purpose of trading? 11 For the purpose of peace, Α. 12 friendship, making an agreement, possibly trading, 13 yes. 56 14 Okay, thank you. And if you could Ο. pull up paragraph 67 of your affidavit, I take it 15 from this paragraph that there was you describe it 16 17 as a renewal of the Dish with One Spoon Agreement 18 in the 1800s; is that right? 19 It reflects about: Α. 20 "[...] consistent with the 21 renewal of our Dish with One Spoon 22 agreement and in recognition of our ancestors' role in granting Six 23 24 Nations their lands along [...] -25 Six Nations extended an invitation

		Page 17
1		to our people to come and live on
2		their reserve in the Grand River
3		Valley in the very lands they were
4		granted under the Haldimand
5		Proclamation of 1784. The tract of
б		land to which we relocated is now
7		our reserve - New Credit 40A."
8		So actually, the earlier question of
9		40B is actually a reference to 40A.
10	57	Q. So going back to the question
11		then, there was a renewal of the Dish with One
12		Spoon Agreement in the 1800s? Let's just start
13		there.
14		A. Yes.
15	58	Q. That is the right time frame,
16		okay. And you read out a portion of this paragraph
17		which said during that renewal, Six Nations:
18		"[] extended an invitation
19		to our people to come and live on
20		their reserve in the Grand River
21		Valley in the very lands they were
22		granted under the Haldimand
23		Proclamation of 1784."
24		And I take it from that sentence, by
25		"their reserve", you are referring to the Six

Г

		Page 18
1		Nations of the Grand River Reserve, right?
2		A. The document does refer to the Six
3		Nations of the Grand River Reserve in that
4		instance, yes.
5	59	Q. Right, and that is the Reserve
б		that was created by the Haldimand Proclamation?
7		A. That is how the Six Nations came
8		to settle that, yes, that's correct.
9	60	Q. And you then go on to state:
10		"The tract of land to which we
11		relocated is now our reserve - New
12		Credit 40A."
13		And I take it you mean that tract of
14		land was within the Haldimand Tract, right?
15		A. Yes.
16	61	Q. Okay, let's go back to paragraph 9
17		of your affidavit, please. And it is a long
18		paragraph. I'll give you a chance to read it, but
19		I am interested in the sentence starting with "For
20		example []", in the middle.
21		A. [Witness reviews document.]
22		Okay, I have read it. Thank you.
23	62	Q. And just for the record, I'll read
24		it out. It says:
25		"For example, and as I explain

		Page 19
1		in more detail below, our people
2		entered into a treaty with the Crown
3		in 1784 that paved the way for the
4		Crown to grant Six Nations its lands
5		in the Grand River Valley - the very
6		lands that are the subject of the
7		Action; []"
8		And I take it, Chief Laforme, that the
9		reference here to "our people" refers to the
10		Mississaugas?
11		A. Correct.
12	63	Q. I also I take it that the 1784
13		Treaty that you are referring to is called the
14		Between the Lakes Purchase; correct?
15		A. That is what the Crown refers to
16		it as, yes.
17	64	Q. It is also what you refer to it
18		as; correct?
19		A. Well, we refer to it as a Treaty,
20		not a surrender. They refer to the words
21		"surrender". We use "Treaty".
22	65	Q. The Between the Lakes Purchase or
23		Treaty is discussed at paragraphs 44 to 47 of your
24		affidavit, right?
25		A. Let me just get there.

Г

		Page 20
1	66	Q. Sure.
2		A. Yes.
3	67	Q. Okay, so that instrument, I'll
4		call it, is the same one that is referenced back at
5		paragraph 9, the 1784 instrument?
б		A. Yes.
7	68	Q. Okay. And when you say back at
8		paragraph 9 that there was a Treaty that "paved the
9		way for the Crown to grant Six Nations its lands in
10		the Grand River Valley", by that I take it you mean
11		that the Mississaugas surrendered certain lands to
12		the Crown, right?
13		A. We surrendered certain lands to
14		share with the Crown is our interpretation of it.
15	69	Q. So there was a surrender in 1784;
16		do I have that right?
17		A. Yes.
18	70	Q. And I think that is what you talk
19		about a little bit later in the affidavit at
20		paragraph 46, so why don't we go there. And I will
21		just read it out for Madam Reporter. It states:
22		"In 1784, our ancestors agreed
23		that they would transfer a tract of
24		land lying between Lake Erie and
25		Lake Huron, including 550,000 acres

		Page 21
1		along the Grand River that was later
2		granted to Six Nations. This
3		agreement was enshrined in the
4		Between the Lakes Purchase treaty,
5		which granted the Crown certain
6		rights to our lands. After the
7		Crown acquired rights to the Grand
8		River Valley, it granted six miles
9		on either side of the Grand River to
10		Six Nations' ancestors under the
11		Haldimand Proclamation of 1784."
12		Are you with me, Chief Laforme?
13		A. Yes.
14	71	Q. When you say "transfer" in the
15		first part of this paragraph, that means surrender,
16		right?
17		MR. FRAME: You are getting pretty
18		close to asking for a legal conclusion, Max, so
19		maybe we could try to not make this about
20		interpreting legally interpreting what this
21		instrument does.
22		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
23	72	Q. So I am not asking for a legal
24		interpretation. I am asking for the witness's
25		historical knowledge. When the witness uses the

Page 22 word "transfer", Chief Laforme, that was a 1 2 surrender of Mississaugas' lands to the Crown, 3 right? Α. In the context of understanding 4 5 the Mississaugas through the course of many Treaties, we understood that we were to share the 6 7 lands and it was not to divest ourselves of those So we consider our Treaties to be sharing. 8 lands. 9 However, in the instance of the Six 10 Nations land, we were aware that some of the land 11 was to go to Six Nations for their settlement, 12 which is now the Haldimand Proclamation. So 13 certainly that is the case. 14 73 Right, so in this specific Ο. 15 instance, leaving aside the wider history which I recognize is there, what happens is that there was 16 17 a transfer of land in 1784 that later went to the 18 Six Nations of the Grand River? 19 Α. Yes. 20 74 I would like to talk a Ο. Okay. 21 little bit about how MCFN asserts claims, so we'll 22 shift gears a little bit. You have mentioned and I take it that the MCFN has Treaties with the Crown, 23 24 right? 25 Α. Correct.

Page 23 75 1 Ο. It has commenced claims against 2 the Crown to enforce its rights under those Treaties? 3 Correct. 4 Α. 5 76 Ο. It has also commenced Court б actions to enforce its rights under those Treaties; 7 correct? 8 Α. Also correct, yes. 77 9 Q. Could you please pull up the 10 Plaintiff's Responding Record at page 24, and this 11 one I might ask Ms. Town to put up on the screen, 12 please. 13 And it is a document, just to help your 14 Counsel who I think is looking for it, called 15 "Current land claims". Yeah, we found it. 16 Α. 17 78 Q. Okay, great. So we are both 18 looking at an Ontario Government document called 19 "Current land claims"; are you with me? 20 Α. Yes. 79 And if I go down to the bottom of 21 Ο. 22 the first page, I see a couple of references to the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation. And if I 23 24 can summarize, and I am happy to let you review it, 25 I take it that the Mississaugas of the Credit have

Page 24 1 advanced at least three claims that the Government 2 of Ontario is investigating; do you see that? 3 Α. Yes. 80 There is one claiming Aboriginal 4 Ο. 5 title to land? There is two land claims and 6 Α. 7 another Aboriginal title to water that I see. So why don't we go one at a time, 81 8 Q. 9 and if Ms. Town could put it up on the screen, 10 there is one, the first claim is Aboriginal title to land, right? 11 12 I am just going to pause there and ask 13 Madam Reporter to grant Ms. Town screen-sharing 14 ability. 15 THE COURT REPORTER: It has been granted. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 82 So page 24, please, and if you 19 Ο. 20 could just zoom that in, please, Ms. Town. Great. So at the bottom of the page, there is 21 22 a reference to a claim to Aboriginal title 23 regarding land, right? 24 Α. Yes. 25 83 And then over the page, please, Q.

Page 25 the next entry is another claim to Aboriginal title 1 2 to water; correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 84 And the third claim is regarding Ο. 5 Reserve land, right? б Α. Yes. 7 85 So a couple of questions on each. Ο. On the first claim to Aboriginal title 8 9 to land, that covers a territory called the Rouge River Tract; correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 86 Within that territory, there are Q. 13 First Nations other than the MCFN, right? There has been a settlement of a 14 Α. 15 claim within that area. I think it is believed it is the Williams Treaty Bands, so yes. 16 The Six Nations Reserve is not 17 87 Ο. 18 within the Rouge River Tract; correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 88 And the Haldimand Proclamation Ο. lands that we talked about a few moments ago are 21 not within the Rouge River Tract; correct? 22 23 Α. Correct. On the second claim, Aboriginal 89 24 Ο. 25 title to water, I take it this covers a territory

		Page 26
1		which is described as the "Region of northeastern
2		Lake Erie and northwestern Lake Ontario"; is that
3		right?
4		A. Yes, that is the statement here,
5		yes.
б	90	Q. I see that is the statement, but
7		from your knowledge, is that a correct statement?
8		A. Yes, when it comes to the
9		clarification at the bottom, yes.
10	91	Q. What clarification is that?
11		A. That we are "asserting title to
12		the waters, beds of waters, ground water and
13		floodplains in their territory."
14	92	Q. Right. That territory includes
15		First Nations and Bands other than the
16		Mississaugas; correct?
17		A. I would assume so, yes.
18	93	Q. The Six Nations Band is one such
19		Band whose Reserve is within the territory covered
20		by the water claim, right?
21		A. Yes.
22	94	Q. You are not aware of the MCFN
23		providing notice to the Six Nations Band about this
24		water claim; correct?
25		A. That's correct.

Γ

		Page 27
1	95	Q. You are also not aware of the MCFN
2		providing notice to any other Bands in the
3		territory covered about the water claim; correct?
4		A. Correct.
5	96	Q. And that is because other Bands
6		would not have expected to receive notice; fair?
7		A. I can't speak to what the
8		assumption of other Bands are.
9	97	Q. You would agree me, though, that
10		each Band can advance claims on its own behalf?
11		A. Yes.
12	98	Q. Each Band respects other Bands'
13		ability to advance claims on their own behalf?
14		A. Yes.
15	99	Q. And Bands don't interfere with
16		each other's claims against the Crown; fair?
17		A. I do not have a historically
18		accurate depiction of the Court cases, so I
19		couldn't answer that.
20	100	Q. The third claim listed on this
21		document is a Reserve claim. You are not aware of
22		the MCFN providing notice of that Reserve claim to
23		other Bands; correct?
24		A. No, they are simply public
25		filings, nothing specific to other Bands.

Page 28 1 101 Let's talk a bit more about the Ο. 2 water claim. I understand a Statement of Claim was issued regarding that claim in December 2020. And 3 you can take down the screen-sharing, Ms. Town. 4 Is 5 that correct? Say that again, please? 6 Α. 7 102 I take it that a Statement of Ο. Claim was issued about the water claim in 2020; 8 9 does that sound right? 10 Α. I can't recall the exact dates, my 11 apologies. 12 103 That is okay. Why don't we pull Ο. 13 It is at page 43 of the Responding Record, it up. 14 and why don't we put that on the screen, please. 15 You have that in front of you, Chief Laforme? The Statement of Claim, yes. 16 Α. 17 104 Q. Right, and the Plaintiff is the 18 Chief and Council of the Mississaugas of the Credit 19 First Nation on behalf of the Mississaugas of the 20 Credit Band of Indians? 21 Α. Yes. 22 105 Q. The Chief there is you? 23 Α. Yes. 24 106 We now see it on the screen. Ο. The 25 Defendants are the Governments of Canada and

Page 29 Ontario, right? 1 2 Α. Correct. 107 And I understand this claim is 3 Ο. 4 about the recognition of Aboriginal title as it 5 relates to water, beds of water and floodplains within a large area of Southern Ontario; is that a б 7 fair summary? 8 Α. Yes. 108 9 Q. The MCFN didn't provide notice to the Six Nations Band about this claim before it was 10 issued; correct? 11 12 Α. That is correct. 13 109 And it hasn't provided notice to Ο. the Six Nations Band since the claim was issued; 14 15 fair? I don't believe we have provided 16 Α. 17 any direct statement of the claim to them. You didn't seek Six Nations' 18 110 0. 19 approval before it was issued; fair? 20 Α. Yes. 111 Nor did you seek input about the 21 Ο. claim from Six Nations after the claim was issued? 22 23 Α. Correct. If we could drop down to paragraph 24 112 Ο. 25 1 of that claim, I see it states that declarations

Page 30 are by the Mississaugas regarding "water, beds of 1 2 water, and floodplains in its territory, as set out in Schedule A"; correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 113 Ο. And if we drop down to Schedule A, б which is at the end of the pleading document, there 7 is a map, which is 62 of the record. Do you see that, Chief Laforme? 8 9 Α. Yes. 114 10 Q. And the map is called "Municipalities within MNCFN Treaty Lands", right? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 115 There is a purple shaded area in Ο. 14 the middle; do you see that? 15 Α. Yes. 116 That is described as territory 16 Ο. 17 relating to the Between the Lakes Treaty No. 3, 18 right? 19 Yeah, yes. Α. 20 117 If you zoom into the middle of the Ο. 21 purple area, and I will ask Ms. Town to go a little 22 bit closer right into the middle, you see a reference to "Mississaugas of the Credit First 23 24 Nation". That is your First Nation; correct? 25 Α. Yes.

Γ

		Page 31
1	118	Q. And just above that, there is a
2		reference to "Six Nations of the Grand"; correct?
3		A. Yes.
4	119	Q. That is the Six Nations of the
5		Grand River Reserve, right?
6		A. Right.
7	120	Q. So I take it that the territory
8		covered by MCFN's water claim includes everything
9		in the purple area at least; correct?
10		A. The water claim includes
11		everything within our traditional Treaty lands.
12	121	Q. So if we zoom back out on the map,
13		it includes everything within the black
14		A. Correct.
15	122	Q dotted line; correct?
16		A. Yes.
17	123	Q. Including the Six Nations of the
18		Grand River Reserve?
19		A. The Six Nations of the Grand River
20		Reserve does fall within that area, yes.
21	124	Q. And despite the Six Nations
22		Reserve falling within that area, I think you have
23		told me the Mississaugas did not give notice to the
24		Six Nations Band about this claim, right?
25		A. Correct.

Page 32 1 125 Ο. It did not name the Six Nations 2 Band as a defendant, right? Correct. 3 Α. 126 You personally have not reached 4 Ο. 5 out to Six Nations Chief Mark Hill about this 6 claim? 7 Α. Correct. 127 And you are not aware of other 8 Q. 9 MCFN leadership reaching out to other elected Six Nations leadership about this claim; fair? 10 Fair. 11 Α. 128 12 Could we go up to paragraph 22 of Q. the Statement of Claim, and I will just read out a 13 14 part of it: 15 "Water, but not the beds of water or floodplains, within the 16 17 Plaintiff's territory was included 18 in the written text of the following 19 Treaties, entered into by the 20 Mississaugas and the British Crown between 1781 and 1822: 21 22 Treaty No. 3 (Between the a. 23 Lakes Purchase) May 22, 1784 and December 7, 1792". 24 25 And I will stop there.

		Page 33
1		Chief Laforme, this is the same Between
2		the Lakes instrument we talked about earlier;
3		correct?
4		A. Yes.
5	129	Q. And it is referred in more detail
6		at paragraph 23, going down the page, where it
7		states:
8		"In May 1784, the Mississaugas,
9		along with other First Nations
10		entered into an agreement with the
11		British Crown to transfer rights of
12		soil and property to the King for a
13		tract of land from the head of Lake
14		Ontario to the Thames River, south
15		to Lake Erie."
16		Correct?
17		A. Yes.
18	130	Q. The other First Nations referenced
19		in paragraph 23 are the Six Nations of the Grand
20		River; correct?
21		A. I am trying to situate myself
22		within the document. You have to give me a second,
23		please.
24	131	Q. No problem.
25		A. I am not an expert on this. I do

Page 34 1 not know, sorry. 2 132 Q. So when in the Statement of Claim 3 it is talking about other First Nations entering into an agreement in May 1784, you don't know who 4 5 that means? I want to be fair to you, because earlier I think we had agreed that there were I'll 6 7 call them interactions with the Six Nations in 1784. That is what we were talking about earlier. 8 9 I think this is the same thing, but I wanted to get 10 your knowledge about that. 11 Well, it says -- so this is Α. 12 referring to the Between the Lakes Purchase where 13 the Mississauga entered an agreement with the British Crown so that they could have settlement on 14 15 the Between the Lake areas, correct, yes, okay, 16 thank you. 17 133 No problem. And so just to be Ο. 18 clear, the other First Nations that are involved 19 here are the Six Nations of the Grand River, right? 20 I don't know. Α. I mean, in the 21 context of the settlement, the Mississaugas, in my 22 understanding, the Mississaugas were very much 23 aware that the Crown wanted to settle the Six Nations along the Grand River through the 24 25 Haldimand -- or through the Between the Lakes

		Page 35
1		Purchase, and we were okay with the settlement
2		there.
3	134	Q. Okay. If this Statement of Claim
4		ever goes to trial, presumably the MCFN will need
5		to lead evidence about the Between the Lakes
6		Purchase 1784 and Treaty No. 3 December 1792; fair?
7		A. If it was a trial, I would imagine
8		so, yes.
9	135	Q. You would agree with me that these
10		events involve the history of the Six Nations
11		people as well as the history of the Mississaugas;
12		fair?
13		A. In the context of settlement, yes.
14	136	Q. And I take it from this Statement
15		of Claim that the Mississaugas are not claiming
16		Reserve land in the Haldimand Tract; do I have that
17		right?
18		A. Well, I am not an expert on how
19		that would be termed or put forth from the legal
20		perspective, but from my layman's perspective, we
21		are not claiming Six Nations as Reserve land, yes.
22	137	Q. The claim is based on Aboriginal
23		title, right?
24		A. Yes, correct.
25	138	Q. It is based on rights pre-existing

		Page 36
1		British Crown control of the highlighted area on
2		the map that we looked at; correct?
3		A. Correct.
4	139	Q. A great deal of the land over
5		which MCFN claims Aboriginal title is currently
6		held by third parties, right?
7		A. Unfortunately, yes.
8	140	Q. There are private houses on some
9		of that land?
10		A. Yes.
11	141	Q. Commercial buildings?
12		A. Correct.
13	142	Q. Farms?
14		A. Also correct, yes.
15	143	Q. And the MCFN is not seeking to
16		displace the third parties from the lands covered
17		by the claim, right?
18		A. Specific to individual claims
19		would be different requests or requirements in the
20		settlement of a case, so I could not say that each
21		Treaty area would be treated the same. But if you
22		are talking about one specific one
23	144	Q. Let me put it another way. This
24		claim is against the Crown, right?
25		A. Correct.

Page 37 1 145 Ο. It is not against private 2 homeowners; correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 146 Not against private farmers or Q. 5 businesses; correct? б Α. That's correct. 7 147 And it is not against Indian Bands Q. that are in the same territory; correct? 8 9 Α. Correct. 148 Regarding the Treaties under which 10 Q. 11 MCFN says it did not give up Aboriginal title, you 12 say that those Treaties did not have the effect 13 that the Crown says they had; fair? 14 Α. Fair. 15 149 Q. You say that MCFN's title has not 16 been taken away, right? 17 Authority and jurisdiction remain Α. 18 unrecognized. 19 150 And because of that, you are 0. 20 seeking remedies for the Crown interfering with your Aboriginal title? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 151 Q. And so the case is not a fight 24 over the boundaries of lands that people have their 25 homes on, right?

66

Page 38 1 Α. Correct. 2 152 And not a fight over the Q. boundaries of farmland or businesses; correct? 3 Α. Generally, no. 4 5 153 Ο. If there are issues between the б Crown and I'll call them third parties on the 7 territory, that is not your problem, but that is the Crown's problem; fair? 8 9 Α. Yes. 154 10 Q. And that is because the MCFN says 11 all of its territory in that map area is subject to 12 Aboriginal title, right? 13 Is that -- I don't know, is that Α. 14 the correct legal terminology for it? 15 155 Q. Let's leave aside the correct legal terminology. I'm asking in your layman's 16 17 understanding as Chief. Simply put, the MCFN says 18 that all of its territory covered by this claim is 19 subject to Aboriginal title, right? 20 Α. Correct. 156 And because of that, you say the 21 Ο. 22 Crown is obliged to make things right with the Mississaugas, right? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 157 Okay. I would like to shift gears Q.

		Page 39
1		a little bit now and talk about the MCFN website.
2		You are familiar with that, Chief Laforme?
3		A. To some extent.
4	158	Q. You would agree with me generally
5		that materials posted to that website are accurate?
б		A. They should be accurate, yes.
7	159	Q. Six Nations included a couple of
8		documents from that website in its Motion Record
9		that I would like to take you to. The first
10		document is at page 69 of the Responding Record,
11		and it is entitled "Statement of Claim Submitted to
12		the Government of Canada and the Government of
13		Ontario". It is marked "Without Prejudice", and it
14		has a March 31, 2015 date. Do you see that, Chief
15		Laforme?
16		A. Yes.
17	160	Q. And this was posted on the
18		Mississaugas' website; you are aware of that?
19		A. I am not I don't have exact
20		knowledge of that, but yes, I am sure it was there.
21	161	Q. You have seen this document
22		before, right?
23		A. Yes.
24	162	Q. And I take it, although it is
25		called a Statement of Claim, this document was not

Page 40 1 formally issued in a Court, right? 2 I don't believe it was formally Α. issued in a Court, no. 3 163 What was the purpose of this 4 Ο. 5 document? 6 Α. I am just trying to familiarize 7 myself with the document. As I said, I don't recall it being on the web, but it probably was. 8 9 164 You can take my word that --Ο. 10 Α. Well, it was --165 -- it was from the website. Oh, 11 Q. 12 sorry, go ahead. It was probably educational, 13 Α. 14 probably about the history. 15 166 It would have been approved for Ο. publication by the MCFN Council? 16 17 I would assume so, yes. Α. 18 167 Ο. You would also assume it would be 19 accurate, right? 20 Α. I would hope so. I would assume 21 so, yes. 22 168 Fair enough. A couple of Q. 23 paragraphs I just want to draw your specific 24 attention to. 25 Let's start with paragraph 34, and I

	Page 41
1	will give you a moment to read that, and if Ms.
2	Town could zoom it up, I'll just read it out:
3	"In 1776 Joseph Brant and other
4	Six Nations Indians supported the
5	British in the American Revolution.
6	By the Treaty of Paris (1783) the
7	British gave control of their
8	territory in upstate New York to the
9	United States. When Six Nation
10	members wanted to relocate to
11	British territory following the
12	American Revolution the British
13	purchased land from the Mississaugas
14	to accomplish this end. Land
15	surrenders were taken from the
16	Mississaugas to secure territory for
17	Joseph Brant at the Grand River and
18	other Six Nations Indians at the Bay
19	of Quinte (Mohawks of Tyandenaga)."
20	And just pausing there, Chief Laforme,
21	you would agree with me that this is a reference in
22	the last sentence to the Six Nations of the Grand
23	River?
24	A. Yes, it is a little disconcerting
25	when it interjects the Mohawks of Tyandenaga into

Page 42 the bracketed section, but you know, I am assuming 1 2 the document is referencing the Six Nations as 3 opposed to, you know, the surrender for the Bay of 4 Quinte, yes. 5 169 Ο. There are separate interactions with Six Nations that ended up at Grand River, and 6 7 then Six Nations people that ended up at the Bay of Ouinte; fair? 8 9 Α. I don't know. I mean, it is in 10 the document, but I do not know, sir. 170 11 Fair enough. And so just to Ο. 12 circle back to the question, the reference here to 13 "territory for Joseph Brant at the Grand River", that is to the Six Nations of the Grand River; 14 15 fair? That is correct. When it comes to 16 Α. 17 the other reference at the Bay of Quinte and the 18 Mohawks of Tyandenaga, there are other Mississaugas 19 that may not be of the Credit that may be 20 referenced here, I am not sure. 171 21 Okay. And Joseph Brant is a Q. 22 well-known historical Six Nations leader, right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 172 Was this document filed with Ο. 25 either Ontario or Canada as a claim?

