			CITATION:
	ONTARIO SUPER	RIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (CIVIL ENDOR	SEMENT FORM
BEFORE	Judge/Case Management Master Akbarali, J	(Rule 59.02(2)(c)(i) Court File Number: CV-18-00594281-0000	
Title of Pro	ceeding:		
SIX NATIONS OF THE GRAND RIVER BAND OF INDIANS			Plaintiff(s)
	-V-		
	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, et al		

Case Management: Yes If so, by whom:

X No

Participants and Non-Participants: (Rule 59.02(2)((vii))

Party		Counsel	E-mail Address	Phone #	Participant (Y/N)	
1)	Plaintiff	Iris Antonios	iris.antonios@blakes.com		Y	
		Max Shapiro	max.shapiro@blakes.com		Y	
		Sanjit Rajayer	sanjit.rajayer@blakes.com		Y	
		Robert Janes	RJanes@jfklaw.ca		Y	
2)	Defendant for	Maria Vujnovic	Maria.Vujnovic@justice.gc.ca		Y	
,	Attorney General of	Cameron Fiske	Cameron.Fiske@justice.gc.ca		Y	
	Canada	Tanya Muthusamipillai	Tanya.Muthusamipillai@justice.gc.ca		Y	
		Patrice Robinson	patrice.robinson@justice.gc.ca		Y	
3)	Defendant for His	David Hyun	David.Hyun@ontario.ca		Y	
,	Majesty the King in	Serena Yun	Serena.Yun@ontario.ca		Y	
	Right of Ontario	Devon Johnson	dtjohnson@mccarthy.ca		Y	
		Adam Goldenberg	agoldenberg@mccarthy.ca		Y	
4)	Intervenor,	Nuri Frame	nframe@pstlaw.ca		Y	
	Mississauga of the	Troy Klassen	tklassen@pstlaw.ca		Y	
	Credit First Nation	Conner Sipa	<u>csipa@pstlaw.ca</u>		Y	

Date Heard: (*Rule* 59.02(2)(c)(iii)) May 24, 2024

Nature of Hearing (mark with an "X"): (Rule 59.02(2)(c)(iv))					
Motion	Appeal	Case Conference	Pre-Trial Conference	Application	
Format of Hearing (mark with an "X"): (Rule 59.02(2)(c)(iv))					
In Writing	Telephone	e 🛛 🛛 Videoconferei	nce 🗌 In Person		
If in person, indicate courthouse address:					

Relief Requested: (Rule. 59.02(2)(c)(v))

Motion to examine certain witnesses before trial has been largely resolved. Directions required.

Disposition made at hearing or conference (operative terms ordered): (Rule 59.02(2)(c)(vi))			
See below			
Costs: On a N/A	indemnity basis, fixed at \$	are payable	

by to

Brief Reasons, if any: (Rule 59.02(2)(b))

1. Today's hearing was originally scheduled to argue the motion brought by the Attorney General of Canada for leave to examine three of their expert witnesses before trial.

[when]

- 2. Late yesterday, after considerable efforts of the parties for which I am grateful, the parties wrote to advise that they had reached a resolution of most of the issues on the motion.
- 3. At today's conference, we reviewed the parties' proposed terms. I am generally satisfied with the parties' proposed approach to the taking of the evidence. Some further discussions are required with respect to the hybrid commissioner. I will assist the parties with further direction if required.
- 4. The issue of the amount of costs Canada will pay to the plaintiff with respect to the costs reasonably expected to arise from the examinations remains outstanding. The plaintiff filed its submissions regarding quantum in advance of the motion. I direct the following:
 - a. Canada will deliver a three-page reply to the plaintiff's interim costs submissions by Friday May 24, 2024, although the reply need not be delivered by the end of business;
 - b. The plaintiff shall be entitled to deliver a one-page sur-reply by May 29, 2024.
- 5. The other remaining issue is costs of the motion. I will deal with costs in writing as well. The parties agree to file their costs submissions on the schedule set out below. I

will not review	the costs sub	missions unti	l after I have	dealt with	the issue of the
interim costs or	n its merits.				

- a. Any party making costs submissions, except Canada, shall deliver those submissions by June 5, 2024;
- b. Canada shall have until June 10, 2024 to file its responding costs submissions.

Additional pages attached:	🗌 Yes	Χ	No
----------------------------	-------	---	----

May 24 , 20 24 Date of Endorsement (*Rule 59.02(2)(c)(ii)*)

Signature of Judge/Case Management Master (Rule 59.02(2)(c)(i))