Page 43 1 Α. I do not know. Maybe I should, 2 but I don't. 173 3 Ο. That is okay. This isn't a memory If you don't know, you don't know. You 4 test. 5 mentioned one of its purposes was educational. Do you know if another one of its purposes was 6 7 intended to start negotiations with either Canada or Ontario? 8 9 Α. I am not sure. It may have been utilized to start negotiations. 10 174 11 It may have, but you are not sure Ο. 12 sitting here today? 13 Yeah, it may have. You've got to Α. 14 remember that I come across a lot of historical 15 information and a lot of different legal interpretations and information to review, and so 16 17 whether it is directly linked to negotiations or 18 just a general one I couldn't speak to 19 definitively. 20 175 I appreciate that. Thank you. Ο. 21 Let's go down to paragraph 53, please, 22 and I will just read it out while everyone gets situated: 23 "When it came time for the 24 25 British to secure title to what is

Г

		Page 44
1		now southern Ontario they did not
2		rely on the Nanfan Deed; they took
3		surrenders from the Mississaugas,
4		including the land for the Six
5		Nations Indians that relocated
6		following the American Revolution
7		(see paragraph 30 above)."
8		You would agree with me, Chief Laforme,
9		that the reference to "Six Nations Indians" here
10		means the Six Nations that later settled on the
11		Grand River Valley?
12		A. Yes.
13	176	Q. And drop down to paragraph 55,
14		please, and this reads:
15		"The great majority of southern
16		Ontario is unlike the rest of
17		Ontario and western Canada in that
18		the Mississaugas did not enter into
19		one treaty with the British Crown
20		that dealt with all of their
21		territory. Rather the Mississaugas
22		entered into many different land
23		cessions or treaties with the
24		British Crown from 1781 to 1830.
25		They are documented in Report 1 in

Page 45 1 the section entitled 'Land Cessions, 2 1781-1830' commencing at page 55." 3 Do you see that, Chief Laforme? 4 Yes. Α. 5 177 Q. Do you know what the reference to "Report 1" is? б 7 Α. No, I'm sorry. We have searched for and have been 8 178 Ο. 9 unable to locate a copy of Report 1. Will you make inquiries and provide us with a copy, if you can 10 11 obtain that report? 12 I would have to ask my lawyer. Α. Ι 13 don't see an issue, but I would have to ask the 14 lawyer. 15 U/T MR. FRAME: We can certainly make internal inquiries, Max, but can't commit to 16 17 providing anything based on, A, can we locate it, 18 and B, is the document protected by any privilege, 19 but provided we can locate it and it is not 20 privileged, we will provide it. 21 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 179 Thank you, Mr. Frame. 22 Q. The second document that I wanted to 23 24 draw your attention to in the Plaintiff's record 25 from the Mississaugas' website is at page 126 of

Page 46 the Motion Record. And, Chief Laforme, you can 1 2 take my word that this was downloaded from the 3 website, okay. 4 Α. Okay. 5 180 Ο. It is called "Aboriginal Title Claim to Water Within the Traditional Lands of the б 7 Mississaugas of the New Credit"; do you see that? 8 Α. Yes. 181 9 Q. It is dated March 2015 and appears 10 to have been authored by Joan Holmes Associates 11 Inc.; do you see that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 182 Ο. You have seen this document 14 before, right? 15 Α. Oh, yes, I am positive I have. 183 I take it this was not formally 16 Q. 17 issued in a Court, right? 18 Α. This looks to be background work 19 that we would have commissioned from Joan Holmes. 20 184 What was the purpose of this Q. document? 21 It is 2015. I don't recall the 22 Α. direct purpose of it specifically. 23 Do you know if it was ever a part 24 185 Ο. 25 of a claim filed with Ontario or Canada?

		Page 47
1		A. I do not know that directly.
2		Maybe parts of it were utilized for the water
3		claim. I couldn't speak to it assuredly.
4	186	Q. Was it ever filed in Court?
5		A. This specific document, I would
6		not be able to say a hundred percent, so I do not
7		know.
8	187	Q. Fair to say, though, that it would
9		have been approved for publication by the MCFN
10		Council?
11		A. One would hope so, but yes.
12	188	Q. And one would also hope that it
13		would be accurate from the Mississaugas'
14		perspective; fair?
15		A. Yes.
16	189	Q. Can we pull up page 22 of that
17		document, which is page 148 of the record, and I am
18		just under the heading "Between the Lakes
19		Purchase". Are you with me, Chief Laforme?
20		A. Yes.
21	190	Q. And I am just going to read out a
22		passage:
23		"In March 1784, Sir John
24		Johnson informed Governor Haldimand
25		that Mohawks and others under

Г

		Page 48
1		Captain Joseph Brant and David Hill
2		wished to settle on the Grand River,
3		about 20 miles from the head of Lake
4		Ontario. These people had supported
5		the British in the Revolutionary War
6		and had been displaced by the
7		establishment of the American
8		boundary.
9		Four days later Haldimand
10		expressed support for the settlement
11		of Six Nations Indians on the Grand
12		River."
13		And I will stop there. You would agree
14		with me, Chief Laforme, that this is a reference to
15		the Six Nations of the Grand River?
16		A. Yes.
17	191	Q. And if we go down to page 23,
18		there are a couple of further references to the Six
19		Nations in the paragraph starting with "A copy of
20		the original deed $[\ldots]$ " and the paragraph starting
21		with "Crown officials []"
22		Take a moment to read those two, and I
23		will ask you the same question.
24		A. [Witness reviews document.]
25		Okay.

Γ

		Page 49
1	192	Q. And the question is you would
2		agree with me that these are references to the Six
3		Nations of the Grand River; correct?
4		A. Yes.
5	193	Q. Let's go down to page 23 of this
6		report, page 157 of the record. There is a
7		paragraph starting:
8		"On January 27, Lord Dorchester
9		corresponded with Simcoe and advised
10		that, in regard to the Grand River
11		lands, the government intended to
12		make the land grant as beneficial to
13		the Six Nations as possible."
14		You would agree with me this is a
15		reference to the Six Nations of the Grand River
16		people?
17		A. I have lost the place in here.
18		What page are you on, again?
19	194	Q. Sorry, page 23 of the
20		report oh, pardon me, I misspoke, it's 31, and
21		it is 157 of the record. My apologies. It is the
22		middle paragraph starting "On January 27 []"
23		So the question is when it says that
24		the events were intended to make the land grant as
25		beneficial to the Six Nations as possible, that is

		Page 50
1		a reference to the Six Nations of the Grand River
2		people; fair?
3		A. Yes.
4	195	Q. We can take this document down.
5		I would like to switch gears now and
6		talk about MCFN's Court motion and to look at the
7		Notice of Motion that was issued in this matter.
8		It has an October 27th, 2022 date. Could you
9		please pull that up.
10		Do you have that in front of you, Chief
11		Laforme?
12		A. I do.
13	196	Q. I take it from paragraph 1 of the
14		Notice of Motion that MCFN wishes to intervene as
15		an added party with full rights of a party in the
16		Six Nations action; is that right?
17		A. Yes.
18	197	Q. The MCFN is asking for this role
19		in order to protect its rights and interests from
20		its point of view?
21		A. Correct.
22	198	Q. Your lawyers have I am sure
23		explained that intervening as an added party is
24		something different than intervening as a friend of
25		the Court. You understand that difference?

Page 51 1 Α. I do. 2 199 The first, being an added party, Q. is a broader role; and the second, as a friend of 3 the Court, is a more limited role, fair? 4 5 Α. Yes. 200 And I take it that MCFN has б Ο. 7 decided that intervening as a friend of the Court 8 would not be enough to protect its rights and 9 interests? 10 R/F MR. FRAME: Yeah, this is into 11 privileged stuff now, Max. 12 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 13 201 I don't believe it is, Counsel. 0. 14 It is a question about the MCFN's decision. It has 15 decided that intervening as a friend of the Court would not be enough and so has intervened or sought 16 17 to intervene as a party; fair? 18 MR. FRAME: The second part is fair. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 19 20 202 The MCFN wants full party status Ο. to allow it to see the other parties' expert 21 22 reports; correct? I am not familiar with the whole 23 Α. 24 process and how it unfolds. 25 203 You want to put in evidence in the Q.

		Page 52
1		Six Nations action; fair?
2		A. Yes.
3	204	Q. And you want to call witnesses at
4		trial; fair?
5		A. Yes.
6	205	Q. So let's talk about what MCFN is
7		not seeking, and there is a few documents I would
8		like to take you to here, and so it may bounce
9		around a little bit.
10		But I would like to pull up on the
11		screen it is a Case Management Memo that your
12		lawyers submitted to the Court dated December 5th,
13		2022. I am not sure if your Counsel has that ready
14		in the package, but if not, we can put it up on the
15		screen, and I would ask Ms. Town to do that,
16		please.
17		MR. FRAME: Do you mind giving me the
18		date again, Max?
19		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
20	206	Q. December 5, 2022. Just give us a
21		moment to find it for the screen.
22		So the memo is now up on the screen.
23		Are we looking at the same document, Chief Laforme?
24		Do you have that in front of you?
25		A. Yes.

Page 53 1 207 Ο. Okay, I would like to drop down to 2 paragraph 2. 3 Α. Okay. 4 208 And it says there: Q. 5 "MCFN does not seek damages for б itself, nor does it intend to 7 diminish compensation the Crown may owe Six Nations." 8 That is an accurate statement? 9 10 Α. Yes. 209 11 I take it the Mississaugas do not 0. 12 intend to sue the Six Nations Band for money; 13 correct? 14 With regard to this claim, no, Α. 15 certainly not. 210 And certainly not they don't 16 Q. 17 intend to sue the Six Nations Band for land; 18 correct? 19 The issues we have would be with Α. 20 the Crown. 211 Right, and because of that, the 21 Q. MCFN does not intend to seek any remedies if it is 22 allowed into this action about the Six Nations 23 24 Reserve, right? 25 I don't know that I could answer Α.

		Page 54
1		that without legal discussion. Certainly we have
2		no interest in the damages that the Six Nations
3		have with regards to the land that may have been
4		sold or stolen improperly and divested improperly.
5		However, I do have another Court case
6		on the water claim that I would need to have
7		correspondence with legal before I could make a
8		broad statement.
9	212	Q. Let's leave aside the water claim.
10		If the MCFN intervenes in the Six Nations action,
11		you do not intend to seek any relief against the
12		Six Nations Band in that action about the Six
13		Nations Reserve; fair?
14		MR. FRAME: Max, I think you should
15		"relief" is a legal term of art, and I think, to be
16		helpful to the Chief, if you could be clearer about
17		what you are actually asking, because I think to
18		the extent we are talking about how pleadings will
19		be framed, that is really asking for a legal
20		conclusion.
21		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
22	213	Q. Let's try it another way. The
23		Mississaugas are not seeking anything about the Six
24		Nations Reserve if they are granted intervention
25		status in this case; fair?

Page 55 As it regards the Haldimand 1 Α. Proclamation of the specific date, I can't recall. 2 214 I am not sure I understood that 3 0. answer, Chief Laforme. The question was if you are 4 5 allowed to participate in the Six Nations action, б the Mississaugas will not be seeking anything about 7 the Six Nations Reserve; is that fair? The land that the Mississaugas 8 Α. 9 agree to with the Crown, we will not be seeking 10 anything from Six Nations with regards to that. 11 MR. SHAPIRO: I would like to please 12 mark this memo as the next exhibit, which is 13 Exhibit 3. 14 Ex. 3: Document entitled "Memorandum of Mississaugas of the 15 Credit First Nation (For December 12, 16 17 2022, Case Management Conference)", 18 dated December 5, 2022. 19 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 20 215 And I take it from your last Ο. answer, Chief Laforme, that MCFN wants to see the 21 22 Crown held to its promises to the Six Nations; fair? 23 24 Generally speaking, yes. Α. 25 216 The MCFN also wants to see the Q.

Page 56 Crown make good any wrongdoing they have committed 1 2 upon the Six Nations? Again, generally speaking, 3 Α. absolutely. 4 5 217 Q. MCFN is not here trying to б minimize the size of the Haldimand Tract, right? 7 Α. I am not sure I understand that question. 8 218 9 Q. The MCFN is not seeking to intervene to say that the Haldimand Tract is 10 11 smaller than what the Six Nations says it is; fair? 12 Α. I would need to consult with my 13 lawyer on that because I know there are two 14 different subject areas that speak on the size of 15 the Haldimand Tract. 219 You are not intervening in this 16 Ο. action in order to take issue with the size of the 17 18 Haldimand Tract, though; correct? 19 Α. No, that is not why I am 20 intervening, no. 220 21 Ο. You are intervening to protect the 22 Mississaugas' rights and interests? I think we talked about that a moment ago. 23 24 Correct, I am interested in Α. 25 protecting the history of my ancestors and the

Γ

		Page 57
1		future of my people.
2	221	Q. And you are not trying to reduce
3		the Crown's obligations to the Six Nations at the
4		same time; fair?
5		A. That is fair.
6	222	Q. You want to protect your title
7		claims?
8		A. Yes.
9	223	Q. And you don't want to reduce the
10		Six Nations' Reserve claim?
11		A. Once again, I cannot speak to the
12		size of the Six Nations' Reserve claim because, as
13		I understand, there are a couple of points of
14		contention regarding that, the size of the claim
15		itself, so I don't know about that.
16	224	Q. I put it to you, though, that it
17		is of no harm to the Mississaugas if Six Nations is
18		compensated because the Crown failed to set aside
19		Reserve land for the Six Nations; you would agree
20		with that?
21		A. Yes.
22	225	Q. If we drop down to paragraph 35 of
23		the Notice of Motion, it says in the first sentence
24		that:
25		"[] MCFN would introduce

		Page 58
1		Elder and expert evidence on issues
2		that engage its rights and interests
3		[]"
4		Do you see that, Chief Laforme?
5		A. I am just trying to find it again.
6		MR. FRAME: Give us a second, Max.
7		MR. SHAPIRO: No problem.
8		MR. FRAME: Paragraph 35, you said?
9		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
10	226	Q. Correct.
11		A. Yes, I am caught up to you, Max.
12	227	Q. Great. So I take it the MCFN has
13		identified Elders that would provide evidence in
14		the Six Nations case?
15		A. We are aware of and have Elders
16		who have a good knowledge of the history.
17	228	Q. Are you able to tell me who they
18		are?
19		R/F MR. FRAME: No, discussions about who
20		may or may not be witnesses in this litigation is
21		litigation privileged, Max.
22		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
23	229	Q. Have you obtained any written
24		statements from the Elders mentioned here?
25		R/F MR. FRAME: Any research that we have

Page 59 1 done in pursuance of this action is going to be 2 privileged, Max. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 3 4 230 So that is an answer to a Ο. 5 different question. The question was whether or not there are any written statements from the 6 7 Elders mentioned here? R/F MR. FRAME: We are not identifying who 8 9 these Elders are, Max. We simply say that MCFN would introduce Elder evidence. It doesn't say 10 11 that it would introduce evidence from specific 12 identified Elders. And again, who the witnesses 13 may or may not be that MCFN would call at trial is 14 at this juncture privileged. 15 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 231 16 Ο. Would the same answer apply if I 17 asked about the experts referenced here? 18 R/F MR. FRAME: Yes, it would. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 19 20 232 Have you obtained any expert Ο. reports for use in this action? 21 22 R/F MR. FRAME: That is privileged. 23 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 233 If the MCFN is allowed to 24 Ο. 25 participate in this action, does it plan to provide

			Page 60
1		evidence to	either Canada or Ontario?
2		R/F	MR. FRAME: Litigation strategy is
3		privileged.	
4			BY MR. SHAPIRO:
5	234		Q. Has the MCFN been asked to provide
6		evidence in	this action by either Canada or
7		Ontario?	
8			MR. FRAME: I imagine that is
9		privileged a	as well.
10			MR. SHAPIRO: Are you taking the
11		position tha	at it is privileged or not?
12		R/F	MR. FRAME: Yes, we are.
13			BY MR. SHAPIRO:
14	235		Q. Pull up paragraph 33 of the Notice
15		of Motion.	Just take a moment to review that.
16			A. [Witness reviews document.]
17	236		Q. I take it from this paragraph,
18		Chief Laform	ne, that another reason why MCFN wants
19		party status	s is that it says its Treaty partners,
20		Canada and C	ntario, would be bound by findings of
21		fact or law	made in the case; is that right?
22			A. That's correct.
23	237		Q. And MCFN understands that if it
24		becomes an a	dded party, it too would be bound by
25		findings of	fact and law made in the case?

Page 61 1 R/F MR. FRAME: That calls for a legal 2 conclusion. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 3 238 I don't agree, Counsel. 4 This is Ο. 5 from the Notice of Motion, which is presumably not 6 privileged. It is a simple question. If MCFN is 7 added as a party, it too will be bound by findings of fact and law in this case, just like the 8 9 Crown's? 10 MR. FRAME: Could you tell me where in the Notice of Motion that is said? 11 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 12 239 13 Q. The second sentence of paragraph 14 33 states: 15 "As defendants in the Action, 16 MCFN's treaty partners - Canada and 17 Ontario - would be bound by findings 18 of fact and law in relation to these 19 issues." 20 The question is if MCFN is added as a 21 party, it too would also be bound by those same 22 findings of fact and law; correct? 23 MR. FRAME: The scope of the R/F 24 application of res judicata, collateral estoppel, 25 issue estoppel and other doctrines to the

Page 62 Mississaugas, should they be granted leave to 1 2 intervene, is a legal question that the Chief is 3 not going to answer. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 4 5 240 Ο. If the Mississaugas are added to б this action and the Court finds, for example, that 7 the Haldimand Proclamation was a Treaty, as Six Nations says it was, as a party the Mississaugas 8 9 would be bound by that; correct? 10 R/F MR. FRAME: We are certainly not going 11 to get into answering legal conclusions based on 12 hypotheticals. We object. The Chief won't be 13 answering. 14 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 15 241 If the Court finds it necessary to Q. 16 uphold prior decisions to the effect that the 17 Nanfan Deed of 1701 was a Treaty, as a party the 18 MCFN would be bound by that; correct? 19 MR. FRAME: The same objection, the R/F 20 same response. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 21 242 22 Q. The same can be said for the Dish 23 with One Spoon Treaty; correct? MR. FRAME: The same objection, and the 24 R/F 25 same response.

Γ

		Page 63
1		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
2	243	Q. Chief Laforme, the lawyers
3		exchanged a pair of letters on this topic, and I
4		would like to pull up my March 3rd letter. You
5		have seen this letter before?
6		A. Yes, I have.
7	244	Q. Your lawyer, Mr. Frame, sent a
8		response on March 17th. Can we pull that up? And
9		that is on the screen now. You have seen this
10		before, Chief Laforme?
11		A. Yes.
12	245	Q. I am just going to read out the
13		first paragraph, and if Ms. Town could blow it up
14		further, it states:
15		"With respect to your inquiry
16		regarding MCFN being bound by all
17		findings of fact made by the Court,
18		we find the question puzzling. The
19		question of if, when, and to what
20		extent a party will be bound by
21		findings of fact made in a
22		litigation in which they
23		participated is a question of law
24		that is addressed through various
25		common law doctrines - such as res

		Page 64
1		judicata, collateral estoppel, issue
2		estoppel, and abuse of process -
3		with which you are doubtless well
4		acquainted. These are not doctrines
5		that a party opts into or out of.
б		We can confirm that the common law
7		rules applicable to litigants in
8		Ontario are indeed applicable to
9		MCFN; in our view, no further
10		agreement or acknowledgment is
11		necessary or appropriate."
12		Do you see that, Chief Laforme?
13		A. I have.
14	246	Q. The MCFN adopts what your lawyers
15		say in this letter; correct?
16		R/F MR. FRAME: Max, what does that even
17		mean? This is correspondence between Counsel
18		setting out legal issues. Asking if the MCFN
19		adopts legal principles such as the fact of the
20		doctrine of res judicata speaks to when a finding
21		of fact applies to a litigant. It is not a
22		reasonable question.
23		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
24	247	Q. You instructed your lawyers to
25		send this letter; correct, Chief Laforme?

Page 65 1 R/F MR. FRAME: That is obviously 2 privileged. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 3 248 You agree with the contents of the 4 Ο. 5 letter, do you not, Chief Laforme? R/F MR. FRAME: That calls for a legal 6 7 conclusion. MR. SHAPIRO: I'll take that as a 8 9 refusal. 10 Let's please mark these two letters as 11 Exhibits 4 and 5 respectively. The March 3rd 12 letter is Exhibit 4, and the March 17th response is 13 Exhibit 5. 14 Ex. 4: Letter from Mr. Shapiro 15 to Mr. Frame and Mr. DeParde, dated March 3, 2023. 16 17 Ex. 5: Letter from Mr. Frame 18 to Mr. Shapiro, dated March 17, 2023. 19 MR. SHAPIRO: I wonder if this might be 20 an appropriate time for a ten-minute morning break. 21 I don't have too much longer to get through, but there is a little bit left. Would that work for 22 23 everyone? 24 MR. FRAME: That is fine with us. 25 MR. SHAPIRO: Madam Reporter, can we

		Page 66
1		resume with you at 11:30?
2		Thank you.
3		RECESSED AT 11:20 A.M.
4		RESUMED AT 11:30 A.M.
5		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
б	249	Q. You have seen the Six Nations
7		Statement of Claim in their action against the
8		Crowns, I take it, Chief Laforme?
9		A. I have.
10	250	Q. And you know that the Six Nations
11		Band is not seeking any relief against the
12		Mississaugas? The Mississaugas are not a
13		defendant, right?
14		A. We are not a defendant in the
15		case, per se, but we are concerned about any
16		rulings or decisions that would impact our history
17		or our rights into the future.
18	251	Q. I understand that. The question
19		was a bit more basic. The Six Nations Band isn't
20		seeking anything from the Mississaugas in their
21		lawsuit; you are aware of that?
22		A. Yes.
23	252	Q. And if the Crown promised more
24		land to the Six Nations than the Mississaugas
25		agreed with, you would hold the Crown responsible
	1	

Page 67 1 for that, right? 2 Α. In the past, our process had been to always hold the Crown responsible. 3 4 253 And as part of that process, you Q. 5 wouldn't seek damages from the Six Nations to б compensate you. You would seek those from the 7 Crown? That is historically the way it 8 Α. 9 goes, yes. 254 10 Q. And that is also the way that it 11 will go if you are allowed to participate in this 12 case; correct? 13 I would assume so. Α. 255 14 I thought I saw a confirmation to Ο. 15 this effect at paragraph 77 of your affidavit. Why don't we pull that up. And I will just read it 16 17 out: 18 "We support Six Nations' fight 19 for justice. Our intent in 20 participating in the Action - if our 21 request to intervene is granted - is 22 simply to ensure our history is honestly and accurately portrayed 23 24 and that our constitutional rights 25 and interests and connection to our

		Page 68
1		territory are not adversely impacted
2		in the process. Anything less than
3		seeking leave to intervene in this
4		Action would fall short of our
5		sacred responsibility to our
6		people."
7		That is accurate?
8		A. That is absolutely correct.
9	256	Q. Right, and the same can be said
10		about paragraph 9, if we flip earlier in the
11		affidavit, and I will just read the first two
12		sentences:
13		"We have always supported - and
14		will always support - Six Nations'
15		effort to hold the government of
16		Canada and the government of Ontario
17		accountable for their mismanagement
18		and abuses relating to the lands
19		granted to Six Nations under the
20		Haldimand Proclamation of 1784. I
21		believe our support of Six Nations
22		in its pursuit of justice is
23		consistent with a pattern of mutual
24		respect between our peoples."
25		Those are both accurate statements,

		Page 69
1		right, Chief Laforme?
2		A. Yes.
3	257	Q. We can take down that affidavit
4		from the screen. And at the time you swore your
5		affidavit, Chief Laforme, I take it you had heard
6		about the Six Nations action before, right?
7		A. Yes.
8	258	Q. You know that this case started
9		back in 1995?
10		A. I do now.
11	259	Q. You know that this case has been
12		the subject of media reporting in the community?
13		A. I have seen some media on it, yes.
14	260	Q. In some of the local news outlets?
15		A. I believe so.
16	261	Q. News outlets like the Two Row
17		Times?
18		A. Yeah, I can't remember. I know
19		there is two papers in the community that cover
20		topics, but I really don't have much
21		differentiation between the two.
22	262	Q. I'll suggest to you that those two
23		papers are the Two Row Times and Turtle Island
24		News. Those ring a bell?
25		A. Yeah, yes, they do.

Page 70 1 263 Ο. And there has been reporting in 2 those publications about this Court case? 3 Α. Yes. 4 264 And there has also been reporting Ο. 5 about this Court case in other publications like the Brantford Expositor, for example? 6 7 There may have been. Α. Also in other more national news 8 265 Ο. 9 outlets like CBC and The Globe and Mail? 10 Α. I can't speak if I saw anything 11 there. 12 266 You are aware that the trial in Ο. 13 this action is currently set to commence in 2024, 14 right? 15 I have read that somewhere, yes. Α. 267 And so if MCFN is added as a 16 Ο. 17 party, you'll be arriving late; you would agree 18 with me? 19 From the context of the timeline, Α. 20 I would say, you know, that had we -- we were not 21 aware that it was in 1995, you said, when it began. 22 I honestly can't remember when I became 23 aware of the case, to tell you the truth, which 24 is -- which kind of surprises me. But our interest 25 in the case as it developed has only become of

Page 71 interest to us when it looked to be about impacting 1 2 on our history and our rights. That is when we sort of took an interest in it. In that context, 3 it hasn't been that long. 4 5 268 Ο. And we'll get to that in a moment, 6 but the question was for a case that started in 7 1995 and is scheduled for trial in 2024, your request to participate is I would suggest late in 8 9 the life of the action; you would agree with me? In the timeline of when it started 10 Α. 11 and when it is going to Court, we could be 12 perceived as late for the action, but our interest 13 in the case was -- we feel has moved along as 14 quickly as it possibly could once we understood the 15 pleading. 269 And if you are allowed to 16 Ο. 17 participate, despite your late entrance to the 18 case, you'll instruct your lawyers and experts and witnesses to meet that trial date in 2024; correct? 19 20 We object to the question. R/F MR. FRAME: 21 Again, that is litigation strategy and it is 22 privileged. We are not going to answer that 23 question, Max. 24 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 25 270 Let's try it another way. Q. The

		Page 72
1		Mississaugas will not stand in the way of Six
2		Nations' quest for justice by delaying the case if
3		they are allowed to participate; fair?
4		A. Our intent has never been to cause
5		delay, but to make sure that we are accurately
6		represented, yes.
7	271	Q. And if you are allowed to
8		participate, you are ready to get on with things
9		quickly; fair?
10		A. That is very subjective,
11		"quickly", the terminology, Max. We will do the
12		best we can to get our ducks in a row and be
13		prepared.
14	272	Q. I would like to talk about your
15		involvement or your request for involvement and to
16		pull up a letter from your lawyer to our former
17		Case Management Judge. It is dated January 6,
18		2022, and is at Exhibit A to the Clerk's affidavit
19		in your record, Mr. Frame, page 134 of the Motion
20		Record.
21		MR. FRAME: Yes, just give us a moment,
22		Max.
23		MR. SHAPIRO: No problem.
24		MR. FRAME: That is January 6, 2022?
25		MR. SHAPIRO: Correct.

		Page 73
1		MR. FRAME: You've got it? Great, you
2		beat me to it.
3		All right, we have it, Max.
4		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
5	273	Q. You have seen this letter before,
6		Chief Laforme?
7		A. Yes.
8	274	Q. And there is a paragraph on the
9		first page I would like some information on. It
10		says:
11		"The amended pleadings in their
12		current form provide some comfort to
13		MCFN that the issues in the Six
14		Nations Action will not require this
15		Honourable Court to make
16		determinations that could impact
17		MCFN's section 35 rights. This is
18		why MCFN has not previously sought
19		leave to intervene." [As read.]
20		Do you see that there?
21		A. I see that, yes.
22	275	Q. So it is fair to say that by this
23		point, this is January 6 of 2022, the MCFN was
24		aware of the pleadings in the Six Nations action;
25		correct?

Γ

1 A. Our lawyer would have some 2 understanding of the pleadings, perhaps. I are 3 sure specifically if I was aware of the plead 4 276 Q. The MCFN, though, was aware of 5 amended pleadings mentioned in this letter, res 6 A. Yes. 7 277 Q. Right. And as the letter say 8 this point MCFN was not concerned enough about 9 pleadings to get involved; fair? 10 A. Fair. 11 278 Q. Let's drop down a little bit	ngs. of the ght? vs, at
 3 sure specifically if I was aware of the plead: 4 276 Q. The MCFN, though, was aware of amended pleadings mentioned in this letter, r: 6 A. Yes. 7 277 Q. Right. And as the letter say this point MCFN was not concerned enough about pleadings to get involved; fair? 10 A. Fair. 11 278 Q. Let's drop down a little bit 	ngs. of the ght? vs, at
 4 276 Q. The MCFN, though, was aware of amended pleadings mentioned in this letter, restant 6 A. Yes. 7 277 Q. Right. And as the letter say this point MCFN was not concerned enough about pleadings to get involved; fair? 10 A. Fair. 11 278 Q. Let's drop down a little bit 	of the ght? vs, at
5 amended pleadings mentioned in this letter, rest 6 A. Yes. 7 277 Q. Right. And as the letter say 8 this point MCFN was not concerned enough about 9 pleadings to get involved; fair? 10 A. Fair. 11 278 Q. Let's drop down a little bit	ght? vs, at
6 A. Yes. 7 277 Q. Right. And as the letter say 8 this point MCFN was not concerned enough about 9 pleadings to get involved; fair? 10 A. Fair. 11 278 Q. Let's drop down a little bit	rs, at
7 277 Q. Right. And as the letter say 8 this point MCFN was not concerned enough about 9 pleadings to get involved; fair? 10 A. Fair. 11 278 Q. Right. And as the letter say	
8 this point MCFN was not concerned enough about 9 pleadings to get involved; fair? 10 A. Fair. 11 278 Q. Let's drop down a little bit	
<pre>9 pleadings to get involved; fair? 10 A. Fair. 11 278 Q. Let's drop down a little bit</pre>	the
10A. Fair.11278Q. Let's drop down a little bit	
11 278 Q. Let's drop down a little bit	
12 further in the letter where it reads:	
13 "We have, however, been advis	sed
14 that the scope of issues may expan	ıd
15 through written responses to	
16 discovery questions, expert report	S
17 or the further amendment of	
18 pleadings in ways that could result	.t
19 in issues being adjudicated that	
20 will or may adversely impact MCFN	S
21 section 35 rights." [As read.]	
22 Do you see that, Chief Laforme?	
23 A. I do.	
24 279 Q. Where it says you have been	
25 advised, the Mississaugas were advised that by	7

Chief R. Stacey Laforme March 20, 2023

Page 75 Ontario; correct? 1 2 Α. I don't believe so. 3 MR. FRAME: I think you are getting into privileged communication with Mississaugas' 4 5 Counsel here, Max. BY MR. SHAPIRO: б 7 280 No one for the Six Nations advised Ο. you of this; fair? 8 9 Α. Yes, fair. 281 10 Q. You were advised this by either Canada or Ontario; fair? 11 12 I would imagine this was a Α. 13 conversation with our solicitors. 282 14 And your solicitors would have Ο. 15 been advised by Canada or Ontario about the statements in this letter; fair? 16 17 Α. I don't know this question on my 18 solicitors on where they get their information, 19 Max. 20 283 Your solicitors were advised what Ο. is set out in this letter by Canada or Ontario and 21 22 not by Six Nations; fair? 23 MR. FRAME: I think that question has already been answered, Max. 24 25 MR. SHAPIRO: What is the answer?

Page 76 MR. FRAME: He said that he doesn't 1 2 know where all of the information that was received in a privilege communication from their lawyers 3 came from. He also said that they were not advised 4 5 by Six Nations. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 6 7 284 So where it says here, "We have, Ο. however, been advised [...]", who advised the MCFN 8 9 about what is set out in this letter? 10 MR. FRAME: This letter is signed by 11 me, Max. 12 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 13 285 And is the "we" there a reference Ο. 14 to Counsel or the client or what? Do you need me 15 to repeat the question? 16 Well, I didn't write the letter, Α. 17 so I am not sure who the "we" is in respect of, 18 Max. 286 19 So perhaps for Counsel, then, Mr. Ο. 20 Frame, who advised? 21 MR. FRAME: I am not testifying here 22 today, Max. 23 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 287 Chief Laforme, will you ask your 24 Ο. 25 lawyer to let us know who advised him regarding the

Г

		Page 77
1		information in the statement we just reviewed and
2		to let us know?
3		U/T MR. FRAME: We'll review
4		correspondence, and if there is a non-privileged
5		response, we'll provide it, Max.
б		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
7	288	Q. I appreciate that. The question
8		was, will you let us know who advised you of the
9		information referenced in this letter? Will you do
10		that?
11		U/T MR. FRAME: To the extent that
12		information is non-privileged, we will provide an
13		answer to that question, Max.
14		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
15	289	Q. The identity of the person
16		communicating that information cannot be
17		privileged, Mr. Frame, so there is a difference
18		between who and then the substance of the
19		information. I am interested in both, but in the
20		first instance, the more simple question is who
21		advised you of this information. Will you let me
22		know?
23		U/T MR. FRAME: To the extent that we
24		determine that that information is not
25		privileged and again, if you are correct, Max,

Page 78 that there is no chance it is privileged, then you 1 2 will get the information, but my answer doesn't 3 change. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 4 5 290 Ο. Okay. Further down in the letter, б Chief Laforme, there is another sentence that says: 7 "Among other things, we understand that the Plaintiff may 8 9 now be seeking relief beyond the 10 damages and compensation claimed in 11 the pleadings." [As read.] 12 Do you see that? 13 I see it. Α. 291 14 No one from Six Nations provided 0. 15 you or the MCFN with that understanding; correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 292 That understanding was provided to Q. 18 you by either Canada or Ontario; correct? 19 No, that understanding would have Α. 20 been provided to us by our lawyers. 293 21 Q. And your lawyers' understanding 22 about that would have been provided to them by Canada or Ontario; correct? 23 24 I can't speak to that. Α. 25 MR. FRAME: The same responses, Max.

Γ

		Page 79
1		And yes, to the extent if you want to ask for
2		information, to the extent that there is a
3		non-privileged response that we can provide, we
4		will do that. So feel free to ask.
5		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
6	294	Q. Sure. How did the Mississaugas
7		come to understand that the Plaintiff may now be
8		seeking relief beyond the damages and compensation
9		claimed in the pleadings?
10		R/F MR. FRAME: Again, Mississaugas by way
11		of privileged communication. We are not answering
12		that question.
13		MR. SHAPIRO: Privileged communication
14		with whom?
15		MR. FRAME: With me.
16		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
17	295	Q. So where it says "We understand
18		the Plaintiff may now be seeking relief beyond the
19		damages and compensation claimed in the pleadings",
20		what is the source of that understanding?
21		U/T MR. FRAME: We'll make inquiries
22		internally, and if there is a non-privileged
23		response, we'll provide it.
24		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
25	296	Q. And you'll also let me know who

			Page 80
1		provided yo	u with that understanding as part of
2		that; corre	ct?
3			MR. FRAME: And you asked that question
4		already.	
5			BY MR. SHAPIRO:
6	297		Q. That was in respect of a different
7		statement.	So I am extending that to this second
8		statement.	Are we on the same page?
9		U/T	MR. FRAME: Yes, to the extent we have
10		a non-privi	leged response, we will provide it to
11		you.	
12			BY MR. SHAPIRO:
13	298		Q. I am going to ask the same
14		question ab	out two more sentences, which I'll just
15		read out fo	r completeness. There is a sentence
16		that says:	
17			"We understand the Plaintiff's
18			expanded claims may include claims
19			for title to land in MCFN's
20			traditional territory, including to
21			the bed of the Grand River." [As
22			read.]
23			And there is another sentence that
24		states:	
25			"We further understand that the

Г

		Page 81
1		Plaintiff may put in issue the scope
2		and content of its rights, if any,
3		under the 1701 Nanfan Deed,
4		including whether such rights are
5		protected by section 35 of the
6		Constitution Act 1982." [As read.]
7		Chief Laforme, you or your lawyers were
8		not advised of either of those statements by anyone
9		for Six Nations; correct?
10		A. I don't believe so, no.
11	299	Q. It is not that you don't believe
12		so. It is that did not happen; correct?
13		A. I believe I have already answered,
14		sir, I don't believe so. I mean, I am not the only
15		point of contact for the Six Nations to potentially
16		talk to someone, but as far as I know, that is
17		correct.
18	300	Q. That is fair, thank you. And I
19		will ask the same questions to Mr. Frame about
20		these two statements and combine those with the
21		prior undertaking given to take this away; is that
22		fair, Counsel?
23		U/T MR. FRAME: Yeah, again, I am not
24		answering questions today, Max, but we will to
25		the extent there is a non-privileged response

Page 82 consistent with the questions you asked earlier, 1 2 including the who, as you have clarified in both previous instances, we'll provide you. 3 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 4 5 301 Ο. Thank you. Chief Laforme, you are б aware that Six Nations through its lawyers has 7 asked the Mississaugas to deliver a draft pleading in this case? 8 9 Α. I have seen the written 10 correspondence between my legal team and your legal 11 team, I believe it was, Max. 12 302 And so you'll know from that that Ο. 13 your lawyers on your behalf have declined to do 14 that at this point; correct? 15 Α. Yes, I am aware of the letters, 16 yes. 17 303 Ο. You are also aware that the 18 Government of Ontario lawyers involved in this case 19 shared three of the Six Nations' expert reports 20 with your lawyers; correct? 21 Α. I have never seen the expert 22 reports. 304 Your Notice of Motion mentions the 23 Q. 24 expert reports; correct? 25 Where is that again, Max? Α.

Page 83 1 305 It is in your Notice of Motion, if Ο. 2 you want to pull it up, at paragraph 28. 3 Α. Yes, okay. 4 306 That refreshes your memory about Q. 5 the expert reports? б I know that there are expert Α. 7 reports, thank you. I have not seen the expert 8 reports, but... 307 9 Q. They were provided to the MCFN, 10 though, through its lawyers, right? 11 The lawyers have not made expert Α. 12 reports available to me. 13 308 So the lawyers have the expert Ο. 14 reports and no one else at the Mississaugas has 15 them? I certainly don't have them, and I 16 Α. 17 am not aware of anybody at Mississaugas having 18 them. 19 309 You are aware, though, that the 0. 20 reports were provided to your lawyers in 2022, right? 21 22 I believe it references a date in Α. 23 there that we just looked at, but... So I'll ask the question broadly 24 310 0. 25 then. When did your lawyers obtain the expert

Chief R. Stacey Laforme March 20, 2023

		Page 84
1		reports mentioned at paragraph 28 of the Notice of
2		Motion?
3		A. I don't know the date, unless you
4		want me to look at the document again, because I
5		didn't know the date. When the
6	311	Q. Can you make inquiries perhaps
7		with your lawyer and let us know?
8		A. The date that the lawyers received
9		the information?
10	312	Q. Exactly.
11		U/T MR. FRAME: Yeah, that is fine, Max.
12		We'll make internal inquiries as to the date or
13		dates that Pape Salter Teillet was provided with
14		the expert reports referenced in paragraph 28.
15		MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you. And my
16		understanding is that it was sometime in the spring
17		of 2022, but if that is different or incorrect, you
18		can let me know.
19		MR. FRAME: As I said, we'll provide
20		you the date or dates.
21		BY MR. SHAPIRO:
22	313	Q. Okay. Chief Laforme, you also
23		know that Six Nations has asked to see the
24		correspondence between Ontario's lawyers and your
25		lawyers about those reports being shared; correct?

Г

		Page 85
1		A. Yes.
2	314	Q. The Six Nations wants to know how
3		that happened, right?
4		A. I believe that was the gist of
5		some of the correspondence I saw.
6	315	Q. And on Friday, the 17th of March,
7		in response to the latest request for that, your
8		lawyers sent us an email. We can pull it up if you
9		don't have it, but I'll just read it out:
10		"With respect to your request
11		for correspondence between the
12		MCFN's Counsel and Ontario's Counsel
13		where the Plaintiff's expert reports
14		were shared, any such correspondence
15		is subject to litigation and/or
16		common interest privilege. We will
17		not be providing them." [As read.]
18		A. Yeah, I have that.
19	316	Q. The Mississaugas adopt their
20		lawyer's answer to that effect?
21		R/F MR. FRAME: We are not having the Chief
22		make a legal determination about the scope of
23		common interest and litigation privilege.
24		Objection, not going to answer.
25		BY MR. SHAPIRO:

Page 86 1 317 Ο. Fair to say then that still today 2 the Mississaugas are refusing to provide this information? 3 MR. FRAME: That's correct. 4 R/F 5 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 318 б They are refusing to share it with 0. 7 the Six Nations? 8 R/F MR. FRAME: We are not providing 9 privileged information, and we are asserting common interest and litigation privilege over the 10 documents that are referenced in that email. 11 12 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 13 319 What is the MCFN's common interest Ο. 14 with Ontario regarding this Court action? 15 R/F MR. FRAME: The Chief is not going to provide a legal opinion on the scope of common 16 17 interest privilege in this cross-examination. 18 MR. SHAPIRO: Will you then provide 19 one, Counsel? 20 MR. FRAME: I am not being examined today. 21 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 22 320 23 Q. Then I am asking the question, and 24 you can either take it away or refuse it. You have 25 asserted common interest privilege. I am testing

Γ

		Page 87	
1		that position. What is the MCFN's common interest	
2		with Ontario that is being asserted here?	
3		U/T MR. FRAME: We'll take that away and	
4		get back to you.	
5		BY MR. SHAPIRO:	
6	321	Q. I would like to go to your	
7		affidavit, Chief Laforme, back to paragraph 11.	
8		A. Where is my affidavit now?	
9		Okay.	
10	322	Q. And I will just read out the firs	t
11		sentence of that while you pull it up. It states:	
12		"Unfortunately, I believe that	
13		in seeking justice for wrongs	
14		committed against it by Canada and	
15		Ontario, Six Nations has taken aim	
16		at our people and put our history,	
17		our rights, and our territory in its	
18		crosshairs."	
19		Do you see that, Chief Laforme?	
20		A. No. Could you give me the page o	f
21		that again?	
22	323	Q. It is paragraph 11, page 25 of	
23		your Motion Record.	
24		A. Okay, sorry, I had the wrong	
25		document.	

Page 88 1 324 0. No problem. Take a moment to 2 refresh your memory. [Witness reviews document.] 3 Α. 4 Okay. 5 325 Q. You would agree with me, Chief б Laforme, that no one for Six Nations has ever 7 expressed to you that they have put the MCFN in its crosshairs; correct? 8 9 Α. They have never made that 10 statement to me. 326 11 And you are not aware of them Ο. 12 making that statement to anyone else, are you? 13 Not as it pertains to this case, Α. 14 no. 15 327 Q. Just like MCFN's intervention request is not intended to put Six Nations 16 17 territory in its crosshairs; correct? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 328 The MCFN's intervention request is 0. 20 not taking aim at the Six Nations people or the Six Nations community; correct? 21 22 Α. We are not. 23 MR. SHAPIRO: I am going to go off 24 camera for two moments to consult with my team. 25 Please bear with me.

	Page 89
1	[Brief Discussion Off The Record.]
2	MR. SHAPIRO: I am back, and I can let
3	you know, Chief Laforme, that that concludes my
4	questions for you today, subject to the
5	undertakings and the refusals that your lawyer took
6	away.
7	I appreciate your time, and I thank you
8	for your time.
9	THE WITNESS: And thank you as well.
10	MR. FRAME: And we are just going to
11	take two minutes while I go off camera while I
12	consult with my colleagues as to whether there will
13	be any re-examination today.
14	[Brief Discussion Off The Record.]
15	MR. FRAME: No re-examination on behalf
16	of the Mississaugas of the New Credit.
17	MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you again very
18	much. I think that concludes today's proceedings.
19	I am not aware of anyone else wanting
20	to ask questions.
21	MR. YOUNG: No, nothing from Ontario,
22	Max.
23	MR. SHAPIRO: And hearing nothing
24	further from Canada, can we safely log off and let
25	Madam Reporter go have lunch?

								Page	e 90
1				MR.	FRAME	:	Yes,	thank	you.
2									
3		Adjou	rnec	l at	11:56	a.	.m.		
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

	Page 91
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, DEANA SANTEDICOLA, RPR, CRR,
4	CSR, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify:
5	That the foregoing proceedings were
6	taken before me at the time and place therein set
7	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath
8	by me;
9	That the testimony of the witness
10	and all objections made at the time of the
11	examination were recorded stenographically by me
12	and were thereafter transcribed;
13	That the foregoing is a true and
14	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
15	
16	
17	
18	Dated this 24th day of March, 2023.
19	
20	
21	nn
22	NEESONS, A VERITEXT COMPANY
23	PER: DEANA SANTEDICOLA, RPR, CRR, CSR
24	
25	

[& - 182]

121

&	12 4:12 7:12	149 37:15	171 42:21
	55:16	14th 8:2,9	172 42:24
& 2:5	120 31:7	15 8:19	173 43:3
1	121 31:12	150 37:19	174 43:11
1 4:4 5:6 7:23	122 31:15	151 37:23	175 43:20
7:24 29:25	123 31:17	152 38:2	176 44:13
44:25 45:6,9	124 31:21	153 38:5	177 45:5
50:13	125 32:1	154 38:10	1776 41:3
10 7:2 12:19	126 32:4 45:25	155 38:15	178 45:8
13:2	127 32:8	156 38:21	1781 32:21
100 27:20	128 32:12	157 38:25 49:6	44:24
101 28:1	129 33:5	49:21	1781-1830 45:2
102 28:7	13 8:2	158 39:4	1783 41:6
103 28:12	130 33:18	159 39:7	1784 13:21
104 28:17	131 33:24	16 8:23	14:2 17:5,23
105 28:22	132 34:2	160 39:17	19:3,12 20:5
106 28:24	133 34:17	1600s 13:7	20:15,22 21:11
107 29:3	134 35:3 72:19	14:25	22:17 32:23
108 29:9	135 35:9	161 39:21	33:8 34:4,8
109 29:13	136 35:14	162 39:24	35:6 47:23
10:00 5:1	137 35:22	163 40:4	68:20
11 7:5 87:7,22	138 35:25	164 40:9	179 45:22
110 29:18	139 36:4	165 40:11	1792 32:24
111 29:21	14 4:7 8:7,16	166 40:15	35:6
112 29:24	140 36:8	167 40:18	17th 63:8 65:12
113 30:5	141 36:11	168 40:22	85:6
114 30:10	142 36:13	169 42:5	18 9:4
115 30:13	143 36:15	17 4:21 9:1	18-594281-0
116 30:16	144 36:23	65:18	1:1
117 30:20	145 37:1	170 42:11	180 46:5
118 31:1	146 37:4	1700 13:11	1800s 16:18
119 31:4	147 37:7	1700s 13:14	17:12
11:20 66:3	148 37:10	1701 13:16	181 46:9
11:30 66:1,4	47:17	62:17 81:3	182 46:13
11:56 90:3			

Chief R. Stacey Laforme March 20, 2023

[1822 - 275]

Page 2

1822 32:21	2010 10:1	218 56:9	246 64:14
183 46:16	2015 9:16,20,22	219 56:16	247 64:24
1830 44:24	39:14 46:9,22	22 9:19 32:12	248 65:4
184 46:20	202 51:20	32:23 47:16	249 66:6
185 46:24	2020 28:3,8	220 56:21	24th 91:18
186 47:4	2022 1:20 4:12	221 57:2	25 10:3 87:22
187 47:8	4:14 6:18 50:8	222 57:6	250 66:10
188 47:12	52:13,20 55:17	223 57:9	251 66:18
189 47:16	55:18 72:18,24	224 57:16	252 66:23
19 9:9	73:23 83:20	225 57:22	253 67:4
190 47:21	84:17	226 58:10	254 67:10
1900 11:11	2023 1:22 4:5,7	227 58:12	255 67:14
1900s 11:18	4:18,21 7:25	228 58:17	256 68:9
191 48:17	8:17 65:16,18	229 58:23	257 69:3
192 49:1	91:18	23 9:22 33:6,19	258 69:8
193 49:5	2024 70:13	48:17 49:5,19	259 69:11
194 49:19	71:7,19	230 59:4	26 10:5
195 50:4	203 51:25	231 59:16	260 69:14
196 50:13	204 52:3	232 59:20	261 69:16
197 50:18	205 52:6	233 59:24	262 69:22
198 50:22	206 52:20	234 60:5	263 70:1
1982 81:6	207 53:1	235 60:14	264 70:4
199 51:2	208 53:4	236 60:17	265 70:8
1995 69:9	209 53:11	237 60:23	266 70:12
70:21 71:7	20th 1:22	238 61:4	267 70:16
1999 9:22	21 9:15	239 61:13	268 71:5
2	210 53:16	24 9:24 23:10	269 71:16
2 1:20 4:5,7	211 53:21	24:19	27 10:9 49:8,22
5:12 7:25 8:12	212 54:9	240 62:5	270 71:25
	213 54:22	241 62:15	271 72:7
8:16 53:2 20 0:12 48:3	214 55:3	242 62:22	272 72:14
20 9:12 48:3	215 55:20	243 63:2	273 73:5
200 51:6 2008 10:1	216 55:25	244 63:7	274 73:8
2008 10:1 201 51:12	217 56:5	245 63:12	275 73:22
201 51:13			

[276 - 64]

123

			1
276 74:4	30 10:19 44:7	34 12:2 40:25	51 15:13
277 74:7	300 81:18	35 12:6 57:22	51:10 3:22
278 74:11	301 82:5	58:8 73:17	52 15:17
279 74:24	302 82:12	74:21 81:5	53 15:20 43:21
27th 50:8	303 82:17	36 12:9	54 15:25
28 10:12 83:2	304 82:23	37 12:11	55 16:9 44:13
84:1,14	305 83:1	38 12:17	45:2
280 75:7	306 83:4	39 12:24	55/14 4:14
281 75:10	307 83:9	3rd 63:4 65:11	550,000 20:25
282 75:14	308 83:13	4	56 16:14
283 75:20	309 83:19	4 4:16 5:21	57 17:10
284 76:7	31 11:8 39:14	65:11,12,14	58 17:15
285 76:13	49:20	40 10:17 13:6	58:19 3:22
286 76:19	310 83:24	40 10.17 13.0 40 a 17:7,9	58:25 3:23
287 76:24	311 84:6	18:12	59 18:5
288 77:7	312 84:10	40b 10:16,18	59:18 3:23
289 77:15	313 84:22	11:12 17:9	59:22 3:23
	314 05 0	11.12 17.7	59:8 3:23
29 10:15	314 85:2	/11 13.0	37.0 3.23
29 10:15 290 78:5	314 85:2 315 85:6	41 13:9 42 13:15	57.6 5.25 5th 52:12
		42 13:15	
290 78:5	315 85:6	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13	5th 52:12 6
290 78:5 29079 91:21	315 85:6 316 85:19	4213:154313:194413:2519:23	5th 52:12 6 6 6 6:3 72:17,24
 290 78:5 29079 91:21 291 78:14 	315 85:6 316 85:19 317 86:1	4213:154313:194413:254514:5	5th 52:12 6 6 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 73:23
29078:52907991:2129178:1429278:17	315 85:6 316 85:19 317 86:1 318 86:6	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12	5th 52:12 6 6 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9
29078:52907991:2129178:1429278:1729378:21	31585:631685:1931786:131886:631986:13	 42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 	5th 52:12 6 6 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23
29078:52907991:2129178:1429278:1729378:2129479:6	31585:631685:1931786:131886:631986:133211:18	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23	5th 52:12 6 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:2 3:23
29078:52907991:2129178:1429278:1729378:2129479:629579:17	31585:631685:1931786:131886:631986:133211:1832086:23	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24	5th 52:12 6 6 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:2 3:23 61 18:16 18:16 18:16
29078:52907991:2129178:1429278:1729378:2129479:629579:1729679:25	31585:631685:1931786:131886:631986:133211:1832086:2332187:6	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24 49 15:3	5th 52:12 6 6 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:2 3:23 61 18:16 61:1 3:23
29078:52907991:2129178:1429278:1729378:2129479:629579:1729679:2529780:6	31585:631685:1931786:131886:631986:133211:1832086:2332187:632287:10	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24 49 15:3 5 5 5	5th 52:12 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:2 3:23 61 18:16 61:1 3:23 61:12 3:23 3:24
29078:52907991:2129178:1429278:1729378:2129479:629579:1729679:2529780:629880:13	31585:631685:1931786:131886:631986:133211:1832086:2332187:632287:1032387:22	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24 49 15:3 5 3:4 4:14,20	5th 52:12 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:2 3:23 61 18:16 61:1 3:23 61:23 3:24 62 18:23 30:7
29078:52907991:2129178:1429278:1729378:2129479:629579:1729679:2529780:629880:1329981:11	31585:631685:1931786:131886:631986:133211:1832086:2332187:632287:1032387:2232488:1	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24 49 15:3 5 3:4 4:14,20 5:25 52:20	5th 52:12 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:2 3:23 61 18:16 61:1 3:23 61:1 3:23 61:23 3:24 62 18:23 30:7 62:10 3:24
290 78:5 29079 91:21 291 78:14 292 78:17 293 78:21 294 79:6 295 79:17 296 79:25 297 80:6 298 80:13 299 81:11 2nd 6:18 7:6	31585:631685:1931786:131886:631986:133211:1832086:2332187:632287:1032387:2232488:132588:5	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24 49 15:3 5 3:4 4:14,20 5:25 52:20 55:18 65:11,13 65:11,13	5th 52:12 6 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:12 3:23 61:1 3:23 61:1 3:23 61:23 3:24 62:10 3:24 62:19 3:24
290 78:5 29079 91:21 291 78:14 292 78:17 293 78:21 294 79:6 295 79:17 296 79:25 297 80:6 298 80:13 299 81:11 2nd 6:18 7:6 3 4:10,18 5:17	315 85:6 316 85:19 317 86:1 318 86:6 319 86:13 32 11:18 320 86:23 321 87:6 322 87:10 323 87:22 324 88:1 325 88:5 326 88:11 327 88:15 328 88:19	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24 49 15:3 5 5 3:4 4:14,20 5:25 52:20 55:18 65:11,13 65:17	5th 52:12 6 6 6:3 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:12 3:23 61:1 3:23 61:1 3:23 61:2 3:24 62 18:23 30:7 62:10 3:24 62:19 3:24 62:24 3:24
290 78:5 29079 91:21 291 78:14 292 78:17 293 78:21 294 79:6 295 79:17 296 79:25 297 80:6 298 80:13 299 81:11 2nd 6:18 7:6 3 4:10,18 5:17 30:17 32:22	315 85:6 316 85:19 317 86:1 318 86:6 319 86:13 32 11:18 320 86:23 321 87:6 323 87:22 324 88:1 325 88:5 326 88:11 327 88:15	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24 49 15:3 5 3:4 4:14,20 5:25 52:20 55:18 65:11,13 65:11,13	5th 52:12 6 6 6:3 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:2 3:23 61 18:16 61:1 3:23 61:23 3:24 62 18:23 30:7 62:10 3:24 62:19 3:24 62:24 3:24 63 19:12
290 78:5 29079 91:21 291 78:14 292 78:17 293 78:21 294 79:6 295 79:17 296 79:25 297 80:6 298 80:13 299 81:11 2nd 6:18 7:6 3 4:10,18 5:17	315 85:6 316 85:19 317 86:1 318 86:6 319 86:13 32 11:18 320 86:23 321 87:6 322 87:10 323 87:22 324 88:1 325 88:5 326 88:11 327 88:15 328 88:19	42 13:15 43 13:19 28:13 44 13:25 19:23 45 14:5 45:15 3:12 46 14:8 20:20 47 14:18 19:23 48 14:24 49 15:3 5 5 3:4 4:14,20 5:25 52:20 55:18 65:11,13 65:17	5th 52:12 6 6 6:3 72:17,24 73:23 60 18:9 60:12 3:23 60:12 3:23 61:1 3:23 61:1 3:23 61:23 3:24 62 18:23 30:7 62:10 3:24 62:19 3:24 62:24 3:24

[64:16 - advisement]

Page 4

8/16 4:8 80 24:4	ability 24:14 27:13	50:16 52:1	77:8,21 81:8
8 6:20	90:3	action 19:7	76:4,8,8,20,25
8	a.m. 5:1 66:3,4	acres 20:25 act 9:2 81:6	74:13,25,25 75:7,10,15,20
79:21 3:13	a	acquired 21:7	advised 49:9
79:10 3:25	99 27:15	64:4	74:20
79 23:21	98 27:12	acquainted	adversely 68:1
78 23:17	97 27:9	64:10	advanced 24:1
77:3 3:12	96 27:5	acknowledg	27:13
77:23 3:13	95 27:1	67:23 72:5	advance 27:10
77:11 3:13	94 26:22	accurately	64:19
77 23:9 67:15	93 26:18	68:7,25	adopts 64:14
76 23:5	92 26:14	47:13 53:9	adopt 85:19
75 23:1	91 26:10	39:5,6 40:19	74:19
74 22:20	90 3:4 26:6	accurate 27:18	adjudicated
73 22:14	20:5,8 68:10	68:17	adjourned 90:3
72 21:23	9 6:23 18:16	accountable	63:24
71:20 3:25	9	41:14	addressed
71 21:14	89 25:24	accomplish	70:16
70 20:18	88 25:20	access 12:13	61:7,20 62:5
7/24 4:5	87 25:17	abuses 68:18	51:2 60:24
7 6:16 32:24	86:8 3:25	abuse 64:2	added 50:15,23
7	86:4 3:25	68:8	54:17
69 20:15 39:10	86 25:12	absolutely 56:4	16:5 17:8,9
68 20:7	85:21 3:25	44:7	actually 11:16
67 16:15 20:3	85 25:7	above 31:1	actions 23:6
66 20:1	84:11 3:13	38:19 46:5	73:24 86:14
65:6 3:24	84 25:4	37:11,21 38:12	71:9,12 73:14
65:1 3:24	83 24:25	35:22 36:5	69:6 70:13
65/17 4:21	82 24:19	25:1,8,24 29:4	67:20 68:4
65/14 4:18	81:23 3:13	24:7,10,22	62:6 66:7
65 19:22	81 24:8	aboriginal 24:4	60:6 61:15
	80:9 3:13	able 47:6 58:17	59:1,21,25

[advisements - aware]

		1	
advisements	aim 87:15	apologies 28:11	38:16 50:18
3:6,15	88:20	49:21	54:17,19 64:18
affidavit 1:19	alexander 2:17	appear 3:12,17	86:23
6:11,16,21	allow 16:3	3:22	asserted 86:25
12:19,20 16:15	51:21	appears 46:9	87:2
18:17 19:24	allowed 53:23	applicable 64:7	asserting 26:11
20:19 67:15	55:5 59:24	64:8	86:9
68:11 69:3,5	67:11 71:16	application	asserts 22:21
72:18 87:7,8	72:3,7	61:24	assistance 3:8
affirmation 5:4	amended 6:9	applies 64:21	associates
6:15	73:11 74:5	apply 59:16	46:10
affirmed 1:19	amendment	appreciate	assume 26:17
6:17	74:17	43:20 77:7	40:17,18,20
ago 25:21	american 11:2	89:7	67:13
56:23	41:5,12 44:6	appropriate	assuming 42:1
agree 15:14	48:7	64:11 65:20	assumption
27:9 35:9 39:4	ancestors 16:23	approval 29:19	27:8
41:21 44:8	20:22 21:10	approved	assuredly 47:3
48:13 49:2,14	56:25	40:15 47:9	attending 1:22
55:9 57:19	anishinaabeg	area 14:4 25:15	attention 40:24
61:4 65:4	8:24 13:3 14:9	29:6 30:13,21	45:24
70:17 71:9	14:14	31:9,20,22	attorney 1:9
88:5	answer 27:19	36:1,21 38:11	2:9
agreed 10:25	53:25 55:4,21	areas 34:15	authored 46:10
20:22 34:6	59:4,16 62:3	56:14	authority 37:17
66:25	71:22 75:25	arriving 70:17	available 83:12
agreement	77:13 78:2	art 54:15	aware 16:2
10:20 11:11	85:20,24	aside 22:15	22:10 26:22
13:10,13,16	answered	38:15 54:9	27:1,21 32:8
15:4,7,9 16:12	75:24 81:13	57:18	34:23 39:18
16:17,22 17:12	answering	asked 59:17	58:15 66:21
21:3 33:10	62:11,13 79:11	60:5 80:3 82:1	70:12,21,23
34:4,13 64:10	81:24	82:7 84:23	73:24 74:3,4
ahead 40:12	anybody 83:17	asking 5:8	82:6,15,17
		21:18,23,24	83:17,19 88:11

[aware - certainly]

Page 6

89:19	beds 26:12 29:5	63:20	46:5
b	30:1 32:15	boundaries	calls 61:1 65:6
b 1:5 45:18	began 70:21	37:24 38:3	camera 88:24
back 13:6 16:4	behalf 27:10,13	boundary 48:8	89:11
17:10 18:16	28:19 82:13	bracketed 42:1	canada 1:9
20:4,7 31:12	89:15	brant 41:3,17	2:10 28:25
42:12 69:9	believe 5:14	42:13,21 48:1	39:12 42:25
87:4,7 89:2	9:25 10:2	brantford	43:7 44:17
background	29:16 40:2	10:13 70:6	46:25 60:1,6
46:18	51:13 68:21	break 8:15 9:23	60:20 61:16
band 1:6 5:8	69:15 75:2	9:24 10:3	68:16 75:11,15
9:1 10:7 11:22	81:10,11,13,14	65:20	75:21 78:18,23
12:2 26:18,19	82:11 83:22	brief 89:1,14	87:14 89:24
26:23 27:10,12	85:4 87:12	british 32:20	captain 48:1
28:20 29:10,12	believed 25:15	33:11 34:14	case 4:13 8:8
31:24 32:2	bell 69:24	36:1 41:5,7,11	22:13 36:20
53:12,17 54:12	beneficial	41:12 43:25	37:23 52:11
66:11,19	49:12,25	44:19,24 48:5	54:5,25 55:17
bands 25:16	best 72:12	brittany 2:4	58:14 60:21,25
26:15 27:2,5,8	beyond 78:9	broad 54:8	61:8 66:15
27:12,15,23,25	79:8,18	broader 51:3	67:12 69:8,11
37:7	bit 20:19 22:21	broadly 83:24	70:2,5,23,25
based 35:22,25	22:22 28:1	buildings 36:11	71:6,13,18
45:17 62:11	30:22 39:1	businesses 37:5	72:2,17 82:8
basic 66:19	52:9 65:22	38:3	82:18 88:13
basically 14:22	66:19 74:11	С	cases 27:18
bay 41:18 42:3	black 31:13	c 2:1	caught 58:11
42:7,17	blow 63:13	call 20:4 34:7	cause 72:4
bear 88:25	bomberry 2:21	38:6 52:3	cbc 70:9
beat 73:2	bottom 23:21	59:13	certain 20:11
beau 73.2 beaver 13:7,19	24:21 26:9	called 10:15	20:13 21:5
13:25 14:3,11	bounce 52:8	11:12 13:13,17	certainly 22:13
14:20,22	bound 60:20,24	14:5 19:13	45:15 53:15,16
bed 80:21	61:7,17,21	23:14,18 25:9	54:1 62:10
beu 00.21	62:9,18 63:16	30:10 39:25	83:16

[certificate - conference]

Page 7

	1		
certificate 91:1	claim 6:9 7:11	clerk's 72:18	communication
certified 91:4	24:10,22 25:1	client 76:14	75:4 76:3
certify 91:4	25:4,8,15,24	close 21:18	79:11,13
cessions 44:23	26:20,24 27:3	closer 30:22	community
45:1	27:20,21,22	collateral 61:24	8:23 10:9
chance 18:18	28:2,2,3,8,8,16	64:1	12:12 69:12,19
78:1	29:3,10,14,17	colleagues	88:21
change 78:3	29:22,22,25	89:12	company 91:22
chief 1:18 3:2	31:8,10,24	collection 7:18	company's
4:4 5:3,6 6:10	32:6,10,13	combine 81:20	1:20
7:17,25 8:19	34:2 35:3,15	come 14:14	compensate
9:12,16 10:5	35:22 36:17,24	17:1,19 43:14	67:6
12:3,7,7,9,12	38:18 39:11,25	79:7	compensated
19:8 21:12	42:25 46:6,25	comes 26:8	57:18
22:1 28:15,18	47:3 53:14	42:16	compensation
28:22 30:8	54:6,9 57:10	comfort 73:12	53:7 78:10
32:5 33:1	57:12,14 66:7	commence	79:8,19
38:17 39:2,14	claimed 14:10	70:13	completeness
41:20 44:8	78:10 79:9,19	commenced	80:15
45:3 46:1	claiming 24:4	23:1,5	complicated
47:19 48:14	35:15,21	commencing	10:22 13:4,6
50:10 52:23	claims 22:21	5:1 45:2	concerned
54:16 55:4,21	23:1,15,19	commercial	13:20 14:1
58:4 60:18	24:1,6 27:10	36:11	66:15 74:8
62:2,12 63:2	27:13,16 36:5	commissioned	concludes 89:3
63:10 64:12,25	36:18 57:7	46:19	89:18
65:5 66:8 69:1	80:18,18	commit 45:16	conclusion
69:5 73:6	clarification	committed 56:1	21:18 54:20
74:22 76:24	26:9,10	87:14	61:2 65:7
78:6 81:7 82:5	clarified 82:2	common 63:25	conclusions
84:22 85:21	clarifying	64:6 85:16,23	62:11
86:15 87:7,19	13:15	86:9,13,16,25	conditions
88:5 89:3	clear 9:8 34:18	87:1	15:16,17
circle 42:12	clearer 54:16	communicating	conference
		77:16	4:13 5:13,14

[conference - crown]

Page 8

5:18 55:17	correct 5:19,20	80:2 81:9,12	court 1:1,3
confirm 64:6	5:24 6:18,19	81:17 82:14,20	23:5 24:15
confirmation	6:24 8:22 9:20	82:24 84:25	27:18 40:1,3
67:14	9:21 10:11,13	86:4 88:8,17	46:17 47:4
conflict 13:9,12	10:16,21 11:23	88:18,21 91:14	50:6,25 51:4,7
14:23,25 15:5	12:5 13:4,7,11	corrections	51:15 52:12
connection	13:17,18,22	6:20	54:5 62:6,15
67:25	14:2,6,21	corresponded	63:17 70:2,5
consider 22:8	15:12 18:8	49:9	71:11 73:15
consistent	19:11,14,18	corresponden	86:14
16:20 68:23	22:25 23:4,7,8	7:9 8:4 54:7	cover 69:19
82:1	25:2,10,18,19	64:17 77:4	covered 14:3,4
constitution	25:22,23 26:7	82:10 84:24	26:19 27:3
81:6	26:16,24,25	85:5,11,14	31:8 36:16
constitutional	27:3,4,23 28:5	corresponden	38:18
67:24	29:2,11,12,23	7:9	covers 25:9,25
consult 56:12	30:3,12,24	council 9:10,13	created 18:6
88:24 89:12	31:2,9,14,15,25	9:20 11:23	credit 1:13 2:18
contact 81:15	32:3,7 33:3,16	12:3 28:18	4:11 6:7 8:20
content 81:2	33:20 34:15	40:16 47:10	9:6 17:7 18:12
contention	35:24 36:2,3	councillor 10:6	23:23,25 28:18
57:14	36:12,14,25	counsel 3:8	28:20 30:23
contents 65:4	37:2,5,6,8,9	7:15 23:14	42:19 46:7
context 16:7	38:1,3,14,15,20	51:13 52:13	55:16 89:16
22:4 34:21	42:16 49:3	61:4 64:17	crime 12:13
35:13 70:19	50:21 51:22	75:5 76:14,19	crops 16:7
71:3	53:13,18 56:18	81:22 85:12,12	cross 1:18 3:4
control 36:1	56:24 58:10	86:19	5:5 6:11 86:17
41:7	60:22 61:22	couple 23:22	crosshairs
conversation	62:9,18,23	25:7 39:7	87:18 88:8,17
75:13	64:15,25 67:12	40:22 48:18	crossing 16:4
copies 8:14	68:8 71:19	57:13	crown 10:25
copy 7:17 45:9	72:25 73:25	course 6:14	19:2,4,15 20:9
45:10 48:19	75:1 77:25	22:5	20:12,14 21:5
	78:15,16,18,23		21:7 22:2,23

[crown - document]

Page 9

	1		
23:2 27:16	65:15,18 72:17	delay 72:5	directly 43:17
32:20 33:11	91:18	delaying 72:2	47:1
34:14,23 36:1	dates 11:20	deliver 82:7	disconcerting
36:24 37:13,20	28:10 84:13,20	deparde 2:17	41:24
38:6,22 44:19	david 2:12,13	4:17 7:3 65:15	discovery
44:24 48:21	48:1	depiction 27:18	74:16
53:7,20 55:9	day 1:22 14:6	describe 16:16	discuss 12:11
55:22 56:1	15:10 91:18	described 26:1	discussed 12:15
57:18 66:23,25	days 48:9	30:16	12:18 19:23
67:3,7	deal 36:4	description 4:3	discussion 54:1
crown's 38:8	dealt 44:20	desk 6:5	89:1,14
57:3 61:9	deana 2:23	despite 31:21	discussions
crowns 66:8	91:3,23	71:17	58:19
crr 2:23 91:3	december 1:19	detail 19:1 33:5	dish 13:10,13
91:23	4:12,14 6:17	determination	15:3,6 16:17
csr 2:23 91:4	28:3 32:24	85:22	16:21 17:11
91:23	35:6 52:12,20	determinations	62:22
current 12:6	55:16,18	73:16	displace 36:16
23:15,19 73:12	decided 51:7,15	determine	displaced 48:6
10.22	Japinian 51.14	77.04	diment 00.7
currently 10:23	decision 51:14	77:24	divest 22:7
36:5 70:13	decision 51:14 decisions 62:16	developed	divested 54:4
•			
36:5 70:13	decisions 62:16	developed	divested 54:4
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d	decisions 62:16 66:16	developed 70:25	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations	developed 70:25 difference	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5	decisions 62:16 66:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5 78:10 79:8,19	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13 deed 44:2 48:20	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19 43:15 44:22	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10 7:14,21,23
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5 78:10 79:8,19 date 39:14 50:8	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13 deed 44:2 48:20 62:17 81:3	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19 43:15 44:22 50:24 56:14	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10 7:14,21,23 12:21 18:2,21
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5 78:10 79:8,19 date 39:14 50:8 52:18 55:2	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13 deed 44:2 48:20 62:17 81:3 defendant 2:8	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19 43:15 44:22 50:24 56:14 59:5 80:6	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10 7:14,21,23 12:21 18:2,21 23:13,18 27:21
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5 78:10 79:8,19 date 39:14 50:8 52:18 55:2 71:19 83:22	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13 deed 44:2 48:20 62:17 81:3 defendant 2:8 2:12 32:2	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19 43:15 44:22 50:24 56:14 59:5 80:6 84:17	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10 7:14,21,23 12:21 18:2,21 23:13,18 27:21 30:6 33:22
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5 78:10 79:8,19 date 39:14 50:8 52:18 55:2 71:19 83:22 84:3,5,8,12,20	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13 deed 44:2 48:20 62:17 81:3 defendant 2:8 2:12 32:2 66:13,14	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19 43:15 44:22 50:24 56:14 59:5 80:6 84:17 differentiation	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10 7:14,21,23 12:21 18:2,21 23:13,18 27:21 30:6 33:22 39:10,21,25
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5 78:10 79:8,19 date 39:14 50:8 52:18 55:2 71:19 83:22 84:3,5,8,12,20 dated 4:5,7,14	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13 deed 44:2 48:20 62:17 81:3 defendant 2:8 2:12 32:2 66:13,14 defendants	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19 43:15 44:22 50:24 56:14 59:5 80:6 84:17 differentiation 69:21	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10 7:14,21,23 12:21 18:2,21 23:13,18 27:21 30:6 33:22 39:10,21,25 40:5,7 42:2,10
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5 78:10 79:8,19 date 39:14 50:8 52:18 55:2 71:19 83:22 84:3,5,8,12,20 dated 4:5,7,14 4:17,21 7:6,25	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13 deed 44:2 48:20 62:17 81:3 defendant 2:8 2:12 32:2 66:13,14 defendants 1:11 28:25	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19 43:15 44:22 50:24 56:14 59:5 80:6 84:17 differentiation 69:21 diminish 53:7	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10 7:14,21,23 12:21 18:2,21 23:13,18 27:21 30:6 33:22 39:10,21,25 40:5,7 42:2,10 42:24 45:18,23
36:5 70:13 cv 1:1 d d 3:1 damages 53:5 54:2 67:5 78:10 79:8,19 date 39:14 50:8 52:18 55:2 71:19 83:22 84:3,5,8,12,20 dated 4:5,7,14	decisions 62:16 66:16 declarations 29:25 declined 82:13 deed 44:2 48:20 62:17 81:3 defendant 2:8 2:12 32:2 66:13,14 defendants 1:11 28:25 61:15	developed 70:25 difference 50:25 77:17 different 36:19 43:15 44:22 50:24 56:14 59:5 80:6 84:17 differentiation 69:21 diminish 53:7 direct 29:17	divested 54:4 doctrine 64:20 doctrines 61:25 63:25 64:4 document 4:10 7:14,21,23 12:21 18:2,21 23:13,18 27:21 30:6 33:22 39:10,21,25 40:5,7 42:2,10 42:24 45:18,23 46:13,21 47:5

[document - extent]

130

			-
55:14 60:16	85:20	entrance 71:17	exhibit 7:23
84:4 87:25	effort 68:15	entry 25:1	8:12 55:12,13
88:3	eighth 9:17	erie 20:24 26:2	65:12,13 72:18
documented	either 8:5 21:9	33:15	exhibits 4:1
44:25	42:25 43:7	esq 2:2,3,4,6,8	65:11
documents 6:4	60:1,6 75:10	2:9,10,12,13,16	existing 35:25
6:10,13 39:8	78:18 81:8	2:17	expand 74:14
52:7 86:11	86:24	establishment	expanded
dorchester	elder 58:1	48:7	80:18
49:8	59:10	estoppel 61:24	expected 27:6
dotted 31:15	elders 58:13,15	61:25 64:1,2	expert 33:25
doubtless 64:3	58:24 59:7,9	events 35:10	35:18 51:21
downloaded	59:12	49:24	58:1 59:20
46:2	elected 9:10,12	evidence 35:5	74:16 82:19,21
draft 82:7	9:16,19 11:23	51:25 58:1,13	82:24 83:5,6,7
draw 40:23	11:25 12:3,6	59:10,11 60:1	83:11,13,25
45:24	32:9	60:6	84:14 85:13
drop 29:24	electronic 8:14	ex 4:4,7,10,16	experts 59:17
30:5 44:13	email 85:8	4:20 7:24 8:16	71:18
53:1 57:22	86:11	55:14 65:14,17	explain 18:25
74:11	ended 13:9,12	exact 11:20	explained
drove 14:23	42:6,7	28:10 39:19	50:23
ducks 72:12	enforce 23:2,6	exactly 84:10	expositor 70:6
e	engage 58:2	examination	expressed
e 1:5,5,5 2:1,1	enshrined 21:3	1:18 3:4 4:4	48:10 88:7
3:1	ensure 67:22	5:5 6:11 7:6,13	extended 14:25
eagle 5:3 6:14	enter 44:18	7:24 86:17	16:25 17:18
earlier 17:8	entered 19:2	89:13,15 91:11	extending 80:7
33:2 34:6,8	32:19 33:10	examined	extent 39:3
68:10 82:1	34:13 44:22	86:20	54:18 63:20
early 11:18	entering 34:3	example 18:20	77:11,23 79:1
educational	entitled 4:10	18:25 62:6	79:2 80:9
40:13 43:5	39:11 45:1	70:6	81:25
effect 37:12	55:14	exchanged 63:3	
62:16 67:15			

[f - future]

131

		I	
f	familiarize	51:2 55:16	65:15,17,24
f 3:21 51:10	40:6	57:23 63:13	71:20 72:19,21
58:19,25 59:8	far 81:16	68:11 73:9	72:24 73:1
59:18,22 60:2	farmers 37:4	77:20 87:10	75:3,23 76:1
60:12 61:1,23	farming 16:1	flip 68:10	76:10,20,21
62:10,19,24	farmland 38:3	floodplains	77:3,11,17,23
64:16 65:1,6	farms 36:13	26:13 29:5	78:25 79:10,15
71:20 79:10	feather 5:3	30:2 32:16	79:21 80:3,9
85:21 86:4,8	6:14	following 3:6	81:19,23 84:11
86:15	feel 71:13 79:4	3:12,17,22	84:19 85:21
fact 60:21,25	feliciant 2:13	32:18 41:11	86:4,8,15,20
61:8,18,22	fight 37:23	44:6	87:3 89:10,15
63:17,21 64:19	38:2 67:18	foregoing 91:5	90:1
64:21	file 1:1	91:13	framed 54:19
failed 57:18	filed 42:24	form 73:12	free 79:4
fair 15:5 27:6	46:25 47:4	formally 40:1,2	friday 85:6
27:16 29:7,15	filings 27:25	46:16	friend 50:24
29:19 32:10,11	find 52:21 58:5	former 72:16	51:3,7,15
34:5 35:6,12	63:18	forth 16:4	friendship
37:13,14 38:8	finding 64:20	35:19 91:7	16:12
40:22 42:8,11	findings 60:20	found 23:16	front 5:22 6:4
42:15 47:8,14	60:25 61:7,17	four 48:9	6:24 12:23
50:2 51:4,17	61:22 63:17,21	frame 2:16 4:8	28:15 50:10
51:18 52:1,4	finds 62:6,15	4:17,20 7:2,16	52:24
54:13,25 55:7	fine 65:24	8:2,7,17 15:1	full 50:15 51:20
55:23 56:11	84:11	17:15 21:17	fully 16:2
57:4,5 72:3,9	firm 7:3	45:15,22 51:10	further 6:9
73:22 74:9,10	first 1:13 2:18	51:18 52:17	48:18 63:14
75:8,9,11,16,22	4:11 6:6,7 8:21	54:14 58:6,8	64:9 74:12,17
81:18,22 86:1	9:7 21:15	58:19,25 59:8	78:5 80:25
fall 31:20 68:4	23:22,23 24:10	59:18,22 60:2	89:24
falling 31:22	25:8,13 26:15	60:8,12 61:1	future 57:1
familiar 39:2	28:19 30:23,24	61:10,23 62:10	66:17
51:23	33:9,18 34:3	62:19,24 63:7	
51.25	34:18 39:9	64:16 65:1,6	

Veritext

416-413-7755

[gather - honestly]

132

		· · · - ·	
g	33:6 47:21	granting 16:23	heading 47:18
gather 9:22	59:1 62:3,10	great 7:2 13:17	heard 11:3 69:5
12:9	63:12 71:11,22	23:17 24:20	hearing 89:23
gears 22:22	80:13 85:24	36:4 44:15	held 9:15 36:6
38:25 50:5	86:15 88:23	58:12 73:1	55:22
general 1:9 2:9	89:10	gregory 2:3	help 23:13
43:18	good 5:6,10	ground 26:12	helpful 7:15
generally 38:4	56:1 58:16	guessing 9:25	54:16
39:4 55:24	governed 11:22	guide 3:7	high 6:25 12:17
56:3	government	h	highlighted
getting 21:17	23:18 24:1	haldimand	36:1
75:3	39:12,12 49:11	13:21 14:2	hill 2:20 12:7,9
gist 85:4	68:15,16 82:18	17:4,22 18:6	12:12 32:5
give 7:17 8:7	governments	18:14 21:11	48:1
12:21 15:18	28:25	22:12 25:20	historical 21:25
18:18 31:23	governor 47:24	34:25 35:16	42:22 43:14
33:22 37:11	grand 1:6 10:7	47:24 48:9	historically
41:1 52:20	10:21 11:1	55:1 56:6,10	27:17 67:8
58:6 72:21	17:2,20 18:1,3	56:15,18 62:7	history 11:6,9
87:20	19:5 20:10	68:20	11:10,15 12:18
given 81:21	21:1,7,9 22:18	happen 81:12	13:4,6 14:8
giving 52:17	31:2,5,18,19	happened 85:3	22:15 35:10,11
globe 70:9	33:19 34:19,24	happened 83.3 happens 22:16	40:14 56:25
go 18:9,16	41:17,22 42:6		58:16 66:16
20:20 22:11	42:13,14 44:11	happy 23:24 harm 57:17	67:22 71:2
23:21 24:8	48:2,11,15	harvest 15:21	87:16
30:21 32:12	49:3,10,15	harvesting	hold 66:25 67:3
40:12 43:21	50:1 80:21	15:25 16:6,7	68:15
40.12 43.21 48:17 49:5	grant 19:4 20:9	haudenosaunee	holmes 46:10
67:11 87:6	24:13 49:12,24		46:19
88:23 89:11,25	granted 17:4	10:10 13:3	homeowners
,	17:22 21:2,5,8	14:9 15:11,14	37:2
goes 13:6 35:4 67:9	24:16 54:24	15:21 head 22:12	homes 37:25
	62:1 67:21	head 33:13	honestly 67:23
going 9:17	68:19	48:3	70:22
17:10 24:12			

[honourable - issued]

Page 13

honourable	index 3:10,15	intended 43:7	intervene 6:8
73:15	3:20 4:1	49:11,24 88:16	50:14 51:17
hope 40:20	indian 9:1 37:7	intent 67:19	56:10 62:2
47:11,12	indians 1:6	72:4	67:21 68:3
houses 36:8	10:7 28:20	interact 11:25	73:19
hundred 47:6	41:4,18 44:5,9	interactions	intervened
huron 14:13	48:11	34:7 42:5	51:16
20:25		interest 54:2	intervenes
	indigenous		
hypotheticals	8:23 14:16	70:24 71:1,3	54:10
62:12	individual	71:12 85:16,23	intervening
i	36:18	86:10,13,17,25	50:23,24 51:7
identified	information	87:1	51:15 56:16,20
58:13 59:12	43:15,16 73:9	interested 13:1	56:21
identifying	75:18 76:2	18:19 56:24	intervention
59:8	77:1,9,12,16,19	77:19	54:24 88:15,19
identity 77:15	77:21,24 78:2	interesting	introduce
imagine 35:7	79:2 84:9 86:3	11:24	57:25 59:10,11
60:8 75:12	86:9	interests 50:19	investigating
impact 66:16	informed 47:24	51:9 56:22	24:2
73:16 74:20	input 29:21	58:2 67:25	invitation
impacted 68:1	inquiries 45:10	interfere 27:15	16:25 17:18
impacting 71:1	45:16 79:21	interfering	invite 5:15
improperly	84:6,12	37:20	involve 35:10
54:4,4	inquiry 63:15	interjects 41:25	involved 34:18
include 80:18	instance 18:4	internal 45:16	74:9 82:18
included 13:20	22:9,15 77:20	84:12	involvement
32:17 39:7	instances 82:3	internally	72:15,15
includes 26:14	instruct 71:18	79:22	iroquois 14:12
31:8,10,13	instructed	internet 12:13	island 69:23
including 14:5	64:24	interpretation	issue 45:13
20:25 31:17	instrument	20:14 21:24	56:17 61:25
44:4 80:20	20:3,5 21:21	interpretations	64:1 81:1
81:4 82:2	33:2	43:16	issued 28:3,8
incorrect 84:17	intend 53:6,12	interpreting	29:11,14,19,22
	53:17,22 54:11	21:20,20	40:1,3 46:17

[issued - leadership]

Page 14

50:7	38:13 42:1,3,9	73:6 74:22	21:6 22:2,7,8
issues 12:12,15	42:10 43:1,4,4	76:24 78:6	25:21 30:11
38:5 53:19	43:6 45:5	81:7 82:5	31:11 36:16
58:1 61:19	46:24 47:1,7	84:22 87:7,19	37:24 46:6
64:18 73:13	53:25 56:13	88:6 89:3	49:11 68:18
74:14,19	57:15 66:10	lake 20:24,25	large 14:4 29:6
j	69:8,11,18	26:2,2 33:13	late 70:17 71:8
janes 2:6	70:20 75:17	33:15 34:15	71:12,17
january 49:8	76:2,25 77:2,8	48:3	latest 85:7
49:22 72:17,24	77:22 79:25	lakes 19:14,22	law 60:21,25
73:23	81:16 82:12	21:4 30:17	61:8,18,22
joan 46:10,19	83:6 84:3,5,7	32:23 33:2	63:23,25 64:6
job 4:25	84:18,23 85:2	34:12,25 35:5	lawsuit 66:21
job 4.23 john 47:23	89:3	47:18	lawyer 7:13
johnson 47:24	knowledge	land 10:24	45:12,14 56:13
joinison 47.24 joseph 41:3,17	21:25 26:7	11:17 16:10	63:7 72:16
42:13,21 48:1	34:10 39:20	17:6 18:10,14	74:1 76:25
judge 72:17	58:16	20:24 22:10,10	84:7 89:5
• •	known 42:22	22:17 23:15,19	lawyer's 5:13
judicata 61:24 64:1,20	l	24:5,6,11,23	85:20
juncture 59:14	laforme 1:19	25:5,9 33:13	lawyers 5:7 7:2
jurisdiction	3:2 4:5 5:3,6	35:16,21 36:4	50:22 52:12
37:17	6:10,12 7:25	36:9 41:13,14	63:2 64:14,24
justice 1:3	8:19 19:8	44:4,22 45:1	71:18 76:3
67:19 68:22	21:12 22:1	49:12,24 53:17	78:20,21 81:7
72:2 87:13	28:15 30:8	54:3 55:8	82:6,13,18,20
	33:1 39:2,15	57:19 66:24	83:10,11,13,20
k	41:20 44:8	80:19	83:25 84:8,24
katrina 2:10	45:3 46:1	lands 10:19,23	84:25 85:8
kind 70:24	47:19 48:14	13:20,20 14:1	layman's 35:20
king 1:10 2:13	50:11 52:23	14:10,14 15:10	38:16
33:12	55:4,21 58:4	15:11,15,21	lead 35:5
know 6:4 10:6	60:18 63:2,10	16:5,24 17:3	leader 42:22
1115100		1	
11:15 12:9	,	17:21 19:4,6	leadership 32:9
11:15 12:9 13:1 34:1,4,20	64:12,25 65:5 66:8 69:1,5	17:21 19:4,6 20:9,11,13	leadership 32:9 32:10

[leave - mcfn]

Page 15

Learne (.9.29.15	1:4: and for 59.20		
leave 6:8 38:15	litigation 58:20	made 60:21,25	matter 50:7
54:9 62:1 68:3	58:21 60:2	63:17,21 83:11	max 2:2 5:7,10
73:19	63:22 71:21	88:9 91:10	21:18 45:16
leaving 22:15	85:15,23 86:10	mail 70:9	51:11 52:18
left 65:22	little 20:19	majesty 1:10	54:14 58:6,11
legal 21:18,23	22:21,22 30:21	2:13	58:21 59:2,9
35:19 38:14,16	39:1 41:24	majority 44:15	64:16 71:23
43:15 54:1,7	52:9 65:22	make 21:19	72:11,22 73:3
54:15,19 61:1	74:11	38:22 45:9,15	75:5,19,24
62:2,11 64:18	live 17:1,19	49:12,24 54:7	76:11,18,22
64:19 65:6	local 69:14	56:1 72:5	77:5,13,25
82:10,10 85:22	locate 45:9,17	73:15 79:21	78:25 81:24
86:16	45:19	84:6,12 85:22	82:11,25 84:11
legally 21:20	located 5:12	making 16:12	89:22
letter 4:7,16,20	log 89:24	88:12	mcfn 9:5,9
8:2,9,16 63:4,5	long 18:17 71:4	management	10:15,19 12:3
64:15,25 65:5	longer 65:21	4:13 52:11	12:18 22:21,23
65:12,14,17	longo 2:10	55:17 72:17	25:13 26:22
72:16 73:5	lonny 2:21	map 30:7,10	27:1,22 29:9
74:5,7,12	look 50:6 84:4	31:12 36:2	32:9 35:4 36:5
75:16,21 76:9	looked 36:2	38:11	36:15 37:11
76:10,16 77:9	71:1 83:23	march 1:22 4:5	38:10,17 39:1
78:5	looking 5:22	4:7,18,21 7:6	40:16 47:9
letters 63:3	8:8 23:14,18	7:25 8:2,9,16	50:14,18 51:6
65:10 82:15	52:23	39:14 46:9	51:20 52:6
level 12:17	looks 46:18	47:23 63:4,8	53:5,22 54:10
life 71:9	lord 49:8	65:11,12,16,18	55:21,25 56:5
limited 51:4	lost 49:17	85:6 91:18	56:9 57:25
line 4:3 31:15	lot 43:14,15	mark 7:23 8:12	58:12 59:9,13
linked 43:17	lunch 89:25	12:7 32:5	59:24 60:5,18
list 3:6	lying 20:24	55:12 65:10	60:23 61:6,20
listed 27:20	m	marked 39:13	62:18 63:16
litigant 64:21		materials 7:18	64:9,14,18
litigants 64:7	madam 8:13	8:14 39:5	70:16 73:13,18
	20:21 24:13		73:23 74:4,8
	65:25 89:25		7 -

Chief R. Stacey Laforme March 20, 2023

[mcfn - nations]

Page 16

76:8 78:15	middle 9:23	66:12,20,24	moved 71:13
83:9 88:7	18:20 30:14,20	72:1 74:25	moving 1:14
mcfn's 31:8	30:22 49:22	75:4 79:6,10	2:16
37:15 50:6	miles 21:8 48:3	82:7 83:14,17	municipalities
51:14 61:16	mind 52:17	85:19 86:2	30:11
73:17 74:20	minimize 56:6	89:16	mutual 68:23
80:19 85:12	minute 65:20	misspoke 49:20	n
86:13 87:1	minutes 89:11	mitchell 2:8	n 1:5 2:1 3:1
88:15,19	mismanagem	mncfn 30:11	name 5:7 32:1
mean 16:9,10	68:17	mobile 5:25	nanfan 44:2
18:13 20:10	misplaced 11:4	modern 14:6	62:17 81:3
34:20 42:9	mississauga	15:10	nation 1:13
64:17 81:14	10:23 11:15	mohawks	2:18 4:12 6:8
means 13:1	34:13	41:19,25 42:18	8:21 9:7 23:23
15:4 21:15	mississaugas	47:25	28:19 30:24,24
34:5 44:10	1:13 2:17 4:11	moment 8:7	41:9 55:16
meant 3:7	6:7 8:20 9:5,6	12:22 41:1	national 70:8
media 69:12,13	10:20 11:11	48:22 52:21	nations 1:6 5:8
meet 5:9,10	15:8,18,22	56:23 60:15	10:7,9,12,21
71:19	19:10 20:11	71:5 72:21	11:1,3,16,22
member 8:20	22:2,5 23:23	88:1	12:2,6,18
9:19	23:25 26:16	moments 25:21	16:24,25 17:17
members 41:10	28:18,19 30:1	88:24	18:1,3,7 19:4
memo 52:11,22	30:23 31:23	money 11:16	20:9 21:2,10
55:12	32:20 33:8	53:12	22:10,11,18
memorandum	34:21,22 35:11	montreal 13:17	25:13,17 26:15
4:10 55:15	35:15 38:23	morning 5:6,9	26:18,23 29:10
memory 43:3	39:18 41:13,16	5:10 65:20	29:14,18,22
83:4 88:2	42:18 44:3,18	motion 6:6 39:8	31:2,4,17,19,21
mentioned 6:17	44:21 45:25	46:1 50:6,7,14	31:24 32:1,5
22:22 43:5	46:7 47:13	57:23 60:15	32:10 33:9,18
58:24 59:7	53:11 54:23	61:5,11 72:19	33:19 34:3,7
74:5 84:1	55:6,8,15	82:23 83:1	34:18,19,24
mentions 82:23	56:22 57:17	84:2 87:23	35:10,21 39:7
	62:1,5,8 66:12		41:4,18,22
			,,,

[nations - own]

Page 17

42.2671422	new 17:7 18:11	abiantiana	on5782063
42:2,6,7,14,22	41:8 46:7	objections 91:10	4:25
44:5,9,10			
48:11,15,19	89:16	obligations	once 57:11
49:3,13,15,25	news 69:14,16	57:3	71:14
50:1,16 52:1	69:24 70:8	obliged 38:22	ontario 1:2,10
53:8,12,17,23	nice 5:9,10	obtain 45:11	2:14 6:9 14:6,6
54:2,10,12,13	non 77:4,12	83:25	14:13 15:10
54:24 55:5,7	79:3,22 80:10	obtained 58:23	23:18 24:2
55:10,22 56:2	81:25	59:20	26:2 29:1,6
56:11 57:3,10	northeastern	obviously 65:1	33:14 39:13
57:12,17,19	26:1	occasions 12:15	42:25 43:8
58:14 62:8	northwestern	occupation	44:1,16,17
66:6,10,19,24	26:2	11:12	46:25 48:4
67:5,18 68:14	noted 3:11,17	occupied 10:23	60:1,7,20
68:19,21 69:6	3:21	occupy 10:20	61:17 64:8
72:2 73:14,24	notes 6:23	october 50:8	68:16 75:1,11
75:7,22 76:5	91:14	offered 11:4	75:15,21 78:18
78:14 81:9,15	notice 4:4 7:5	officials 48:21	78:23 82:18
82:6,19 84:23	7:13,24 8:3	oh 40:11 46:15	86:14 87:2,15
85:2 86:7	26:23 27:2,6	49:20	89:21
87:15 88:6,16	27:22 29:9,13	okay 9:7,9 10:5	ontario's 84:24
88:20,21	31:23 50:7,14	12:23 15:3	85:12
near 10:13	57:23 60:14	16:14 17:16	opinion 86:16
necessary	61:5,11 82:23	18:16,22 20:3	opposed 16:5
62:15 64:11	83:1 84:1	20:7 22:20	42:3
need 35:4 54:6	number 6:3 7:8	23:17 28:12	opts 64:5
56:12 76:14	12:14	34:15 35:1,3	order 50:19
neesons 1:20	nuri 2:16	38:25 42:21	56:17
91:22	0	43:3 46:3,4	original 48:20
negotiations	oath 91:7	48:25 53:1,3	outlets 69:14
43:7,10,17	object 62:12	78:5 83:3	69:16 70:9
neutral 14:13	71:20	84:22 87:9,24	owe 53:8
never 72:4	objection 62:19	88:4	owen 2:9
82:21 88:9	62:24 85:24	older 11:9	own 27:10,13
	02.27 03.27		

[p - portion]

138

р	paragraphs	pausing 41:20	place 49:17
p 2:1,1	19:23 40:23	paved 19:3	91:6
package 8:4	pardon 49:20	20:8	plaintiff 1:7 2:2
52:14	paris 41:6	paying 11:16	6:10 28:17
page 4:3 23:10	part 21:15	peace 13:17	78:8 79:7,18
23:22 24:19,21	32:14 46:24	15:4,7 16:11	81:1
24:25 28:13	51:18 67:4	people 8:24	plaintiff's
33:6 39:10	80:1	10:10 14:14,16	23:10 32:17
45:2,25 47:16	participants	14:18,19 17:1	45:24 80:17
47:17 48:17	1:21	17:19 19:1,9	85:13
49:5,6,18,19	participate	35:11 37:24	plan 59:25
72:19 73:9	55:5 59:25	42:7 48:4	platform 1:21
80:8 87:20,22	67:11 71:8,17	49:16 50:2	pleading 30:6
pages 3:3,12,17	72:3,8	57:1 68:6	71:15 82:7
3:22	participated	87:16 88:20	pleadings
pair 63:3	63:23	peoples 13:3	54:18 73:11,24
pape 7:3 84:13	participating	14:10 68:24	74:2,3,5,9,18
papers 69:19	67:20	perceived	78:11 79:9,19
69:23	parties 36:6,16	71:12	please 7:23
paragraph	38:6 51:21	percent 47:6	8:11 13:24
12:19 13:2	partners 60:19	period 11:17	18:17 23:9,12
16:15,16 17:16	61:16	14:25	24:19,20,25
18:16,18 20:5	parts 47:2	permission	28:6,14 33:23
20:8,20 21:15	party 1:14 2:16	15:18,23	43:21 44:14
29:24 32:12	50:15,15,23	permit 15:14	50:9 52:16
33:6,19 40:25	51:2,17,20	permitting	55:11 65:10
43:21 44:7,13	60:19,24 61:7	15:20	88:25
48:19,20 49:7	61:21 62:8,17	person 77:15	point 12:21
49:22 50:13	63:20 64:5	personally 32:4	50:20 73:23
53:2 57:22	70:17	perspective	74:8 81:15
58:8 60:14,17	passage 47:22	15:9 35:20,20	82:14
61:13 63:13	past 67:2	47:14	points 57:13
67:15 68:10	pattern 68:23	pertains 88:13	portion 10:24
73:8 83:2 84:1	pause 24:12	phones 5:25	16:2 17:16
84:14 87:7,22			
04.14 07.7,22			

[portrayed - question]

139

portrayed	privileged	protected	purchase 19:14	
67:23	45:20 51:11	45:18 81:5	19:22 21:4	
position 60:11	58:21 59:2,14	protecting	32:23 34:12	
87:1	59:22 60:3,9	56:25	35:1,6 47:19	
positive 46:15	60:11 61:6	provide 8:13	purchased	
possible 49:13	65:2 71:22	29:9 45:10,20	41:13	
49:25	75:4 77:4,12	58:13 59:25	purple 30:13	
possibly 16:12	77:17,25 78:1	60:5 73:12	30:21 31:9	
71:14	79:3,11,13,22	77:5,12 79:3	purpose 3:8	
posted 39:5,17	80:10 81:25	79:23 80:10	15:24,25 16:10	
potentially	86:9	82:3 84:19	16:11 40:4	
81:15	probably 10:18	86:2,16,18	46:20,23	
pre 35:25	40:8,13,14	provided 7:16	purposes 43:5	
prejudice	problem 33:24	7:18 15:4	43:6	
39:13	34:17 38:7,8	29:13,16 45:19	pursuance 59:1	
prepared 72:13	58:7 72:23	78:14,17,20,22	pursuit 68:22	
present 2:20	88:1	80:1 83:9,20	put 23:11 24:9	
pressing 12:15	proceedings	84:13	28:14 35:19	
presumably	89:18 91:5	providing	36:23 38:17	
35:4 61:5	process 51:24	26:23 27:2,22	51:25 52:14	
pretty 21:17	64:2 67:2,4	45:17 85:17	57:16 81:1	
previous 13:12	68:2	86:8	87:16 88:7,16	
82:3	proclamation	public 27:24	91:7	
previously	13:21 14:2	publication	puzzling 63:18	
73:18	17:5,23 18:6	40:16 47:9	q	
principles	21:11 22:12	publications	quest 72:2	
64:19	25:20 55:2	70:2,5	quest 72.2 question 7:12	
prior 14:11,15	62:7 68:20	pull 7:14 12:20	11:25 17:8,10	
62:16 81:21	promised 66:23	16:15 23:9	42:12 48:23	
private 36:8	promises 55:22	28:12 47:16	49:1,23 51:14	
37:1,4	property 33:12	50:9 52:10	55:4 56:8 59:5	
privilege 45:18	protect 50:19	60:14 63:4,8	59:5 61:6,20	
76:3 85:16,23	51:8 56:21	67:16 72:16	62:2 63:18,19	
86:10,17,25	57:6	83:2 85:8	63:23 64:22	
		87:11		
			66:18 71:6,20	

[question - renewal]

Page 20

71:23 75:17,23	68:11 70:15	recorded 91:11	refused 3:21
76:15 77:7,13	73:19 74:21	reduce 57:2,9	refusing 86:2,6
77:20 79:12	78:11 80:15,22	refer 9:5 18:2	regard 49:10
80:3,14 83:24	81:6 85:9,17	19:17,19,20	53:14
86:23	87:10	reference 14:20	regarding
questions 3:11	reads 44:14	17:9 19:9	11:11 24:23
3:16,21 5:8	74:12	24:22 30:23	25:4 28:3 30:1
25:7 74:16	ready 52:13	31:2 41:21	37:10 57:14
81:19,24 82:1	72:8	42:12,17 44:9	63:16 76:25
89:4,20	really 54:19	45:5 48:14	86:14
quickly 71:14	69:20	49:15 50:1	regards 54:3
72:9,11	reason 60:18	76:13	55:1,10
quinte 41:19	reasonable	referenced 20:4	region 26:1
42:4,8,17	64:22	33:18 42:20	related 13:16
r	recall 11:5,14	59:17 77:9	relates 29:5
r 1:18 2:1 3:2	11:20 28:10	84:14 86:11	relating 30:17
3:21 5:3 6:11	40:8 46:22	references	68:18
51:10 58:19,25	55:2	23:22 48:18	relation 61:18
59:8,18,22	receive 27:6	49:2 83:22	relief 54:11,15
60:2,12 61:1	received 76:2	referencing	66:11 78:9
61:23 62:10,19	84:8	42:2	79:8,18
62:24 64:16	recent 11:10	referred 33:5	relocate 41:10
65:1,6 71:20	recessed 66:3	referring 9:6	relocated 17:6
79:10 85:21	recognition	11:9 12:25	18:11 44:5
86:4,8,15	16:22 29:4	17:25 19:13	rely 44:2
rather 44:21	recognize 7:21	34:12	remain 37:17
reached 32:4	15:10 22:16	refers 19:9,15	remedies 37:20
reaching 15:4	record 6:7	reflects 16:19	53:22
32:9	18:23 23:10	refresh 88:2	remember
read 17:16	28:13 30:7	refreshes 83:4	10:17 43:14
18:18,22,23	39:8,10 45:24	refusal 65:9	69:18 70:22
20:21 32:13	46:1 47:17	refusals 3:7,20	remotely 1:22
41:1,2 43:22	49:6,21 72:19	89:5	renewal 16:17
47:21 48:22	72:20 87:23	refuse 86:24	16:21 17:11,17
63:12 67:16	89:1,14		
03.12 07.10			

[repeat - room]

141

repeat 13:23	11:12 12:16,16	review 12:25	56:6 60:21	
76:15	17:2,7,20,25	23:24 43:16	66:13 67:1	
report 44:25	18:1,3,5,11	60:15 77:3	68:9 69:1,6	
45:6,9,11 49:6	25:5,17 26:19	reviewed 7:8	70:14 73:3	
49:20	27:21,22 31:5	7:10 77:1	74:5,7 83:10	
reported 2:23	31:18,20,22	reviewing 7:10	83:21 85:3	
reporter 8:13	35:16,21 53:24	reviews 18:21	rights 21:6,7	
20:21 24:13,15	54:13,24 55:7	48:24 60:16	23:2,6 33:11	
65:25 89:25	57:10,12,19	88:3	35:25 50:15,19	
91:4	resolve 15:5	revolution 11:2	51:8 56:22	
reporter's 91:1	respect 63:15	41:5,12 44:6	58:2 66:17	
reporting	68:24 76:17	revolutionary	67:24 71:2	
69:12 70:1,4	80:6 85:10	48:5	73:17 74:21	
reports 51:22	respectively	right 1:10 2:14	81:2,4 87:17	
59:21 74:16	65:11	5:22 7:3 11:13	ring 69:24	
82:19,22,24	respects 27:12	11:19 12:4	river 1:6 10:7	
83:5,7,8,12,14	responding 8:3	14:24 15:1,8	10:21 17:2,20	
83:20 84:1,14	23:10 28:13	15:11,13,18	18:1,3 19:5	
84:25 85:13	39:10	16:18 17:15	20:10 21:1,8,9	
represented	response 62:20	18:1,5,14	22:18 25:10,18	
9:10 72:6	62:25 63:8	19:24 20:12,16 25:22 31:5,18		
request 7:19	65:12 77:5	21:16 22:3,14	31:19 33:14,20	
67:21 71:8	79:3,23 80:10	22:24 24:11,23	34:19,24 41:17	
72:15 85:7,10	81:25 85:7	25:5,13 26:3	41:23 42:6,13	
88:16,19	responses	26:14,20 28:9	42:14 44:11	
requests 3:11	74:15 78:25	28:17 29:1	48:2,12,15	
3:16,21 36:19	responsibility	30:11,18,22	49:3,10,15	
require 73:14	68:5	31:5,6,24 32:2	50:1 80:21	
requirements	responsible	34:19 35:17,23	robert 2:6	
36:19	66:25 67:3	36:6,17,24	role 9:15 10:5	
res 61:24 63:25	rest 44:16	37:16,25 38:12	16:23 50:18	
64:20	result 74:18	38:19,22,23	51:3,4	
research 58:25	resume 66:1	39:22 40:1,19	room 5:13,15	
reserve 10:12	resumed 66:4	42:22 46:14,17	5:18,19	
10:15,15,19,24		50:16 53:21,24		

[rouge - sheppard]

Page 22

[I	
rouge 25:9,18	28:4,14,24	seen 7:6 8:5	shapiro 2:2 3:4
25:22	52:11,15,21,22	39:21 46:13	4:8,16,21 5:5,7
row 69:16,23	63:9 69:4	63:5,9 66:6	7:22 8:1,11,17
72:12	se 66:15	69:13 73:5	8:18 21:22
rpr 2:23 91:3	searched 45:8	82:9,21 83:7	24:18 45:21
91:23	second 25:24	send 64:25	51:12,19 52:19
rules 64:7	33:22 45:23	sent 8:2 63:7	54:21 55:11,19
rulings 66:16	51:3,18 58:6	85:8	58:7,9,22 59:3
S	61:13 80:7	sentence 17:24	59:15,19,23
s 2:1	section 42:1	18:19 41:22	60:4,10,13
s 2.1 sacred 68:5	45:1 73:17	57:23 61:13	61:3,12 62:4
safely 89:24	74:21 81:5	78:6 80:15,23	62:14,21 63:1
safety 12:13	secure 41:16	87:11	64:23 65:3,8
salter 7:3 84:13	43:25	sentences 68:12	65:14,18,19,25
santedicola	see 6:3 14:20	80:14	66:5 71:24
2:23 91:3,23	23:22 24:2,7	separate 42:5	72:23,25 73:4
saw 67:14	26:6 28:24	set 15:24 30:2	75:6,25 76:6
70:10 85:5	29:25 30:7,14	57:18 70:13	76:12,23 77:6
says 18:24	30:22 39:14	75:21 76:9	77:14 78:4
34:11 37:11,13	44:7 45:3,13	91:6	79:5,13,16,24
38:10,17 49:23	46:7,11 51:21	setting 64:18	80:5,12 82:4
53:4 56:11	55:21,25 58:4	settle 11:1,4	84:15,21 85:25
57:23 60:19	64:12 73:20,21	18:8 34:23	86:5,12,18,22
62:8 73:10	74:22 78:12,13	48:2	87:5 88:23
74:7,24 76:7	84:23 87:19	settled 11:5	89:2,17,23
78:6 79:17	seek 29:18,21	44:10	share 10:25
80:16	53:5,22 54:11	settlement	20:14 22:6
schedule 30:3,5	67:5,6	14:15 22:11	86:6
scheduled 71:7	seeking 36:15	25:14 34:14,21	shared 7:5
school 7:1	37:20 52:7	35:1,13 36:20	82:19 84:25
scope 61:23	54:23 55:6,9	48:10	85:14
74:14 81:1	56:9 66:11,20	settlements	sharing 22:8
85:22 86:16	68:3 78:9 79:8	14:12	24:13 28:4
screen 5:21	79:18 87:13	shaded 30:13	sheppard 2:3
23:11 24:9,13			
23.11 24.9,13			

[shift - strategy]

Page 23

	1	1	1	
shift 22:22	34:23 35:10,21	87:24	started 69:8	
38:25	39:7 41:4,9,18	sort 11:6 71:3	71:6,10	
short 68:4	41:22 42:2,6,7	sought 51:16	starting 18:19	
shorthand 91:4	42:14,22 44:4	73:18	48:19,20 49:7	
91:14	44:9,10 48:11	sound 11:13	49:22	
show 8:8	48:15,18 49:2	28:9	state 18:9	
side 21:9	49:13,15,25	source 79:20	statement 6:9	
sides 12:16	50:1,16 52:1	south 33:14	7:10 26:4,6,7	
signature 91:21	53:8,12,17,23	southern 14:12	28:2,7,16	
signed 76:10	54:2,10,12,12	29:6 44:1,15	29:17 32:13	
simcoe 49:9	54:23 55:5,7	speak 27:7	34:2 35:3,14	
simple 61:6	55:10,22 56:2	43:18 47:3	39:11,25 53:9	
77:20	56:11 57:3,10	56:14 57:11	54:8 66:7 77:1	
simply 27:24	57:12,17,19	70:10 78:24	80:7,8 88:10	
38:17 59:9	58:14 62:7	speaking 55:24	88:12	
67:22	66:6,10,19,24	56:3	statements	
sir 42:10 47:23	67:5,18 68:14	speaks 64:20	58:24 59:6	
81:14	68:19,21 69:6	specific 22:14	68:25 75:16	
sitting 43:12	72:1 73:13,24	27:25 36:18,22	81:8,20	
situate 33:21	75:7,22 76:5	40:23 47:5	states 20:21	
situated 43:23	78:14 81:9,15	55:2 59:11	29:25 33:7	
six 1:6 5:8 10:6	82:6,19 84:23	specifically	41:9 61:14	
10:9,12,21	85:2 86:7	11:14 46:23	63:14 80:24	
11:1,3,16,22	87:15 88:6,16	74:3	87:11	
12:2,6,18	88:20,20	spoon 13:10,14	status 51:20	
16:23,25 17:17	size 56:6,14,17	15:3,6 16:17	54:25 60:19	
17:25 18:2,7	57:12,14	16:21 17:12	stenographic	
19:4 20:9 21:2	smaller 56:11	62:23	91:11	
21:8,10 22:9	soil 33:12	spring 84:16	stolen 54:4	
22:11,18 25:17	sold 54:4	stacey 1:19 3:2	stop 32:25	
26:18,23 29:10	solicitors 75:13	5:3 6:11	48:13	
29:14,18,22	75:14,18,20	stand 72:1	story 10:22	
31:2,4,17,19,21	sorry 11:21	start 17:12	strategy 60:2	
31:24 32:1,5,9	34:1 40:12	40:25 43:7,10	71:21	
33:19 34:7,19	45:7 49:19			

[stuff - time]

Page 24

stuff 51:11	42:3	89:11	68:1 80:20
subject 13:21	surrendered	taken 1:20 3:16	87:17 88:17
14:1 19:6	10:24 20:11,13	37:16 41:15	test 43:4
38:11,19 56:14	surrenders	87:15 91:6,14	testifying 76:21
69:12 85:15	41:15 44:3	talk 12:17	testimony 91:9
89:4	swear 5:16	20:18 22:20	testing 86:25
subjective	10:1	28:1 39:1 50:6	text 32:18
72:10	switch 50:5	52:6 72:14	thames 33:14
submitted	swore 69:4	81:16	thank 6:16 7:20
39:11 52:12	system 11:25	talked 25:21	7:21,22 11:8
substance	t	33:2 56:23	13:15 16:14
77:18	t 1:5 3:11 45:15	talking 16:6	18:22 34:16
sue 53:12,17	77:3,11,23	34:3,8 36:22	43:20 45:22
suggest 69:22	79:21 80:9	54:18	66:2 81:18
71:8	81:23 84:11	tania 2:8	82:5 83:7
sum 11:16	87:3	tayler 2:20	84:15 89:7,9
summarize	take 5:12,17	team 82:10,11	89:17 90:1
23:24	6:20 7:5 9:9	88:24	thing 16:8 34:9
summary 29:7	11:10 12:19	teillet 84:13	things 12:12
superior 1:3	13:2,9,19,25	tell 58:17 61:10	38:22 72:8
support 48:10	16:15 17:24	70:23	78:7
67:18 68:14,21	18:13 19:8,12	ten 65:20	think 15:13
supported 41:4	20:10 22:23	term 54:15	20:18 23:14
48:4 68:13	23:25 25:25	termed 35:19	25:15 31:22
sure 6:6 10:17	28:4,7 31:7	terminology	34:6,9 54:14
13:25 20:1	35:14 39:9,24	38:14,16 72:11	54:15,17 56:22
39:20 42:20	40:9 46:2,16	terms 15:1	75:3,23 89:18
43:9,11 50:22	48:22 50:4,13	territory 25:9	third 25:4
52:13 55:3	51:6 52:8	25:12,25 26:13	27:20 36:6,16
56:7 72:5 74:3	53:11 55:20	26:14,19 27:3	38:6
76:17 79:6	56:17 58:12	30:2,16 31:7	thought 67:14
surprises 70:24	60:15,17 65:8	32:17 37:8	three 24:1
surrender	66:8 69:3,5	38:7,11,18	82:19
19:20,21 20:15	81:21 86:24	41:8,11,16	time 6:22 11:17
21:15 22:2	87:3 88:1	42:13 44:21	12:24 15:1

[time - use]

Page 25

17:15 24:8	20:23 25:10,18	trial 35:4,7	understand
43:24 57:4	25:22 33:13	52:4 59:13	8:19 9:4 14:8
65:20 69:4	35:16 56:6,10	70:12 71:7,19	14:24 28:2
89:7,8 91:6,7	56:15,18	troubles 11:2	29:3 50:25
91:10	trading 16:10	true 91:13	56:7 57:13
timeline 70:19	16:12	truth 70:23	66:18 78:8
71:10	traditional	try 21:19 54:22	79:7,17 80:17
times 69:17,23	31:11 46:6	71:25	80:25
title 24:5,7,10	80:20	trying 33:21	understanding
24:22 25:1,8	transcribed	40:6 56:5 57:2	16:3 22:4
25:25 26:11	91:12	58:5	34:22 38:17
29:4 35:23	transcript	turtle 69:23	74:2 78:15,17
36:5 37:11,15	91:14	two 10:3 24:6	78:19,21 79:20
37:21 38:12,19	transfer 20:23	48:22 56:13	80:1 84:16
43:25 46:5	21:14 22:1,17	65:10 68:11	understands
57:6 80:19	33:11	69:16,19,21,22	60:23
tobacco 14:13	transporting	69:23 80:14	understood
today 7:23 9:5	16:4	81:20 88:24	22:6 55:3
43:12 76:22	travel 15:14	89:11	71:14
81:24 86:1,21	16:9	tyandenaga	undertaken
89:4,13	treated 36:21	41:19,25 42:18	3:11
today's 89:18	treaties 22:6,8	type 16:7	undertaking
told 31:23	22:23 23:3,6	u	81:21
took 44:2 71:3	32:19 37:10,12	u 3:11,17 45:15	undertakings
89:5	44:23	77:3,11,23	3:6,10 89:5
topic 63:3	treaty 19:2,13	79:21 80:9	unfolds 51:24
topics 69:20	19:19,21,23	81:23 84:11	unfortunately
tortell 2:12	20:8 21:4	87:3	36:7 87:12
town 2:4 23:11	25:16 30:11,17	unable 45:9	united 41:9
24:9,13,20	31:11 32:22	under 3:16 9:1	unrecognized
28:4 30:21	35:6 36:21	10:20 15:16	37:18
41:2 52:15	41:6 44:19	17:4,22 21:10	uphold 62:16
63:13	60:19 61:16	23:2,6 37:10	upstate 41:8
tract 17:5	62:7,17,23	47:18,25 68:19	use 19:21 59:21
18:10,13,14		81:3 91:7	

Veritext

416-413-7755

[uses - zoom]

Page 26

uses 21:25	30:1,2 31:8,10	words 19:20
utilized 43:10	32:15,16 46:6	work 46:18
47:2	47:2 54:6,9	65:22
v	waters 26:12	write 76:16
valley 17:3,21	26:12	written 6:23
19:5 20:10	way 19:3 20:9	32:18 58:23
21:8 44:11	36:23 54:22	59:6 74:15
various 63:24	67:8,10 71:25	82:9
various 05.24 veritext 1:20	72:1 79:10	wrong 87:24
91:22	ways 74:18	wrongdoing
video 5:23	web 40:8	56:1
view 50:20 64:9	website 39:1,5	wrongs 87:13
virtual 1:21	39:8,18 40:11	X
	45:25 46:3	x 3:1
W	went 22:17	
w 1:5	western 44:17	y
want 12:20	wider 22:15	yeah 5:16
34:5 40:23	williams 25:16	23:16 30:19
51:25 52:3	wish 12:24	43:13 51:10
57:6,9 79:1	wished 48:2	69:18,25 81:23
83:2 84:4	wishes 50:14	84:11 85:18
wanted 34:9,23	witness 3:2	year 9:17 10:3
41:10 45:23	7:20 18:21	13:10
wanting 89:19	21:25 24:17	years 11:6
wants 51:20	48:24 60:16	york 41:8
55:21,25 60:18	88:3 89:9 91:7	young 2:9
85:2	91:9	89:21
war 48:5	witness's 21:24	Z
wars 13:7,19	witnesses 52:3	zoom 1:21
14:1,3,11,15,21	58:20 59:12	24:20 30:20
14:22	71:19	31:12 41:2
water 24:7 25:2	wonder 65:19	
25:25 26:12,20	wondering 8:4	
26:24 27:3	word 5:17 22:1	
28:2,8 29:5,5	40:9 46:2	

Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS

Plaintiff

- and -

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA and HIS MAJESTY THE KING IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO

Defendants

- and -

MISSISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT FIRST NATION

Moving Party

NOTICE OF EXAMINATION

TO: Chief R. Stacey Laforme

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO ATTEND

 \boxtimes By video conference

at the following location:

Zoom coordinates to be provided

on March 20, 2023 at 10 a.m. for:

Cross-examination on your affidavit affirmed December 2, 2022 (the "Affidavit").

If you object to the method of attendance, you must notify the other parties or their lawyers. If you and the other parties cannot come to an agreement on the method of attendance, one of the parties must request a case conference for the court to make an order under Rule 1.08(8).



YOU ARE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE at the examination the following documents and things (all paragraph references below are to the Affidavit):

1. Any communications between you and the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation ("**MCFN**") (including MCFN's counsel), on the one hand, and representatives (including counsel) of the Haudenosaunee Development Institute, the Haudenosaunee Confederacy Chiefs Council, and/or members of the Haudenosaunee Confederacy, on the other hand, regarding this court action.

2. Any communications between you and the MCFN (including MCFN's counsel), on the one hand, and representatives (including counsel) of the Attorney General of Canada ("**Canada**") and His Majesty the King in Right of Ontario ("**Ontario**") regarding this court action.

3. Whatever non-privileged documentation exists regarding the MCFN's "decision to seek leave to intervene in this Action" referenced in paragraph 8, including any meeting minutes and Council resolutions regarding this decision and when it was taken.

4. Any documentation regarding when you and the MCFN first learned of this court action.

5. Copies of the "submitted claims" referenced in paragraph 31.

6. Any responses from Canada and Ontario to the April 6, 2015 letter at Exhibit B.

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

Barristers & Solicitors 199 Bay Street Suite 4000, Commerce Court West Toronto ON M5L 1A9

Iris Antonios LSO #56694R Tel: 416-863-3349 iris.antonios@blakes.com

Max Shapiro LSO #60602U Tel: 416-863-3305 max.shapiro@blakes.com

Rebecca Torrance LSO #75734A Tel: 416-863-2930

rebecca.torrance@blakes.com

Gregory Sheppard LSO #80268O

Tel: 416-863-2616 Fax: 416-863-2653 gregory.sheppard@blakes.com

JFK LAW LLP

816-1175 Douglas Street Victoria, BC V8W 2E1

Robert Janes LSO #33646P Tel: 250-405-3466 RJanes@jfklaw.ca

Lawyers for the Plaintiff, Six Nations of the Grand River Band of Indians

TO: PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2 Fax: 416-916-3726

Nuri Frame LSO #60974J Tel: 416-916-1593 nframe@pstlaw.ca

Alexander DeParde LSO #77616N

Tel: 416-238-7013 adeparde@pstlaw.ca

Lawyers for the Moving Party, Mississaugas Of The Credit First Nation

COPY DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

TO: Ontario Regional Office 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 400 Toronto ON M5H 1T1

Tania Mitchell LSO #86028J

Tel: 613-294-2604 Tania.Mitchell@justice.gc.ca

Maria Vujnovic

Tel: 647-256-7455 maria.vujnovic@justice.gc.ca

Lawyers for the Attorney General of Canada

CROWN LAW OFFICE - CIVIL

Minister of the Attorney General 720 Bay Street, 8th Floor Toronto, ON M7A 2S9

Manizeh Fancy LSO #45649J Tel: 416-578-7637 Manizeh.Fancy@ontario.ca

David Tortell LSO #55401A Tel: 416-571-8235 David.Tortell@ontario.ca

Lawyers for His Majesty The King in Right of Ontario

SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND -and- THE ATTORNEY GENERAL -and- MISS RIVER BAND OF INDIANS OF CANADA et al. Plaintiff Defendants	SISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000 FIRST NATION Moving Party
	ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
	Proceeding commenced at Brantford and transferred to Toronto
	NOTICE OF EXAMINATION
	BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP 199 Bay Street Suite 4000, Commerce Court West Toronto ON M5L 1A9
	Iris Antonios LSO #56694R Tel: 416-863-3349 / iris.antonios@blakes.com
	Max Shapiro LSO #60602U Tel: 416-863-3305 / max.shapiro@blakes.com
	Rebecca Torrance LSO #75734A Tel: 416-863-2930 / rebecca.torrance@blakes.com
	Gregory Sheppard LSO #80268O Tel: 416-863-2616 / gregory.sheppard@blakes.com Fax: 416-863-2653
	JFK LAW LLP 816-1175 Douglas Street Victoria, BC V8W 2E1
	Robert Janes LSO #33646P Tel: 250-405-3466 / RJanes@jfklaw.ca
	Lawyers for the Plaintiff

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP BARRISTERS AND SOLICITORS

March 14, 2023

Max Shapiro, Partner Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP 199 Bay Street Suite 4000 Commerce Court West Toronto, ON M5L 1A9 VIA EMAIL max.shapiro@blakes.com

Dear Max:

Re: Notice of Examination of Chief Laforme, dated March 2, 2023

We have conducted a search of our client's records and can advise we have found no non-privileged documents that are responsive to the requests set out in paragraphs 3, 4, 5, and 6 of the Notice of Examination of Chief Laforme, dated March 2, 2023 ("NOE").

The request in paragraph number 1 of the NOE for "any communications" between Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation ("MCFN") and representatives (including counsel) of the Haudenosaunee Development Institute, the Haudenosaunee Chiefs Council, "and/or members of the Haudenosaunee Confederacy" "regarding this court action" is overbroad and captures numerous communications on which your firm is the addressee, sender, or has been copied. Without being exhaustive, this includes correspondence on the pending intervention motions and materials relating to MCFN's counsel's participation at case management conferences. Moreover, "members of the Haudenosaunee Confederacy" is a broad and undefined class of persons and there is no basis on which MCFN could even determine if a particular piece of correspondence may be from a person who could be considered a member of this class. If there are particular such communications about which you wish to ask Chief Laforme, please identify them and, to the extent they exist and are non-privileged, we can have them ready at the examination.

The request in paragraph number 2 of the NOE for "any communications" between MCFN and representatives (including counsel) of Canada and Ontario "regarding this court action" is

TORONTO OFFICE

546 Euclid Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M6G 2T2 999 Canada Place, Suite 404, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 3E2 T 416.916.2989 · F 416.916.3726 T 604.681.3002 · F 604.681.3050

EXHIBIT	
2	
	Ī

overbroad and captures numerous communications on which your firm is the addressee, sender, or has been copied. Without being exhaustive, this includes correspondence on the pending intervention motions and materials relating to MCFN's counsel's participation at case management conferences. If there are particular such communications about which you wish to ask Chief Laforme, please identify them and, to the extent they exist and are non-privileged, we can have them ready at the examination.

Sincerely,

Nuri G. Frame Partner Pape Salter Teillet LLP

 Iris Antonios, Laura Dougan, Rebecca Torrance, Gregory Sheppard, Brittany Town, Blakes, Cassels & Graydon LLP
 Robert Janes, K.C., JFK Law Corporation
 Tania Mitchell, Anusha Aruliah, Maria Vujnovic, Hasan Junaid, Edward Harrison, Tanya
 Muthusamipillai, Katrina Longo, Sarah Kanko, Elizabeth Chan, Myra Sivaloganathan, Department of Justice Canada
 Manizeh Fancy, David Feliciant, Christine Perruzza, David Tortell, Jennifer Lepan, Julia
 McRandall, Catherine Ma, Ministry of the Attorney General

Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS

Plaintiff

- and -

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA and HIS MAJESTY THE KING IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO

Defendants

MEMORANDUM OF MISSISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT FIRST NATION (For December 12, 2022, Case Management Conference)

December 5, 2022

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2 Fax: 416-916-3726

Nuri G. Frame (LSO #60974J) Tel.: 416-916-1593 nframe@pstlaw.ca

Alexander DeParde (LSO #77616N)

Tel.: 416-238-7013 adeparde@pstlaw.ca

Counsel for the Proposed Intervenor Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation

EXHIBIT

TO: Madam Justice Akbarali c/o Pamela Evans Superior Court of Justice 361 University Avenue Judges' Administration, Room 104 Toronto, ON M5G 1T3 yomattie.evans@ontario.ca

AND TO: BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

Barristers & Solicitors 199 Bay Street Suite 4000, Commerce Court West Toronto, ON M5L 1A9 Fax: 416-863-2653

Iris Antonios

Tel.: 416-863-3349 iris.antonios@blakes.com

Max Shapiro Tel.: 416-863-3305 max.shapiro@blakes.com

Rebecca Torrance Tel.: 416-863-2930

rebecca.torrance@blakes.com

Gregory Sheppard

Tel.: 416-863-2616 gregory.sheppard@blakes.com

JFK LAW LLP 816-1175 Douglas Street Victoria, BC V8W 2E1

Robert Janes Tel.: 250-405-3466 rjanes@jfklaw.ca

Lawyers for the Plaintiff

AND TO: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CANADA

120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 400 Toronto, ON M5H 1T1 Fax: 416-973-2319

Anusha Aruliah

Tel.: 647-256-0580 anusha.aruliah@justice.gc.ca

Edward Harrison

Tel.: 416-973-7126 edward.harrison@justice.gc.ca

Maria Vujnovic

Tel: 647-256-7455 maria.vujnovic@justice.gc.ca

Tanya Muthusamipillai

Tel: 647-256-0865 tanya.muthusamipillai@justice.gc.ca

Lawyers for the Defendant Attorney General of Canada

AND TO: MINISTRY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Crown Law Office, Civil 720 Bay Street, 8th Floor Toronto, ON M7A 2S9 Fax: 416-326-4181

Manizeh Fancy Tel.: 416-578-7637 manizeh.fancy@ontario.ca

David Feliciant

Tel.: 416-212-5027 david.feliciant@ontario.ca

Catherine Ma

Tel.: 416-779-8705 catherine.ma@ontario.ca

Lawyers for the defendant His Majesty the King in right of Ontario

A. BACKGROUND

1. Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation ("MCFN") is an Indigenous community of Anishinaabe people with Aboriginal and treaty rights within its territory, which extends from the Rouge River Valley in the east, across to the headwaters of the Thames River, down to Long Point on Lake Erie and back along the shores of Lake Erie, the Niagara River and Lake Ontario to the Rouge River Valley. MCFN's territory encompasses the lands granted to Six Nations of the Grand River Band of Indians ("Six Nations"), or its predecessor, under the Haldimand Proclamation of 1784.

1

2. MCFN supports Six Nations' decades-long effort to hold the Crown accountable for its abuses relating to the mismanagement of Six Nations' lands. In Six Nations' pursuit of justice, however, all parties plead and rely on MCFN's history and rights. On October 27, 2022, MCFN delivered a notice of motion seeking leave to intervene as a party under Rule 13.01 to ensure its history, its rights, and its relationship with its territory are accurately portrayed and protected. MCFN does not seek damages for itself, nor does it intend to diminish compensation the Crown may owe Six Nations.

3. On November 15, 2022, MCFN attended its first case management conference in this action. That conference was held to address procedure for various intervention motions. In addition to the parties, also in attendance were Haudenosaunee Development Institute ("HDI") and the Men's Fire of the Six Nations Grand River Territory ("Men's Fire"), who also seek leave to intervene under Rule 13.01, among other relief. The motions of HDI and Men's Fire raise fundamental issues relating to the

authorization of the plaintiff to represent the rights-bearing collective on whose behalf the action is advanced.

4. On the eve of the November 15 case management conference, HDI delivered written submissions addressing concerns raised by the Honourable Justice Akbarali at a November 7, 2022, case management conference relating to crafting a process for the intervention motions that is respectful of Indigenous laws and legal orders. HDI submitted three elements must be considered: (1) increased openness and inclusion;
(2) broad notice about the action and the issues it engages; and (3) meaningful engagement with Indigenous legal traditions.

B. SUBMISSIONS

5. The elements HDI raises are important and must be considered as this Honourable Court crafts a respectful and decolonized process for the intervention motions and the action more broadly; however, fairness demands these elements must be considered in tandem, and not eclipse, the *Rules of Civil Procedure* that have governed the conduct of this action since its inception nearly 30 years ago.

6. The way these elements manifest themselves in relation to the intervention motions must reflect the unique nature of each motion, including the relief sought and the interests of each proposed intervenor in the action. Given the straightforward nature of MCFN's motion, a wholesale application of the modified procedures HDI proposes to the procedure applicable to MCFN's motion is unnecessary.

7. The basis for MCFN's motion rests on the fact that the parties expressly plead and rely on its history and its rights within its territory, including under section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*; as well as on the content of certain expert reports prepared by Six Nations that similarly engage the history, rights, and interests of MCFN.

8. MCFN's motion—unlike those of HDI and Men's Fire—does not engage the representative authority of Six Nations to advance this action, nor does it question the composition of the rights-bearing collective on whose behalf Six Nations acts, which are issues this Honourable Court must inevitably engage with in disposing of the motions brought by HDI and Men's Fire. In those motions, broad and direct engagement with and notice to Haudenosaunee communities—as HDI suggests, and this Honourable Court has previously ordered—may well be appropriate. In MCFN's submission, however, such out-of-court engagement is not necessary for resolving MCFN's motion.

9. Simply put, MCFN's motion is distinct from those of HDI and Men's Fire. Its fair resolution does not require the fundamental modification of the procedure for motions set out in the *Rules of Civil Procedure*.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 5th day of December 2022.

2 La Nuri Frame

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2 Fax: 416-916-3726

Nuri Frame (LSO #60974J) Tel.: 416-916-1593

nframe@pstlaw.ca

Alexander DeParde (LSO #77616N)

Tel.: 416-238-7013 adeparde@pstlaw.ca

Counsel for the Proposed Intervenor Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation

neral of Canada Toronto Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000 (Originally Brantford Court File No: 406/95)	ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT Toronto	MEMORANDUM OF MISSISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT FIRST NATION	PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP546 Euclid AvenueToronto, ON M6G 2T2Fax: 416-916-3726	Nuri G. Frame (LSO #60974J) Tel.: 416-916-1593 nframe@pstlaw.ca	Alexander DeParde (LSO #77616N) Tel.: 416-238-7013 adeparde@pstlaw.ca	Counsel for the Proposed Intervenor Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation
The Attorney General of Canada et al. (Defendants)						
v.						
Six Nations of the Grand River Band of Indians (Plaintiff)						

Blakes

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP Barristers & Solicitors Patent & Trademark Agents 199 Bay Street Suite 4000, Commerce Court West Toronto ON M5L 1A9 Canada Tel: 416-863-2400 Fax: 416-863-2653

March 3, 2023

VIA E-MAIL

Max Shapiro Partner Dir: 416-863-3305 max.shapiro@blakes.com

EXHIBIT

Reference: 00034539/000061

Nuri Frame/Alexander DeParde Pape Salter Teillet LLP 546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2

RE: Six Nations of the Grand River Band of Indians v. Canada and Ontario, Toronto Court File No. CV-18-594281

Pending Motion of the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation ("MCFN")

Dear Counsel:

We write in follow up to our prior correspondence to again request that the MCFN deliver a pleading or to confirm that it does not intend to do so before its pending motion scheduled for May 12, 2023.

In light of the MCFN's prior representations to us and the Court to the effect that it does not intend to seek relief as against the Six Nations of the Grand River Band or a share of any compensation owed to Six Nations by the Crowns, we remain unconvinced that MCFN should be granted full party status in this action.

If the MCFN insists on seeking full party status rights on all of the issues set out in its Notice of Motion, which are much broader than the currently pleaded issues as between the parties, we request confirmation of the following by March 13, 2023. That date is one week before the scheduled cross-examination of Chief Laforme.

If the Court grants leave to add the MCFN as a party in this action, does the MCFN:

- 1. Agree and acknowledge that as a party it will be bound by all findings of fact made by the Court at trial, including (without limitation) regarding the legal status and effect of the 1784 Haldimand Proclamation and whether the lands granted under that proclamation are Six Nations reserve and/or treaty lands; and
- 2. Agree to abide by the current trial timetable which contemplates the start of trial in 2024.

24645716.1 TORONTO CALGARY VANCOUVER MONTRÉAL OTTAWA NEW YORK LONDON Blake, Cassels & Gravdon LLP | blakes.com

Page 2

163



Counsel for the responding Crowns are copied.

Yours truly,

Max Shapiro

c: Tania Mitchell, Maria Vujnovic, Edward Harrison, Tanya Muthusamipillai, Katrina Longo, Hasan Junaid, Sarah Kanko, Myra Sivaloganathan, TOR Canada SNLT, *Department of Justice Canada* Manizeh Fancy, David Feliciant, Jennifer Lepan, Christine Perruzza, Catherine Ma, Julia McRandall, David Tortell, *Ministry of the Attorney General* Robert Janes, *JFK Law Corporation* Iris Antonios, Laura Dougan, Rebecca Torrance, Gregory Sheppard, Brittany Town, Louise James, *Blakes*

CALGARY

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP BARRISTERS AND SOLICITORS

March 17, 2023

VIA EMAIL (max.shapiro@blakes.com)

Max Shapiro, Partner Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP 199 Bay Street Suite 4000 Commerce Court West Toronto, ON M5L 1A9

Dear Max:

Re: Pending Motion of the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation ("MCFN")

Thank you for your letter of March 3, 2023.

With respect to your inquiry regarding MCFN being bound by all findings of fact made by the Court, we find the question puzzling. The question of if, when, and to what extent a party will be bound by findings of fact made in a litigation in which they participated is a question of law that is addressed through various common law doctrines—such as *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, issue estoppel, and abuse of process—with which you are doubtless well acquainted. These are not doctrines that a party opts into or out of. We can confirm that the common law rules applicable to litigants in Ontario are indeed applicable to MCFN; in our view, no further agreement or acknowledgement is necessary or appropriate.

With respect to timelines, we confirm, as we repeatedly have, that it is not our intention or desire to delay this action and we are committed to moving forward expeditiously if our motion for leave to intervene is granted. Given the complexities of the case, Six Nations' continuing refusal to share its remaining expert reports to assist us in our preparations, the multiple proposed intervenors, and the uncertainty as to when the Court will issue a decision on the intervention motions, there will doubtless need to be direction from the case management judge with respect to the trial schedule. Once the intervention motions are decided, we look forward to participating in those discussions and working collaboratively with all counsel to ensure this matter moves forward without undue delay.

TORONTO OFFICE VANCOUVER OFFICE 546 Euclid Avenue, Toronto, ON M6G 2T2 595 Burrard Street, PO Box 48146 Bentall, Vancouver, BC V7Z 1N8 T 416.916.2989 F 416.916.3726 T 604.681.3002

EXHIBIT

With respect to your request for a draft pleading, I believe that matter has been well canvassed in our previous correspondence, which is attached here for your convenience.

Sincerely,

Nuri G. Frame Partner Pape Salter Teillet LLP

Encl. (1) Email from Blakes to PST (1 February 2023)

(2) Email from PST to Blakes (5 February 2023)

(3) Letter from Blakes to PST (6 February 2023)

(4) Email from PST to Blakes (7 February 2023)

(5) Email from Blakes to PST (8 February 2023)

cc Iris Antonios, Laura Dougan, Rebecca Torrance, Gregory Sheppard, Brittany Town, Blakes, Cassels & Graydon LLP

Robert Janes, K.C., JFK Law Corporation

Tania Mitchell, Anusha Aruliah, Maria Vujnovic, Hasan Junaid, Edward Harrison, Tanya Muthusamipillai, Katrina Longo, Sarah Kanko, Elizabeth Chan, Myra Sivaloganathan, *Department of Justice Canada*

Manizeh Fancy, David Feliciant, Christine Perruzza, David Tortell, Jennifer Lepan, Julia McRandall, Catherine Ma, *Ministry of the Attorney General*

166

From:	Shapiro, Max <max.shapiro@blakes.com></max.shapiro@blakes.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 4:44 PM
То:	Nuri Frame; Alexander DeParde
Cc:	Antonios, Iris; Robert Janes; Dougan, Laura; Torrance, Rebecca; Sheppard, Gregory; Town, Brittany
Subject:	Six Nations v Canada and Ontario - MCFN Motion - Request for Draft Pleading

Dear Nuri and Alex,

You will have seen the Gilberts letter attached to this CMC memo where HDI requested a draft pleading from Men's Fire. The Court also previously directed HDI to deliver a draft pleading, which HDI did last fall. Consistent with that, we request that MCFN deliver a draft pleading forthwith and that you please let us know when we can expect to receive it. Given where we are on the calendar, we reserve the right to respond to that document after the current February 6 deadline to put in responding materials.

Thanks,

Max

Max Shapiro (he, him, his) Partner max.shapiro@blakes.com T. +1-416-863-3305

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP 199 Bay Street, Suite 4000, Toronto ON M5L 1A9 (Map) blakes.com | LinkedIn

Blakes Blakes Means Business

This email communication is CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return email and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you. L'information paraissant dans ce message électronique est CONFIDENTIELLE. Si ce message vous est parvenu par erreur, veuillez immédiatement m'en aviser par téléphone ou par courriel et en détruire toute copie. Merci.

From: Dylan Gibbs <dylan@gilbertslaw.ca>

Sent: Wednesday, February 1, 2023 12:51 PM

To: Evans, Pam (Yomattie) (MAG) <Yomattie.Evans@ontario.ca>

Cc: Tim Gilbert <tim@gilbertslaw.ca>; Thomas Dumigan <tdumigan@gilbertslaw.ca>; Jack MacDonald <jack@gilbertslaw.ca>; Aruliah, Anusha <Anusha.Aruliah@justice.gc.ca>; Mitchell, Tania (she; her | elle; la) <Tania.Mitchell@justice.gc.ca>; Junaid, Hasan <Hasan.Junaid@justice.gc.ca>; Kanko, Sarah (she; her | elle; la) <Sarah.Kanko@justice.gc.ca>; Sivaloganathan, Myra <Myra.Sivaloganathan@justice.gc.ca>; TOR Canada SNLT <TOR.CanadaSNLT@justice.gc.ca>; Fancy, Manizeh (MAG) <Manizeh.Fancy@ontario.ca>; Feliciant, David (MAG) <David.Feliciant@ontario.ca>; Perruzza, Christine (MAG) <Christine.Perruzza@ontario.ca>; Ma, Catherine (MAG) <Catherine.Ma@ontario.ca>; david.tortell@ontario.ca; McRandall, Julia (MAG) <Julia.McRandall@ontario.ca>; jennifer.lepan@ontario.ca; Nuri Frame <NFrame@PSTLaw.ca>; Alex DeParde <ADeParde@PSTLaw.ca>; Jeffrey Kaufman <jeff@kaufman.law>; Robert Janes <RJanes@jfklaw.ca>; Antonios, Iris <iris.antonios@blakes.com>; Shapiro, Max <max.shapiro@blakes.com>; Dougan, Laura <laura.dougan@blakes.com>; Torrance, Rebecca <rebecca.torrance@blakes.com>; Town, Brittany <brittany.town@blakes.com>; James, Louise <louise.james@blakes.com>; Sheppard, Gregory <gregory.sheppard@blakes.com>; Longo, Katrina <Katrina.Longo@justice.gc.ca>

167

Subject: RE: Six Nations v Canada and Ontario - Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000 - Case Management Conference -Thursday, February 2, 2023, at 2 pm

External Email | Courrier électronique externe

Dear Ms. Evans,

Attached, please find the Haudenosaunee Development Institute's Memorandum for tomorrow's Case Management Conference. We would be grateful if you would bring this to the attention of the Honourable Justice Akbarali.

Best regards,

Dylan Gibbs

Dylan Gibbs - He/Him Gilbert's LLP Lawyers | Patent and Trademark Agents

(GILBERT'S

Tel: 416.703.1100 Fax: 416.703.7422 www.gilbertslaw.ca



Waterfront Innovation Centre 125 Queens Quay East, 8th Floor P.O. Box 19 Toronto, Ontario M5A 0Z6 Canada

This e-mail is confidential and may contain privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please delete this e-mail and notify us immediately. Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited.



Gilbert's LLP Recommended Firm 2322 IP STARS RANKED 2022

From: Longo, Katrina <<u>Katrina.Longo@justice.gc.ca</u>> Sent: February 1, 2023 12:24 PM

To: Evans, Pam (Yomattie) (MAG) < Yomattie.Evans@ontario.ca>

Cc: Tim Gilbert <<u>tim@gilbertslaw.ca</u>>; Thomas Dumigan <<u>tdumigan@gilbertslaw.ca</u>>; Jack MacDonald

<<u>jack@gilbertslaw.ca</u>>; Dylan Gibbs <<u>dylan@gilbertslaw.ca</u>>; Aruliah, Anusha <<u>Anusha.Aruliah@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Mitchell, Tania (she; her | elle; la) <<u>Tania.Mitchell@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Junaid, Hasan <<u>Hasan.Junaid@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Kanko, Sarah (she; her | elle; la) <<u>Sarah.Kanko@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Sivaloganathan, Myra <<u>Myra.Sivaloganathan@justice.gc.ca</u>>; TOR Canada SNLT <<u>TOR.CanadaSNLT@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Fancy, Manizeh (MAG) <<u>Manizeh.Fancy@ontario.ca</u>>; Feliciant, David (MAG) <<u>David.Feliciant@ontario.ca</u>>; Perruzza, Christine (MAG) <<u>Christine.Perruzza@ontario.ca</u>>; Ma, Catherine (MAG) <<u>Catherine.Ma@ontario.ca</u>>; david.tortell@ontario.ca; McRandall, Julia (MAG) <<u>Julia.McRandall@ontario.ca</u>>; jennifer.lepan@ontario.ca; Nuri Frame<<u>NFrame@PSTLaw.ca</u>>; Alex DeParde <<u>ADeParde@PSTLaw.ca</u>>; Jeffrey Kaufman <<u>jeff@kaufman.law</u>>; Robert Janes <<u>RJanes@jfklaw.ca</u>>; Antonios, Iris <<u>iris.antonios@blakes.com</u>>; Shapiro, Max <<u>max.shapiro@blakes.com</u>>; Dougan, Laura <<u>laura.dougan@blakes.com</u>>; Torrance, Rebecca <<u>rebecca.torrance@blakes.com</u>>; Town, Brittany <<u>brittany.town@blakes.com</u>>; James, Louise <<u>louise.james@blakes.com</u>>; Sheppard, Gregory <<u>gregory.sheppard@blakes.com</u>> **Subject:** Six Nations v Canada and Ontario - Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000 - Case Management Conference -Thursday, February 2, 2023, at 2 pm

Dear Ms. Evans:

168

Please find attached the Attorney General of Canada's Case Conference Memorandum for the February 2, 2023 CMC. Would you kindly bring this to the attention of the Honourable Justice Akbarali?

Thank you, Katrina

Katrina (Katie) Longo (pronouns: she/her) | (pronoms: elle/la) Counsel | Avocate National Litigation Sector | Secteur national du contentieux Department of Justice Canada | Ministère de la Justice Canada Ontario Regional Office | Bureau régional de l'Ontario 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite #400 Toronto, Ontario M5H 1T1 Tel: 416-459-3086 Fax: 416-973-5004

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential and strictly reserved for the sole use of its intended recipients. This message may contain information protected by the solicitor-client privilege. If you receive this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message as well as all copies. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance on the contents of the information is strictly prohibited. Thank you.

AVIS DE CONFIDENTIALITÉ: Le contenu de ce courrier électronique est confidentiel et strictement réservé à l'usage des personnes auxquelles il s'adresse. Ce message peut contenir de l'information protégée par le secret professionnel. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, contactez immédiatement l'expéditeur et détruisez le message original et toutes copies. Veuillez noter qu'il est strictement interdit de divulguer, reproduire ou diffuser le contenu de ce message ou de prendre des mesures en conséquence. Tout nouvel envoi, reproduction ou usage de ce message par une personne autre que le destinataire est strictement interdit. Merci.

169

From: Sent:	Nuri Frame Sunday, February 5, 2023 4:56 PM
То:	Shapiro, Max
Cc:	Antonios, Iris; Robert Janes; Dougan, Laura; Torrance, Rebecca; Sheppard, Gregory; Town, Brittany; Alexander DeParde
Subject:	Re: Six Nations v Canada and Ontario - MCFN Motion - Request for Draft Pleading

Max:

Thanks for your email. We have considered your request that MCFN provide a draft pleading "forthwith," and in advance of a ruling on our motion for leave to intervene. We decline your request and will not be providing any draft pleading at this time.

To our knowledge, there is no requirement under Rule 13.01, nor in the caselaw, that a proposed intervenor provide a draft pleading prior to being granted party status as a defendant. If you have any authority to support your request, please provide it. The former case management judge's direction to HDI to provide a draft pleading was made in light of serious questions about the nature and scope of their proposed involvement in the proceeding, including whether HDI intended to be added as a plaintiff or a defendant, or intended to replace the plaintiff. Those issues do not arise with respect to the MCFN intervention. MCFN clearly proposes to be a defendant. As such, we do not consider the situation of HDI to be analogous to ours and we consider the order of the former case management judge directing HDI to provide a draft pleading to be inapposite. We will, of course, further consider your request once we have received Justice Akbarali's case management endorsement with respect to HDI's request for a draft pleading from Men's Fire.

In advance of preparing any pleading—draft or otherwise—MCFN would also need to be provided with further materials from the parties. I note that Justice Akbarali's case management endorsement of December 19, 2022 directed that "[b]y February 3, 2023, the plaintiff shall either deliver a response to the demand for particulars and to the written interrogatories ... or deliver an amended statement of claim." We will obviously need to receive those materials in advance of drafting any pleading. We would also need to receive copies of any responses to demands for particulars provided previously in the case, along with responses to any interrogatories or requests to admit, and any expert reports that have been served. I note that Ontario's recent case management memo makes clear that their most recent pleading was informed by both requests to admit and interrogatories in this case, some going as far back as 1995; MCFN would also need to have that information if and when we prepare a statement of defence.

Moreover, I confess that we were a bit surprised to receive this request now. Six Nations has emphasized repeatedly that its principal concern is that our intervention motion proceed expeditiously and we have tried to accommodate that interest. Given that, we struggle with the notion that Six Nations is requesting a draft pleading now, more than three months after we served our notice of motion, more than two months after we provided you with the substance of our motion record, and only a few days before Six Nations responding materials are due. It is not, in our view, reasonable for a party to both urge expediency while also waiting until the 11th hour to make a request of this sort and "reserv[ing] the right" to file late responding materials as a result of its own last-minute request.

Best,

Nuri

170

Partner

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP BARRISTERS AND SOLICITORS

Pape Salter Teillet LLP, 546 Euclid Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M6G 2T2 Canada · T 416.916.2989 x 1254 · F 416.916.3726 · C 416.460.5350 · <u>nframe@pstlaw.ca</u> · <u>www.pstlaw.ca</u>

This email communication may be **CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY PRIVILEGED**. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return email and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Do not forward, reproduce or copy this email or its contents. Thank you.

From: "Shapiro, Max" <max.shapiro@blakes.com>
Date: Wednesday, February 1, 2023 at 4:43 PM
To: Nuri Frame <nframe@pstlaw.ca>, Alexander DeParde <adeparde@pstlaw.ca>
Cc: "Antonios, Iris" <iris.antonios@blakes.com>, Robert Janes <RJanes@jfklaw.ca>, "Dougan, Laura"
<laura.dougan@blakes.com>, "Torrance, Rebecca" <rebecca.torrance@blakes.com>, "Sheppard, Gregory"
<gregory.sheppard@blakes.com>, "Town, Brittany" <brittany.town@blakes.com>
Subject: Six Nations v Canada and Ontario - MCFN Motion - Request for Draft Pleading

Dear Nuri and Alex,

You will have seen the Gilberts letter attached to this CMC memo where HDI requested a draft pleading from Men's Fire. The Court also previously directed HDI to deliver a draft pleading, which HDI did last fall. Consistent with that, we request that MCFN deliver a draft pleading forthwith and that you please let us know when we can expect to receive it. Given where we are on the calendar, we reserve the right to respond to that document after the current February 6 deadline to put in responding materials.

Thanks,

Max

Max Shapiro (he, him, his) Partner max.shapiro@blakes.com T. +1-416-863-3305

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP 199 Bay Street, Suite 4000, Toronto ON M5L 1A9 (Map) blakes.com | LinkedIn

Blakes | Blakes Means Business

This email communication is CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return email and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you. L'information paraissant dans ce message électronique est CONFIDENTIELLE. Si ce message vous est parvenu par erreur, veuillez immédiatement m'en aviser par téléphone ou par courriel et en détruire toute copie. Merci.

From: Dylan Gibbs <dylan@gilbertslaw.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, February 1, 2023 12:51 PM
To: Evans, Pam (Yomattie) (MAG) <Yomattie.Evans@ontario.ca>
Cc: Tim Gilbert <tim@gilbertslaw.ca>; Thomas Dumigan <tdumigan@gilbertslaw.ca>; Jack MacDonald
<jack@gilbertslaw.ca>; Aruliah, Anusha <Anusha.Aruliah@justice.gc.ca>; Mitchell, Tania (she; her | elle; la)

171

<Tania.Mitchell@justice.gc.ca>; Junaid, Hasan <Hasan.Junaid@justice.gc.ca>; Kanko, Sarah (she; her | elle; la) <Sarah.Kanko@justice.gc.ca>; Sivaloganathan, Myra <Myra.Sivaloganathan@justice.gc.ca>; TOR Canada SNLT <TOR.CanadaSNLT@justice.gc.ca>; Fancy, Manizeh (MAG) <Manizeh.Fancy@ontario.ca>; Feliciant, David (MAG) <David.Feliciant@ontario.ca>; Perruzza, Christine (MAG) <Christine.Perruzza@ontario.ca>; Ma, Catherine (MAG) <Catherine.Ma@ontario.ca>; david.tortell@ontario.ca; McRandall, Julia (MAG) <Julia.McRandall@ontario.ca>; jennifer.lepan@ontario.ca; Nuri Frame <NFrame@PSTLaw.ca>; Alex DeParde <ADeParde@PSTLaw.ca>; Jeffrey Kaufman <jeff@kaufman.law>; Robert Janes <RJanes@jfklaw.ca>; Antonios, Iris <iris.antonios@blakes.com>; Shapiro, Max <max.shapiro@blakes.com>; Dougan, Laura <laura.dougan@blakes.com>; Torrance, Rebecca <rebecca.torrance@blakes.com>; Town, Brittany <brittany.town@blakes.com>; James, Louise <louise.james@blakes.com>; Sheppard, Gregory <gregory.sheppard@blakes.com>; Longo, Katrina <Katrina.Longo@justice.gc.ca>

Subject: RE: Six Nations v Canada and Ontario - Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000 - Case Management Conference - Thursday, February 2, 2023, at 2 pm

External Email | Courrier électronique externe

Dear Ms. Evans,

Attached, please find the Haudenosaunee Development Institute's Memorandum for tomorrow's Case Management Conference. We would be grateful if you would bring this to the attention of the Honourable Justice Akbarali.

Best regards,

Dylan Gibbs

Dylan Gibbs - He/Him Gilbert's LLP Lawyers | Patent and Trademark Agents Tel: 416.703.1100 Fax: 416.703.7422 www.gilbertslaw.ca





Waterfront Innovation Centre 125 Queens Quay East, 8th Floor P.O. Box 19 Toronto, Ontario M5A 0Z6 Canada

This e-mail is confidential and may contain privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please delete this e-mail and notify us immediately. Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited.



Gilbert's LLP Recommended Firm 2322 IP STARS RANKED 202

From: Longo, Katrina <<u>Katrina.Longo@justice.gc.ca</u>> Sent: February 1, 2023 12:24 PM

To: Evans, Pam (Yomattie) (MAG) <<u>Yomattie.Evans@ontario.ca</u>>

Cc: Tim Gilbert <<u>tim@gilbertslaw.ca</u>>; Thomas Dumigan <<u>tdumigan@gilbertslaw.ca</u>>; Jack MacDonald <<u>jack@gilbertslaw.ca</u>>; Dylan Gibbs <<u>dylan@gilbertslaw.ca</u>>; Aruliah, Anusha <<u>Anusha.Aruliah@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Mitchell, Tania (she; her | elle; la) <<u>Tania.Mitchell@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Junaid, Hasan <<u>Hasan.Junaid@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Kanko, Sarah (she; her | elle; la) <<u>Sarah.Kanko@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Sivaloganathan, Myra <<u>Myra.Sivaloganathan@justice.gc.ca</u>>; TOR Canada SNLT <<u>TOR.CanadaSNLT@justice.gc.ca</u>>; Fancy, Manizeh (MAG) <<u>Manizeh.Fancy@ontario.ca</u>>; Feliciant, David (MAG) <<u>David.Feliciant@ontario.ca</u>>; Perruzza, Christine (MAG) <<u>Christine.Perruzza@ontario.ca</u>>; Ma, Catherine (MAG)

172

<<u>Catherine.Ma@ontario.ca</u>; <u>david.tortell@ontario.ca</u>; McRandall, Julia (MAG) <<u>Julia.McRandall@ontario.ca</u>; jennifer.lepan@ontario.ca; Nuri Frame <<u>NFrame@PSTLaw.ca</u>>; Alex DeParde <<u>ADeParde@PSTLaw.ca</u>>; Jeffrey Kaufman <<u>jeff@kaufman.law</u>>; Robert Janes <<u>RJanes@jfklaw.ca</u>>; Antonios, Iris <<u>iris.antonios@blakes.com</u>>; Shapiro, Max <<u>max.shapiro@blakes.com</u>>; Dougan, Laura <<u>laura.dougan@blakes.com</u>>; Torrance, Rebecca <<u>rebecca.torrance@blakes.com</u>>; Town, Brittany <<u>brittany.town@blakes.com</u>>; James, Louise <<u>louise.james@blakes.com</u>>; Sheppard, Gregory <<u>gregory.sheppard@blakes.com</u>>; James, Louise <**Subject:** Six Nations v Canada and Ontario - Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000 - Case Management Conference - Thursday, February 2, 2023, at 2 pm

Dear Ms. Evans:

Please find attached the Attorney General of Canada's Case Conference Memorandum for the February 2, 2023 CMC. Would you kindly bring this to the attention of the Honourable Justice Akbarali?

Thank you, Katrina

Katrina (Katie) Longo (pronouns: she/her) | (pronoms: elle/la) Counsel | Avocate National Litigation Sector | Secteur national du contentieux Department of Justice Canada | Ministère de la Justice Canada Ontario Regional Office | Bureau régional de l'Ontario 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite #400 Toronto, Ontario M5H 1T1 Tel: 416-459-3086 Fax: 416-973-5004

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential and strictly reserved for the sole use of its intended recipients. This message may contain information protected by the solicitor-client privilege. If you receive this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message as well as all copies. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance on the contents of the information is strictly prohibited. Thank you.

AVIS DE CONFIDENTIALITÉ: Le contenu de ce courrier électronique est confidentiel et strictement réservé à l'usage des personnes auxquelles il s'adresse. Ce message peut contenir de l'information protégée par le secret professionnel. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, contactez immédiatement l'expéditeur et détruisez le message original et toutes copies. Veuillez noter qu'il est strictement interdit de divulguer, reproduire ou diffuser le contenu de ce message ou de prendre des mesures en conséquence. Tout nouvel envoi, reproduction ou usage de ce message par une personne autre que le destinataire est strictement interdit. Merci.

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP **Barristers & Solicitors** Patent & Trademark Agents 199 Bay Street Suite 4000, Commerce Court West Toronto ON M5L 1A9 Canada Tel: 416-863-2400 Fax: 416-863-2653

Max Shapiro

Partner Dir: 416-863-3305 max.shapiro@blakes.com

Reference: 00034539/000061

February 6, 2023

VIA E-MAIL

Nuri Frame Alexander DeParde Pape Salter Teillet LLP 546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2

Six Nations v. Canada and Ontario. Toronto Court File No. CV-18-594281 RE: Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation ("MCFN") Motion

Dear Counsel:

This responds to your Sunday February 5, 2023 email in which the MCFN declined to provide a draft pleading.

We are surprised by MCFN's position. MCFN has applied to be added to this case as a party and not merely as a friend of the court. Typically proposed parties define their role and position in the litigation by means of a draft pleading, as HDI has done.

We have considered MCFN's motion record in light of your email and saw no reference in it to the effect that MCFN "clearly proposes to be a defendant", as your email states, though that is a helpful starting point. If that is the case, MCFN should confirm the allegations in the plaintiff's claim that it admits, denies, and has no knowledge of, whether it has a counterclaim, and whether it has crossclaims against the two defendant Crowns.

None of this information is contained in MCFN's motion record. Based on the materials delivered, we find it difficult to discern what relevant issues MCFN proposes to address at the trial of this action. It appears that MCFN intends to raise, or to 'keep the door open' to potentially raising, a wide range of irrelevant or peripheral matters that are not actually raised in Six Nations' claim and for which Six Nations has not sought judicial relief. For example, MCFN's materials suggest that it intends to ask the court to make rulings on matters such as the existence of MCFN's claimed Aboriginal title and Aboriginal rights; the effect (or non-effect) of various treaties on MCFN's claimed Aboriginal rights and title: and the legal status of various instruments (such as the Nanfan deed) as treaties for the purpose of Section 35(1) of the Constitution Act, 1982. Further, while MCFN has articulated an interest in certain general historical matters, it has not identified what specific legal interests it says are potentially affected – notably, whether MCFN has any legal interest in the core questions in the Six Nations' action about whether the Haldimand Proclamation is a treaty and created the Six Nations reserve. Based on 24632637.3

CALGARY

VANCOUVER

MONTRÉAL

LONDON

OTTAWA

Blakes

Blakes

MCFN's materials, we are concerned that it intends to take the action far beyond the issues raised in the existing pleadings and into issues that are primarily of interest to MCFN in its dealings with the Crowns and which would not be legally affected by the relief sought in Six Nations' action. We are also concerned that this would have the effect of playing into the Crowns' hands of wishing to delay the action.

MCFN has had months, if not longer, to consider the operative statement of claim. Further, pursuant to the most recent 'main action' Case Management Endorsement of Justice Akbarali, the plaintiff delivered a draft Fresh as Further Amended Statement of Claim to the Crowns on Friday February 3, 2023. That draft, along with a version showing the proposed changes in underlined form that was also delivered to the Crowns, is enclosed. This draft pleading adds greater detail concerning the legal and factual basis for the relief sought by Six Nations. Please note, in particular, that – as with the prior pleading – no relief is sought in respect of the Nanfan instrument, Dish with One Spoon Treaty, and the status of MCFN Aboriginal rights or Aboriginal title. You have had the details of historical context evidence that we intend to lead in this regard since counsel for Ontario shared the experts reports from Drs. Good, Tayler, and Parmenter.

In the absence of a pleading that would allay some of these concerns, all of this leads Six Nations to the position that MCFN's motion should be dismissed. It appears that MCFN intends to significantly expand the scope of the litigation and to add issues at this point in the litigation that will significantly prejudice Six Nations' ability to prosecute this case in a just, timely, and cost-effective manner.

We would have thought that the opportunity to provide greater clarity on the scope and focus of MCFN's proposed intervention would have been welcome. That would also be consistent MCFN's statements to the Court to the effect that it is not seeking the delay the matter. Nevertheless, you are free to take the position that you will not deliver a draft pleading and we can take the matter up with the Case Management Judge. That said, we invite you to reconsider.

For authority on delivering a draft pleading, please see Justice Sanfilippo's August 26, 2022 Case Conference Endorsement at paragraphs 5-9, a copy of which is enclosed. You will note His Honour's discussion contains a complete answer to your suggestion that MCFN requires more materials in order to deliver a draft pleading (see paragraph 10, in particular). Six Nations is prepared to provide MCFN with the documents referenced in its pleading, which the Court previously directed be provided to HDI, if MCFN agrees to reimburse its reasonable fees and disbursements for doing so.

Yours truly,

Max Shapiro

- Encls. August 26, 2022 Case Management Endorsement, February 3, 2023 Six Nations draft amended pleading (fresh and underlined)
- c: Robert Janes, *JFK Law Corporation* Iris Antonios, Laura Dougan, Rebecca Torrance, Gregory Sheppard, Brittany Town, Louise James, *Blakes*

24632637.3

175

From:	Nuri Frame
Sent:	Tuesday, February 7, 2023 2:23 PM
То:	Shapiro, Max
Cc:	Antonios, Iris; Robert Janes; Dougan, Laura; Torrance, Rebecca; Sheppard, Gregory; Town, Brittany;
	James, Louise; Reonegro, Elena; Alexander DeParde
Subject:	Re: Six Nations of the Grand River v Canada and Ontario - MCFN Motion

Max:

Thank you for your letter of February 6, 2023. As we consider your correspondence, we require some clarification.

In my email of February 5, 2023, I advised that, "[i]n advance of preparing any pleading—draft or otherwise— MCFN would also need to be provided with further materials from the parties," specifically copies of responses to any demands for particulars, requests to admit, or interrogatories that have previously been served in the case. Your letter does not respond to this request specifically, beyond the last paragraph where you direct us to paragraph 10 of the August 26, 2022 case conference endorsement of Justice Sanfilippo, which you describe as "a complete answer to [our] suggestion that MCFN requires more materials in order to deliver a draft pleading." The issue in paragraph 10 of the above-referenced case conference endorsement deals with HDI's request for "the production of documents contained in the data base being assembled for the trial of this action." That is a very different request from the one MCFN has made. We have not requested the parties' productions or access to a database prepared for trial. We have requested responses to demands for particulars, requests to admit, and interrogatories in order to assist us in the preparation of our statement of defence; this is qualitatively (and quantitatively) different from HDI requesting access to a database containing the full production of all parties to the action.

Could you please confirm if we are correct in our understanding that Six Nations is: (a) insisting on its request for a draft statement of defence in advance of the hearing of MCFN's motion; (b) insisting that it "reserves its right" to file late responding materials, not contemplated by the timeline ordered by Justice Akbarali, in response to that draft statement of defence; but (c) is refusing to provide MCFN with any responses to demands for particulars, requests to admit, or interrogatories previously served in this case that we require to assist us in our preparation of a statement of defence?

I look forward to your response to the foregoing.

Best,

Nuri

Nuri G. Frame (He/Him) Partner

176



Pape Salter Teillet LLP, 546 Euclid Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M6G 2T2 Canada · T 416.916.2989 x 1254 · F 416.916.3726 · C 416.460.5350 · nframe@pstlaw.ca · www.pstlaw.ca

This email communication may be CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return email and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Do not forward, reproduce or copy this email or its contents. Thank you.

From: "Shapiro, Max" <max.shapiro@blakes.com> Date: Monday, February 6, 2023 at 2:17 PM To: Nuri Frame <nframe@pstlaw.ca>, Alexander DeParde <adeparde@pstlaw.ca> Cc: "Antonios, Iris" <iris.antonios@blakes.com>, Robert Janes <RJanes@jfklaw.ca>, "Dougan, Laura" <laura.dougan@blakes.com>, "Torrance, Rebecca" <rebecca.torrance@blakes.com>, "Sheppard, Gregory" <gregory.sheppard@blakes.com>, "Town, Brittany" <brittany.town@blakes.com>, "James, Louise" <louise.james@blakes.com>, "Reonegro, Elena" <elena.reonegro@blakes.com> Subject: Six Nations of the Grand River v Canada and Ontario - MCFN Motion

Dear Nuri and Alex, please see the attached correspondence dated today, with attachments.

Best regards,

Max

Max Shapiro (he, him, his) Partner max.shapiro@blakes.com T. +1-416-863-3305

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP 199 Bay Street, Suite 4000, Toronto ON M5L 1A9 (Map) blakes.com | LinkedIn



Blakes Blakes Means Business

This email communication is CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return email and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you. L'information paraissant dans ce message électronique est CONFIDENTIELLE. Si ce message vous est parvenu par erreur, veuillez immédiatement m'en aviser par téléphone ou par courriel et en détruire toute copie. Merci.

177

From:	Shapiro, Max <max.shapiro@blakes.com></max.shapiro@blakes.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, February 8, 2023 3:30 PM
То:	Nuri Frame
Cc:	Antonios, Iris; Robert Janes; Dougan, Laura; Torrance, Rebecca; Sheppard, Gregory; Town, Brittany; James, Louise; Reonegro, Elena; Alexander DeParde
Subject:	RE: Six Nations of the Grand River v Canada and Ontario - MCFN Motion
Attachments:	Six Nations - Demands for Particulars and Responses.zip

Dear Nuri,

Your note characterizes the situation differently than we would. On the draft pleading, the point is simple: MCFN should deliver one, and the sooner the better. We do not accept the position that your client would require "any responses to demands for particulars, requests to admit, or interrogatories" in order to plead. As Justice Sanfilippo noted in respect of HDI, if MCFN has decided that it requires involvement in this action, it must have determined, and should be able to set out the basis and scope of its proposed involvement. In paragraph 10 of the Endorsement to which we directed you, the Court concluded that HDI should be provided with the documents incorporated by referenced in the parties' pleadings in order to plead. We have offered those documents to you (subject to MCFN agreeing to reimburse our reasonable fees and disbursements), and so far you have declined to take us up on that.

Since the demands for particulars and responses form part of the pleadings, we are happy to share them. Please see attached, along with a summary chart below.

We are not prepared to share all requests to admit and interrogatories since those do not form part of the pleadings, MCFN is a non-party, sharing them may violate the deemed undertaking rule, and you have not pointed us towards any authority that might support such a broad request.

If MCFN delays or declines to deliver a draft pleading before the motion, you can expect us to point that out to the Court. Likewise, if MCFN does deliver a draft pleading that raises issues that are different than what is set out in MCFN's motion materials, you can reasonably expect us to respond. We do not accept that it would be 'late' to respond to something that has not yet been delivered.

Regards,

Max

Max Shapiro (he, him, his) Partner max.shapiro@blakes.com T. +1-416-863-3305

No.	Document
1.	Ontario's Demand for Particulars dated February 22, 1995
2.	Plaintiff's Response to Ontario's Request for Particulars dated March 6, 1995
3.	Canada's First Demand for Particulars dated October 10, 1995
4.	Plaintiff's Response to Canada's First Demand for Particulars dated October 31, 1995
5.	Canada's Second Demand for Particulars dated December 5, 1995
6.	Canada's Third Demand for Particulars dated December 5, 1995
7.	Plaintiff's Response to Canada's Second Demand for Particulars dated December 7, 1995
8.	Plaintiff's Response to Canada's Third Demand for Particulars dated December 15, 1995
9.	Plaintiff's Demand for Particulars to Canada dated January 26, 1996
10.	Plaintiff's Demand for Particulars to Ontario dated January 31, 1996
11.	Canada's Reply to Plaintiff's Demand for Particulars dated March 14, 1996
12.	Ontario's Reply to Plaintiff's Demand for Particulars dated April 19, 1996
13.	Plaintiff's Demand for Particulars to Canada dated December 1, 1998

1	78	

Plaintiff's Demand for Particulars to Ontario dated December 1, 1998
 Ontario's Particulars in Response to Demand for Particulars dated February 5, 1999
 Canada's letter response to Plaintiff's December 1, 1998 Demand for Particulars, dated April 28, 1999
 Canada's Response to Plaintiff's Demand for Particulars (undated, but delivered October 17, 2000)

From: Nuri Frame <nframe@pstlaw.ca>

Sent: Tuesday, February 7, 2023 2:23 PM

To: Shapiro, Max <max.shapiro@blakes.com>

Cc: Antonios, Iris <iris.antonios@blakes.com>; Robert Janes <RJanes@jfklaw.ca>; Dougan, Laura <laura.dougan@blakes.com>; Torrance, Rebecca <rebecca.torrance@blakes.com>; Sheppard, Gregory <gregory.sheppard@blakes.com>; Town, Brittany <brittany.town@blakes.com>; James, Louise <louise.james@blakes.com>; Reonegro, Elena <elena.reonegro@blakes.com>; Alexander DeParde <adeparde@pstlaw.ca>

Subject: Re: Six Nations of the Grand River v Canada and Ontario - MCFN Motion

External Email | Courrier électronique externe

Max:

Thank you for your letter of February 6, 2023. As we consider your correspondence, we require some clarification.

In my email of February 5, 2023, I advised that, "[i]n advance of preparing any pleading—draft or otherwise— MCFN would also need to be provided with further materials from the parties," specifically copies of responses to any demands for particulars, requests to admit, or interrogatories that have previously been served in the case. Your letter does not respond to this request specifically, beyond the last paragraph where you direct us to paragraph 10 of the August 26, 2022 case conference endorsement of Justice Sanfilippo, which you describe as "a complete answer to [our] suggestion that MCFN requires more materials in order to deliver a draft pleading." The issue in paragraph 10 of the above-referenced case conference endorsement deals with HDI's request for "the production of documents contained in the data base being assembled for the trial of this action." That is a very different request from the one MCFN has made. We have not requested the parties' productions or access to a database prepared for trial. We have requested responses to demands for particulars, requests to admit, and interrogatories in order to assist us in the preparation of our statement of defence; this is qualitatively (and quantitatively) different from HDI requesting access to a database containing the full production of all parties to the action.

Could you please confirm if we are correct in our understanding that Six Nations is: (a) insisting on its request for a draft statement of defence in advance of the hearing of MCFN's motion; (b) insisting that it "reserves its right" to file late responding materials, not contemplated by the timeline ordered by Justice Akbarali, in response to that draft statement of defence; but (c) is refusing to provide MCFN with any responses to demands for particulars, requests to admit, or interrogatories previously served in this case that we require to assist us in our preparation of a statement of defence?

I look forward to your response to the foregoing.

Best,

Nuri

179

Nuri G. Frame (He/Him)
Partner

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP BARRISTERS AND SOLICITORS

Pape Salter Teillet LLP, 546 Euclid Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M6G 2T2 Canada · T 416.916.2989 x 1254 · F 416.916.3726 · C 416.460.5350 · <u>nframe@pstlaw.ca</u> · <u>www.pstlaw.ca</u>

This email communication may be **CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY PRIVILEGED**. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return email and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Do not forward, reproduce or copy this email or its contents. Thank you.

From: "Shapiro, Max" <<u>max.shapiro@blakes.com</u>>

Date: Monday, February 6, 2023 at 2:17 PM

To: Nuri Frame <<u>nframe@pstlaw.ca</u>>, Alexander DeParde <<u>adeparde@pstlaw.ca</u>>

Cc: "Antonios, Iris" <<u>iris.antonios@blakes.com</u>>, Robert Janes <<u>RJanes@jfklaw.ca</u>>, "Dougan, Laura" <<u>laura.dougan@blakes.com</u>>, "Torrance, Rebecca" <<u>rebecca.torrance@blakes.com</u>>, "Sheppard, Gregory" <<u>gregory.sheppard@blakes.com</u>>, "Town, Brittany" <<u>brittany.town@blakes.com</u>>, "James, Louise" <<u>louise.james@blakes.com</u>>, "Reonegro, Elena" <<u>elena.reonegro@blakes.com</u>>, Subject: Six Nations of the Grand River v Canada and Ontario - MCFN Motion

Dear Nuri and Alex, please see the attached correspondence dated today, with attachments.

Best regards,

Max

Max Shapiro (he, him, his) Partner max.shapiro@blakes.com T. +1-416-863-3305

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP 199 Bay Street, Suite 4000, Toronto ON M5L 1A9 (Map) blakes.com | LinkedIn

Blakes Blakes Means Business

This email communication is CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return email and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you. L'information paraissant dans ce message électronique est CONFIDENTIELLE. Si ce message vous est parvenu par erreur, veuillez immédiatement m'en aviser par téléphone ou par courriel et en détruire toute copie. Merci.

UNDERTAKINGS AND REFUSALS ON THE CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHIEF R. STACEY LAFORME ON HIS AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED DECEMBER 2, 2022 (EXAMINED ON MARCH 20, 2023)

UT = undertaking; R = refusal

Examination by Plaintiff's Counsel

	PG.	Q.	CATEGORY	UNDERTAKING/REFUSAL	ANSWER (PROVIDED ON APRIL 6, 2023)
1.	45	178	UT	To provide a copy of Report 1 referred to in paragraph 55 of the Statement of Claim Submitted to the Government of Canada and the Government of Ontario dated March 31, 2015, if it can be located and if it is not privileged.	Refused. The requested document is privileged.
2.	51	200	R	To answer whether the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation (MCFN) has decided that intervening as a friend of the court would not be enough to protect its rights and interests.	Refused/unanswered.
3.	58	228	R	To answer who are the Elders that the MCFN has identified to provide evidence in this action.	Refused/unanswered.
4.	58-59	229-230	R	To answer whether the MCFN has obtained any written statements from the Elders referenced in paragraph 35 of the MCFN Notice of Motion.	Refused/unanswered.
5.	59	231	R	To answer who are the experts referenced in paragraph 35 of the MCFN Notice of Motion.	Refused/unanswered.

	PG.	Q.	CATEGORY	UNDERTAKING/REFUSAL	ANSWER (PROVIDED ON APRIL 6, 2023)
6.	59	232	R	To answer whether the MCFN has obtained any expert reports for use in this action.	Refused/unanswered.
7.	59-60	233	R	To answer whether the MCFN plans to provide evidence to either Canada or Ontario if it is allowed to participate in this action.	Refused/unanswered.
8.	60	234	R	To answer whether the MCFN has been asked to provide evidence in this action by either Canada or Ontario.	Refused/unanswered.
9.	60-61	237	R	To answer whether the MCFN understands that if it becomes an added party, it too would be bound by findings of fact and law made in the case.	Refused/unanswered.
10.	62	240	R	To answer whether, if the MCFN is added to this action and the Court finds that the Haldimand Proclamation was a treaty, the MCFN would be bound by that finding.	Refused/unanswered.
11.	62	241	R	To answer whether, if the MCFN is added to this action and the Court finds it necessary to uphold prior decisions to the effect that the Nanfan Deed of 1701 was a treaty, the MCFN would be bound by that finding.	Refused/unanswered.
12.	62	242	R	To answer whether, if the MCFN is added to this action and the Court finds that the Dish with One Spoon agreement was a treaty, the MCFN would be bound by that finding.	Refused/unanswered.

	PG.	Q.	CATEGORY	UNDERTAKING/REFUSAL	ANSWER (PROVIDED ON APRIL 6, 2023)
13.	64	246	R	To answer whether the MCFN adopts what their lawyers say in the letter from the MCFN's counsel to the plaintiff's counsel dated March 17, 2023.	Refused/unanswered.
14.	64-65	247	R	To answer whether Chief Laforme instructed his lawyers to send the letter from the MCFN's counsel to the plaintiff's counsel dated March 17, 2023.	Refused/unanswered.
15.	65	248	R	To answer whether Chief Laforme agrees with the contents of the letter from the MCFN's counsel to the plaintiff's counsel dated March 17, 2023.	Refused/unanswered.
16.	71	269	R	To answer whether the MCFN will instruct its lawyers, experts, and witnesses to meet the trial date in 2024, if allowed to participate in the action.	Refused/unanswered.
17.	76-78	287-289	UT	To answer who advised the MCFN of the information related to the potentially expanded scope of the issues in the action, referenced in the letter from the MCFN's counsel to Justice Sanfilippo dated January 6, 2022.	Counsel for the defendant His Majesty the King in Right of Ontario (Ontario) advised counsel for MCFN of the specified information in or around late fall 2021.
18.	79	294	R	To answer how the MCFN came to understand that the plaintiff may now be seeking relief beyond the damages and compensation claimed in the pleadings.	Counsel for the defendant His Majesty the King in Right of Ontario (Ontario) advised counsel for MCFN of the specified information in or around late fall 2021.

	PG.	Q.	CATEGORY	UNDERTAKING/REFUSAL	ANSWER (PROVIDED ON APRIL 6, 2023)
19.	79-82	295-300	UT	To provide the source of the understandings, including who provided them, where the January 6, 2022 letter from the MCFN's counsel to Justice Sanfilippo says: "We understand the Plaintiff may now be seeking relief beyond the damages and compensation claimed in the pleadings"; "We understand the Plaintiff's expanded claims may include claims for title to land in MCFN's traditional territory, including to the bed of the Grand River"; and "We further understand that the Plaintiff may put in issue the scope and content of its rights, if any, under the 1701 Nanfan Deed, including whether such rights are protected by section 35 of the <i>Constitution Act, 1982</i> "	Counsel for the defendant His Majesty the King in Right of Ontario (Ontario) advised counsel for MCFN of the specified information in or around late fall 2021.
20.	84	311-312	UT	To make internal inquiries and provide the date or dates that the MCFN's counsel was provided with the expert reports referenced in paragraph 28 of the MCFN Notice of Motion.	This information is contained at paragraph 6 of the Affidavit of John Wilson, affirmed February 28, 2023.
21.	85-86	316-318	R	To answer whether the MCFN adopts their lawyer's answer, as stated in an email from their counsel to the plaintiff's counsel dated March 17, 2023, that correspondence between the MCFN's counsel and Ontario's counsel where the plaintiff's expert reports were shared is subject to litigation and/or common interest privilege and will not be shared with the plaintiff.	Refused/unanswered.
22.	86-87	319-320	UT	To answer what is the MCFN's common interest with Ontario that is being asserted regarding this action.	MCFN and Ontario – as treaty partners – have a common interest in responding to the legal and factual allegations advanced in this proceeding.

SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS Plaintiff (Responding Party)	-and-	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF -and- CANADA et al. Defendants (Responding Parties)		SAUGAS OF THE IT FIRST NATION Moving Party	Court File No. CV-18-594281-0000
				SUPERI	<i>ONTARIO</i> OR COURT OF JUSTICE
					ng commenced at Brantford transferred to Toronto
			=		T BRIEF OF THE PLAINTIFF SPONDING PARTY)
				MOTION R	ETURNABLE MAY 12, 2023
				BLAKE, CASSELS 199 Bay Street Suite 4000, Commer Toronto ON M5L 1A	rce Court West
				Iris Antonios LSO	#56694R 19 / iris.antonios@blakes.com
				Max Shapiro LSO # Tel: 416-863-330	60602U)5 / max.shapiro@blakes.com
				Rebecca Torrance Tel: 416-863-293	LSO #75734A 30 / rebecca.torrance@blakes.com
				Gregory Sheppard Tel: 416-863-261 Fax: 416-863-265	6 / gregory.sheppard@blakes.com
				JFK LAW LLP 816-1175 Douglas S Victoria, BC V8W 2E	
				Robert Janes LSO a Tel: 250-405-346	#33646P 66 / RJanes@jfklaw.ca
				Lawyers for the Plair	ntiff